

**CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT:
Part I**

for
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS
under the
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT
As amended in 2001

For reporting on
School Year 2018-19

Kansas



PART I DUE Thursday, April 09, 2020

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
WASHINGTON, DC 20202**

INTRODUCTION

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303¹ of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*, as amended by the *Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015(ESSA)*².

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 35.00 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

¹ SEC.8303. Consolidated Reporting – (a) In general: In order to simplify reporting requirements and reduce reporting burdens, the Secretary shall establish procedures and criteria under which a State educational agency, in consultation with the Governor of the State, may submit a consolidated State annual report. (b) Contents: The report shall contain information about the programs included in the report, including the performance of the State under those programs, and other matters as the Secretary determines are necessary, such as monitoring activities. (c) Replacement: The report shall replace separate individual annual reports for the programs included in the consolidated State annual report.

² All citations to the ESEA in this document are to the ESEA, as amended by the ESSA.

OMB Number: 1810-0724
Expiration Date: 10/31/2022

Consolidated State Performance Report
For
State Formula Grant Programs
under the
Elementary And Secondary Education Act
as amended in 2001

Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting:

Part I, 2018-19 Part II, 2018-19

Name of State Education Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report:

Kansas State Department of Education

Address:

Person to contact about this report:

Name:

Stacey Noll

Telephone:

785-296-3129

Fax:

785-291-3791

e-mail:

snoll@ksde.org

Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type):

Stacey Noll

Submitted Date and Time:

04/28/20 10:16:41 AM

1.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

This section collects data on State's Annual State Report Cards required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the *ESEA*.

1.1.1 State Report Cards

Provide the url for your State's publicly posted Annual State Report Card required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	https://ksreportcard.ksde.org/home.aspx?org_no=State&rptType=3
---	---

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2 STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS

This section collects data on student academic achievement and participation in the State assessments.

Note: States are not required to report these data by the racial/ethnic groups shown in the table below; instead, they are required to report these data by the major racial and ethnic groups that align with their accountability system.

1.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the mathematics assessment, the number of students who took the mathematics assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who participated in the assessment and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (*IDEA*)" includes children with disabilities, as defined under the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (*IDEA*), who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

The student group "English learners" should include recently arrived students who are identified as English Learners (ELs) and who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months. Do not include former ELs (i.e., those who exited EL status prior to the testing window within the past four years).

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

1.2.1.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 3

Grade 3	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,208	99.4%	35,208	S	50.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	241	>=95%	241	S	45%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,059	>=99%	1,059	S	66%
<i>Asian</i>	S	977	>=99%	977	S	68%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	82	>=95%	82	S	43%
Black or African American	S	2,460	>=99%	2,460	S	28%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,168	99.6%	7,168	S	34.9%
White	S	22,302	99.4%	22,302	S	57.5%
Two or more races	S	1,978	>=99%	1,978	S	44%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,593	98%	5,593	S	23%
English learners	S	3,897	99.7%	3,897	S	23.9%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,608	99.4%	17,608	S	35.3%
Children in foster care	S	367	98%	367	S	29%
Children who are homeless	S	614	98%	614	S	27%
Migratory students	S	232	>=95%	232	S	28%
Military connected students	S	1,066	>=99%	1,066	S	66%
Male	S	18,039	99.2%	18,039	S	51.9%
Female	S	17,169	99.5%	17,169	S	48.5%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 4

Grade 4	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,779	99.4%	35,779	S	36.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	288	>=95%	288	S	28%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,062	>=99%	1,062	S	54%
<i>Asian</i>	S	976	>=99%	976	S	57%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	86	>=95%	86	S	22%
Black or African American	S	2,548	>=99%	2,548	S	14%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,484	99.5%	7,484	S	21%
White	S	22,443	99.4%	22,443	S	43.4%
Two or more races	S	1,954	>=99%	1,954	S	30%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,501	98.4%	5,501	S	14.5%
English learners	S	4,003	99.6%	4,003	S	12.4%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,811	99.4%	17,811	S	21.5%
Children in foster care	S	347	98%	347	S	15%
Children who are homeless	S	558	>=99%	558	S	15%
Migratory students	S	218	>=95%	218	S	16%
Military connected students	S	1,032	>=99%	1,032	S	43%
Male	S	18,302	99.3%	18,302	S	39.1%
Female	S	17,477	99.5%	17,477	S	32.9%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 5

Grade 5	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	36,510	99.4%	36,510	S	31.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	286	>=95%	286	S	23%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,060	>=99%	1,060	S	52%
<i>Asian</i>	S	992	>=99%	992	S	54%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	68	>=95%	68	S	21%
Black or African American	S	2,606	>=99%	2,606	S	14%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,636	99.6%	7,636	S	18.7%
White	S	22,887	99.4%	22,887	S	37.9%
Two or more races	S	2,035	>=99%	2,035	S	29%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,310	98%	5,310	S	10.8%
English learners	S	3,159	99.5%	3,159	S	8.2%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,958	99.3%	17,958	S	19%
Children in foster care	S	353	97%	353	S	10%
Children who are homeless	S	560	97%	560	S	12%
Migratory students	S	240	>=95%	240	S	8%
Military connected students	S	969	>=99%	969	S	40%
Male	S	18,616	99.2%	18,616	S	34.4%
Female	S	17,894	99.5%	17,894	S	29.3%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 6

Grade 6	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	36,436	99.2%	36,436	S	31.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	264	>=95%	264	S	20%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,099	>=99%	1,099	S	54%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,032	>=99%	1,032	S	56%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	67	>=95%	67	S	28%
Black or African American	S	2,477	>=99%	2,477	S	12%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,399	99.4%	7,399	S	17.2%
White	S	23,164	99.3%	23,164	S	38.3%
Two or more races	S	2,033	>=99%	2,033	S	27%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,027	98%	5,027	S	9%
English learners	S	2,848	>=99%	2,848	S	5%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,506	99.1%	17,506	S	17.7%
Children in foster care	S	377	96%	377	S	14%
Children who are homeless	S	478	98%	478	S	10%
Migratory students	S	189	>=95%	189	S	8%
Military connected students	S	878	>=99%	878	S	37%
Male	S	18,711	99.1%	18,711	S	33.2%
Female	S	17,725	99.3%	17,725	S	30.6%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 7

Grade 7	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,826	99.3%	35,826	S	27.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	316	>=99%	316	S	17%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,074	>=99%	1,074	S	47%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,004	>=99%	1,004	S	49%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	70	>=95%	70	S	19%
Black or African American	S	2,521	>=99%	2,521	S	9%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,303	99.5%	7,303	S	14.1%
White	S	22,689	99.3%	22,689	S	34.3%
Two or more races	S	1,923	>=99%	1,923	S	22%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	4,887	98.1%	4,887	S	6.4%
English learners	S	2,705	>=99%	2,705	S	3%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	16,821	99.2%	16,821	S	14.5%
Children in foster care	S	332	96%	332	S	11%
Children who are homeless	S	430	98%	430	S	9%
Migratory students	S	219	>=95%	219	S	6%
Military connected students	S	794	>=99%	794	S	35%
Male	S	18,250	99.1%	18,250	S	29.3%
Female	S	17,576	99.4%	17,576	S	26.5%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 8

Grade 8	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,191	99.1%	35,191	S	25.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	313	>=99%	313	S	15%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,012	>=99%	1,012	S	49%
<i>Asian</i>	S	954	>=99%	954	S	50%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	58	>=90%	58	S	17%
Black or African American	S	2,399	>=99%	2,399	S	10%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,079	99%	7,079	S	13.9%
White	S	22,521	99.2%	22,521	S	30.8%
Two or more races	S	1,867	>=99%	1,867	S	22%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	4,624	98%	4,624	S	5.8%
English learners	S	2,838	>=99%	2,838	S	3%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	15,964	99%	15,964	S	13.3%
Children in foster care	S	289	92%	289	S	7%
Children who are homeless	S	430	98%	430	S	10%
Migratory students	S	199	>=95%	199	S	7%
Military connected students	S	795	>=99%	795	S	32%
Male	S	18,013	99%	18,013	S	26.2%
Female	S	17,178	99.3%	17,178	S	25.5%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.1.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – High School

High School	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	34,748	98.1%	34,748	S	24.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	299	97%	299	S	15%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,132	98%	1,132	S	48%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,070	98%	1,070	S	50%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	62	94%	62	S	19%
Black or African American	S	2,316	97%	2,316	S	8%
Hispanic or Latino	S	6,667	97.2%	6,667	S	12.1%
White	S	22,643	98.5%	22,643	S	29%
Two or more races	S	1,691	98%	1,691	S	22%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	4,160	96.1%	4,160	S	4.5%
English learners	S	3,129	96.1%	3,129	S	3.5%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	14,153	97.1%	14,153	S	11.2%
Children in foster care	S	338	86%	338	S	4%
Children who are homeless	S	357	95%	357	S	9%
Migratory students	S	142	>=95%	142	S	<=5%
Military connected students	S	664	>=99%	664	S	28%
Male	S	17,773	97.7%	17,773	S	24.6%
Female	S	16,975	98.5%	16,975	S	24.4%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the reading/language arts assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the reading/language arts assessment, the number of students who took the reading/language arts assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (*IDEA*)" includes children who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

The student group "English learners" does not include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months and who took an assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment. Do not include former ELs.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

1.2.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 3

Grade 3	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,253	99.4%	35,014	S	40.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	241	>=95%	239	S	36%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,060	>=99%	1,034	S	53%
Asian	S	978	>=99%	954	S	54%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	82	>=95%	80	S	36%
Black or African American	S	2,466	>=99%	2,446	S	22%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,183	99.6%	7,012	S	24.2%
White	S	22,319	99.4%	22,301	S	47.7%
Two or more races	S	1,984	>=99%	1,982	S	36%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,604	98%	5,595	S	18.2%
English Learners	S	3,943	99.4%	3,706	S	11.6%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,646	99.5%	17,457	S	25.4%
Children in foster care	S	368	98%	367	S	18%
Children who are homeless	S	619	>=99%	597	S	21%
Migratory students	S	230	>=95%	216	S	19%
Military connected students	S	1,067	>=99%	1,058	S	53%
Male	S	18,062	99.3%	17,951	S	37.5%
Female	S	17,191	99.5%	17,063	S	43.9%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 4

Grade 4	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,826	99.5%	35,598	S	47.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	289	>=95%	286	S	41%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,059	>=99%	1,030	S	60%
<i>Asian</i>	S	975	>=99%	947	S	62%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	84	>=95%	83	S	33%
Black or African American	S	2,561	>=99%	2,538	S	27%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,488	99.6%	7,339	S	31.7%
White	S	22,469	99.4%	22,449	S	55.1%
Two or more races	S	1,960	>=99%	1,956	S	44%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,506	98.4%	5,494	S	20.5%
English Learners	S	4,036	99.4%	3,808	S	16.4%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,870	99.5%	17,680	S	31.9%
Children in foster care	S	350	98%	347	S	25%
Children who are homeless	S	563	>=99%	538	S	24%
Migratory students	S	219	>=95%	202	S	23%
Military connected students	S	1,033	>=99%	1,028	S	60%
Male	S	18,331	99.3%	18,223	S	44.4%
Female	S	17,495	99.6%	17,375	S	51.2%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 5

Grade 5	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	36,590	99.5%	36,388	S	43.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	289	>=95%	288	S	33%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,064	>=99%	1,032	S	59%
<i>Asian</i>	S	996	>=99%	968	S	60%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	68	>=95%	64	S	42%
Black or African American	S	2,624	>=99%	2,603	S	24%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,648	99.6%	7,521	S	27.1%
White	S	22,918	99.4%	22,897	S	50.8%
Two or more races	S	2,047	>=99%	2,047	S	42%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,328	98.2%	5,319	S	16.2%
English Learners	S	3,167	99.4%	2,967	S	8%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	18,038	99.5%	17,883	S	28.3%
Children in foster care	S	359	98%	359	S	20%
Children who are homeless	S	569	>=99%	548	S	22%
Migratory students	S	240	>=95%	220	S	12%
Military connected students	S	970	>=99%	960	S	52%
Male	S	18,665	99.3%	18,564	S	39.6%
Female	S	17,925	99.6%	17,824	S	47.7%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 6

Grade 6	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	36,488	99.2%	36,295	S	38.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	266	>=95%	265	S	25%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,100	>=99%	1,086	S	55%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,031	>=99%	1,019	S	56%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	69	>=95%	67	S	31%
Black or African American	S	2,481	>=99%	2,453	S	16%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,394	99.3%	7,259	S	21.5%
White	S	23,203	99.3%	23,189	S	45.8%
Two or more races	S	2,044	>=99%	2,043	S	35%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,026	97.9%	5,019	S	13.7%
English Learners	S	2,840	>=99%	2,647	S	5%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,554	99.2%	17,400	S	23.1%
Children in foster care	S	380	95%	380	S	18%
Children who are homeless	S	477	98%	451	S	13%
Migratory students	S	187	>=95%	171	S	10%
Military connected students	S	879	>=99%	873	S	47%
Male	S	18,743	99.2%	18,647	S	35%
Female	S	17,745	99.3%	17,648	S	42.1%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 7

Grade 7	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,890	99.3%	35,723	S	31.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	314	>=99%	314	S	22%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,074	>=99%	1,064	S	44%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,003	>=99%	995	S	46%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	71	>=95%	69	S	26%
Black or African American	S	2,542	>=99%	2,523	S	13%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,315	99.6%	7,191	S	18.1%
White	S	22,716	99.3%	22,703	S	38%
Two or more races	S	1,929	>=99%	1,928	S	29%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	4,892	98.2%	4,886	S	10.2%
English Learners	S	2,705	>=99%	2,539	S	2%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	16,878	99.3%	16,734	S	19.1%
Children in foster care	S	337	96%	336	S	15%
Children who are homeless	S	432	>=99%	405	S	14%
Migratory students	S	216	>=95%	198	S	11%
Military connected students	S	795	>=99%	793	S	43%
Male	S	18,278	99.2%	18,200	S	27.8%
Female	S	17,612	99.4%	17,523	S	35.9%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 8

Grade 8	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,265	99.2%	35,111	S	25.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	313	>=99%	313	S	18%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,011	>=99%	996	S	41%
<i>Asian</i>	S	953	>=99%	938	S	43%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	58	>=90%	58	S	17%
Black or African American	S	2,410	>=99%	2,388	S	11%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,095	99%	6,989	S	13.8%
White	S	22,556	99.2%	22,546	S	29.7%
Two or more races	S	1,880	>=99%	1,879	S	24%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	4,639	98%	4,633	S	7.3%
English Learners	S	2,837	>=99%	2,683	S	<=1%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	16,017	99%	15,895	S	13.9%
Children in foster care	S	296	93%	296	S	11%
Children who are homeless	S	432	98%	415	S	11%
Migratory students	S	199	>=95%	182	S	6%
Military connected students	S	795	>=99%	791	S	34%
Male	S	18,051	99%	17,975	S	21.4%
Female	S	17,214	99.3%	17,136	S	29.2%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.2.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – High School

High School	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	34,773	98.1%	34,616	S	28.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	298	96%	295	S	24%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,139	98%	1,114	S	41%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,075	98%	1,050	S	42%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	64	>=95%	64	S	20%
Black or African American	S	2,312	97%	2,299	S	12%
Hispanic or Latino	S	6,687	97.4%	6,584	S	14.9%
White	S	22,646	98.5%	22,636	S	33.7%
Two or more races	S	1,691	98%	1,688	S	27%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	4,161	96.1%	4,160	S	7%
English Learners	S	3,171	96.1%	3,014	S	2.6%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	14,198	97.3%	14,081	S	15.8%
Children in foster care	S	338	86%	338	S	9%
Children who are homeless	S	354	94%	347	S	14%
Migratory students	S	141	94%	131	S	6%
Military connected students	S	666	>=99%	662	S	35%
Male	S	17,795	97.8%	17,709	S	23.9%
Female	S	16,978	98.5%	16,907	S	33.3%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State’s testing window, the number of students who participated in the science assessment, the number of students who took the science assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

1.2.3.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 3

Grade 3	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 4

Grade 4	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 5

Grade 5	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	36,447	99.1%	36,447	S	43.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	288	>=95%	288	S	33%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,058	>=99%	1,058	S	58%
<i>Asian</i>	S	990	>=99%	990	S	59%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	68	>=95%	68	S	37%
Black or African American	S	2,616	>=99%	2,616	S	22%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,633	99.4%	7,633	S	27.6%
White	S	22,809	99%	22,809	S	50.6%
Two or more races	S	2,043	>=99%	2,043	S	40%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	5,282	97.5%	5,282	S	19.6%
English Learners	S	3,156	99.5%	3,156	S	13.6%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	17,971	99.1%	17,971	S	29.8%
Children in foster care	S	352	97%	352	S	22%
Children who are homeless	S	562	97%	562	S	22%
Migratory students	S	242	98%	242	S	12%
Military connected students	S	966	>=99%	966	S	54%
Male	S	18,600	99%	18,600	S	45%
Female	S	17,847	99.2%	17,847	S	41.2%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 6

Grade 6	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 7

Grade 7	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 8

Grade 8	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	35,269	99.2%	35,269	S	30.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	312	>=99%	312	S	22%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,011	>=99%	1,011	S	43%
<i>Asian</i>	S	953	>=99%	953	S	45%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	58	>=90%	58	S	19%
Black or African American	S	2,411	>=99%	2,411	S	11%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,107	99.1%	7,107	S	15.8%
White	S	22,547	99.2%	22,547	S	37.2%
Two or more races	S	1,881	>=99%	1,881	S	29%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	4,620	97.8%	4,620	S	10.1%
English Learners	S	2,842	>=99%	2,842	S	4%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	16,039	99.1%	16,039	S	17.9%
Children in foster care	S	294	92%	294	S	15%
Children who are homeless	S	432	98%	432	S	11%
Migratory students	S	201	>=95%	201	S	11%
Military connected students	S	797	>=99%	797	S	42%
Male	S	18,048	99%	18,048	S	34.1%
Female	S	17,221	99.3%	17,221	S	27.2%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.3.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – High School

High School	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	32,726	97.7%	32,726	S	32.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	280	97%	280	S	27%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,052	98%	1,052	S	40%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,006	98%	1,006	S	41%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	46	>=90%	46	S	26%
Black or African American	S	2,169	97%	2,169	S	10%
Hispanic or Latino	S	5,980	97%	5,980	S	17.1%
White	S	21,603	98%	21,603	S	38.8%
Two or more races	S	1,642	98%	1,642	S	30%
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	S	3,733	95.1%	3,733	S	9.7%
English Learners	S	2,642	96%	2,642	S	4%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	12,536	97%	12,536	S	18.7%
Children in foster care	S	284	82%	284	S	14%
Children who are homeless	S	315	94%	315	S	13%
Migratory students	S	132	>=95%	132	S	8%
Military connected students	S	595	>=99%	595	S	40%
Male	S	16,585	97.5%	16,585	S	34.4%
Female	S	16,141	97.9%	16,141	S	30.3%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4 Assessment Participation by Assessment Type for Children with Disabilities

This section collects information on children with disabilities' participation in the mathematics, reading/language arts, and science assessments.

1.2.4.1 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) participating during the State's testing window in mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by the type of assessment. The percentage of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) who participated in the mathematics assessment for each assessment option will be calculated automatically. The total number of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) participating will also be calculated automatically.

The data provided below should include mathematics participation data from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	14,094	40.15%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	18,157	51.73%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	2,851	8.12%
Total	35,102	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.2 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Mathematics

# Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
2,851	249,698	1.14%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.2.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards

	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in mathematics in SY2018-19?	YES

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.3 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

The data provided should include reading/language arts participation data from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Note: For this question only, report on children with disabilities (*IDEA*) who are also ELs in the U.S. less than 12 months who took the ELP assessment in lieu of the statewide reading/language arts assessment.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	14,131	40.20%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	18,125	51.56%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	2,850	8.11%
Recently arrived ELs, took ELP	50	0.14%
Total	35,156	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.4 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Reading/Language Arts

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
2,850	250,085	1.14%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.4.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards in reading/language arts in SY2018-19?	YES

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.5 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Science Assessment

The data provided should include science participation results from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	5,504	40.37%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	7,021	51.49%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	1,110	8.14%
Total	13,635	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.6 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Science

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
1,110	104,442	1.06%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.4.6.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards in science in SY2018-19?	YES

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5 Assessments for ELs

This section collects information on EL assessment results.

1.2.5.1 EL Provisions for Content Assessments

This sub-section collects information on provisions provided to ELs.

1.2.5.1.1 Recently Arrived ELs Taking ELP Assessments in Lieu of Reading/Language Arts Assessments

In the table below, provide the number of recently arrived ELs included in the participation counts in 1.2 who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment, as permitted under Section 1111(b)(3)(A)(i) of the *ESEA*.

Recently Arrived ELs	#
Recently arrived ELs who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment	1,340

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.1.2 Native Language Assessments Offered

In the table below, indicate whether native language assessments were offered in mathematics, reading/languages arts, and science. Write "Yes" if the specified assessment is used for accountability purposes. List languages, other than English, in which content tests were offered.

Native Language Testing	Yes/No	If yes, are the tests considered to be trans-adapted assessments?	Language(s)
State offers the State mathematics content tests in the students' native language(s).	NO		
State offers the State reading/language arts content tests in the students' native language(s).	NO		
State offers the State science content tests in the students' native language(s).	NO		

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.2 Performance of ELs on State ELP Assessment

In the table below, please provide for all ELs: the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

	# Tested	# Not Tested	# Making Progress	Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
All ELs	39,331	S	S	24.8%	S	13.3%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.3 Performance of ELs in Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) Receiving Title III Funds on State ELP

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, and for ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds: the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

	# Tested	# Not Tested	# Making Progress	Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	39,070	S	S	24.8%	S	13.2%
ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	5,745	S	S	25%	S	<=5%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.3.1 ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds Who Have Exited Language Instruction Educational Program (LIEP) based on Attaining ELP

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds the number and percentage who have exited an LIEP based on attaining ELP.

	#	%*
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds who exited	980	7.90%

* The number is taken from FS204 but the percentage is manually entered.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.3.2 ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds Who Have Not Attained Proficiency in 5 Years

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds the number and percentage who have not attained proficiency within five years.

	#	%*
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds who have not attained proficiency within 5 years	11,453	92.10%

* The number is taken from FS204 but the percentage is manually entered.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status

This section collects data on the performance of students who have exited EL status as required by Sections 3121(a)(5) and 3122(a) of the ESEA.

1.2.5.4.1 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status by Year

In the table below, report the count of students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status for each of the four years after such students have exited EL status.

# Year One	# Year Two	# Year Three	# Year Four	Total
4,852	2,591	238	7	7,688

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4.2 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Mathematics

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual mathematics assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of language instruction educational programs (LIEPs) and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status - % At or Above Proficient	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient
Year One	3,220	37%	156	38%
Year Two	2,587	32%	58	22%
Year Three	238	48%	5	S
Year Four	5	S		

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4.3 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Reading/Language Arts

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual reading/language arts assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status - % At or Above Proficient	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient
Year One	3,223	38.6%	156	44%
Year Two	2,590	38%	58	28%
Year Three	238	58%	5	S
Year Four	5	S		

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.5.4.4 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Science

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual science assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status - % At or Above Proficient	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient
Year One	2,517	34%	75	37%
Year Two				
Year Three	1	S		
Year Four	4	S		

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.6 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities**1.2.6.1 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities**

This section requests information on your State's use of funds under Section 1201 of *ESEA*.

1.2.6.1.1 Percentages of Funds Used for Standards and Assessment Development and Other Purposes

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the *ESEA* (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2018-19, estimate what percentage of the funds your State used for the following (round to the nearest ten percent).

Purpose	Percentage (rounded to the nearest ten percent)
To pay the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i>	30.00%
To administer assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i> or to carry out other activities described in Section 1201 of the <i>ESEA</i>	70.00%

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.2.6.1.2 Uses of Funds for Purposes Other than Standards and Assessment Development

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the *ESEA* (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2018-19 that were used for purposes other than the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the *ESEA*, for what purposes did your State use the funds? (Enter "yes" for all that apply and "no" for all that do not apply).

Purpose	Used for Purpose (yes/no)
Administering assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i>	YES
Ensuring the provision of appropriate accommodations available to ELs and children with disabilities to improve the rates of inclusion in regular assessments of such children, including professional development activities to improve the implementation of such accommodations in instructional practice.	YES
Developing challenging State academic standards and aligned assessments in academic subjects for which standards and assessments are not required under Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	NO
Developing or improving assessments of ELs, including assessments of ELP as required under Section 1111(b)(2)(G) of the <i>ESEA</i> and academic assessments in languages other than English to meet the State's obligations under Section 1111(b)(2)(F) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	YES
Ensuring the continued validity and reliability of State assessments.	YES
Refining State assessments to ensure their continued alignment with challenging academic standards and to improve the alignment of curricula and instructional materials.	YES
Developing or improving balanced assessment systems that include summative, interim, and formative assessments, including supporting local educational agencies in developing and improving such assessments.	YES
At the discretion of the State, refining science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> in order to integrate engineering design skills and practices into such assessments.	YES
Developing or improving models to measure and assess student progress or student growth on State assessments under Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> and other assessments not required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	NO
Developing or improving assessments for children with disabilities, including alternate assessments aligned to alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities described in Section 1111(b)(2)(D) of the <i>ESEA</i> , and using the principles of universal design for learning.	YES
Allowing for collaboration with institutions of higher education, other research institutions, or other organizations to improve the quality, validity, and reliability of State academic assessments beyond the requirements for such assessments described in Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	NO
Measuring student academic achievement using multiple measures of student academic achievement from multiple sources.	NO
Evaluating student academic achievement through the development of comprehensive academic assessment instruments (such as performance and technology based academic assessments, computer adaptive assessments, projects, or extended performance task assessments) that emphasize the mastery of standards and aligned competencies in a competency-based education model.	NO
Designing report cards and reports under Section 1111(h) of the <i>ESEA</i> in an easily accessible, user-friendly manner that cross-tabulates student information by any category the State determines appropriate, as long as such cross-tabulations (i) does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student, and (ii) is derived from existing State and local reporting requirements.	YES
Other	NO

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.3 TEACHERS

In the tables below, provide the number of teachers for each of the school types listed and the number of teachers who are inexperienced, emergency or provisional credentialed, or out of field. The percentages used for high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine those percentages are reported in the second table. Below the tables are FAQs about these data.

1.3.1 Inexperienced Teachers

In the table below, provide information on the experience of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	# (FTE) Inexperienced Teachers	Percentage Inexperienced Teachers
High-poverty schools	9,500.36	3,024.18	31.83%
Low-poverty schools	9,721.65	2,800.99	28.81%
Total schools	33,837.14	10,008.83	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.3.2 Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials

In the table below, provide information on the credentials of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	# (FTE) Teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials	Percentage Teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials
High-poverty schools	9,500.36	396.35	4.17%
Low-poverty schools	9,721.65	175.89	1.81%
Total schools	33,837.14	900.34	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.3.3 Teachers Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for which they are Certified or Licensed

In the table below, provide information on teachers not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed by poverty quartile and level.

School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	# (FTE) Teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed	Percentage teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed
High-poverty schools	9,500.36	390.44	4.11%
Low-poverty schools	9,721.65	365.00	3.75%
Total schools	33,837.14	1,580.99	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.3.4 Poverty Quartile Breaks

In the table below, provide the poverty quartiles breaks used in determining high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine the poverty quartiles.

	High-Poverty Schools (more than what %)	Low-Poverty Schools (less than what %)
All schools	64.14%	33.84%

Poverty metric used	free or reduced-price lunch program
---------------------	-------------------------------------

FAQ:

How are the poverty quartiles determined? Rank order all schools from highest to lowest on your percentage poverty measure. Divide the list into four equal groups. Schools in the first (highest group) are high-poverty schools. Schools in the last group (lowest group) are the low-poverty schools. Generally, States use the percentage of students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program for this calculation.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4 TITLE III AND LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS

This section collects annual performance and accountability data on the implementation of Title III programs.

1.4.1 EL Enrollment Data**1.4.1.1 Number of ALL ELs Enrolled in the State**

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ALL ELs in the State who meet the EL definition under Section 8101(21) of the *ESEA*.

- Include newly enrolled (recent arrivals to the U.S.) and continually enrolled ELs, whether or not they receive services in a Title III LIEP.
- Do not include students previously identified as ELs (as described in Section 1111(b)(3)(B) of the *ESEA*) or students who exit LIEPs based on attainment of ELP (as described in Section 3121(a)(4) of the *ESEA*) in the ALL EL student count in this table.

Total ELs	#
Number of ALL ELs in the State	44,105
Number of ELs identified as children with disabilities	6,118

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.1.2 Number of ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ELs in the State served by LIEPs in LEAs receiving Title III funds.

ELs Receiving Services	#
ELs enrolled in LEAs receiving Title III funds who are served by a LIEP	41,300

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.2 LIEPs

Note: Section 3201(7) – The term ‘LIEP’ means an instruction course – (A) in which an EL is placed for the purpose of developing and attaining English proficiency, while meeting challenging State academic standards; and (B) that may make instructional use of both English and a child’s native language to enable the child to develop and attain English proficiency and may include the participation of English proficient children if such course is designed to enable all participating children to become proficient in English and a second language.

In the table below, identify the language of instruction (other than English) next to each type of LIEP implemented in the State, as defined under Section 3201(7), as required by Sections 3121(a)(1) and 3122(b)(1) of the *ESEA*.

LIEPs	Language of instruction (if applicable)	Number of ELs Enrolled
Transitional Bilingual		
Dual Language or Two-way Immersion		
English as Second language (ESL) or English Language Development (ELD)		
Content Classes with integrated ESL support		
Newcomer programs		
Other		

Explain in the comment box below if the State has any additional LIEPs that are not captured in the table above.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Kansas hasn’t collected this information in a way that ties it to each student. We have collected it by LEA in past years. In 20-21 we will begin collecting this data at the student level.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.3 Most Commonly Spoken Languages in the State

In the table below, provide the five most commonly spoken languages, other than English, in the State (for all ELs, not just ELs enrolled in LEAs that received Title III services). The top five languages should be determined by the highest number of ELs speaking each of the languages listed. Report additional languages with significant numbers of ELs in the comment section.

Language	# ELs
Spanish; Castilian	36,522
Vietnamese	819
Arabic	622
Swahili	510
Chinese	384

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.4 Teacher Information and Professional Development

This section collects information about teachers as required under Section 3122 (b)(5) of the ESEA.

In the table below, report the unduplicated headcount of teachers who are working in LIEPs as defined under Section 3302(7) of the *ESEA* and reported in CSPR Section 1.4.2 (LIEPs) even if they are not paid with Title III funds.

Number of teachers serving in LIEPs (including core content (reading, math, science and social studies) in LEAs receiving Title III funds	Number of certified, licensed or endorsed teachers serving in LIEP in LEAs receiving Title III funds	How many ESL/bilingual education endorsed teachers will be needed for the succeeding 5 fiscal years.*
704	690	250

*This number should be the total additional teachers needed for the next 5 years, not the number needed for each year. Do not include the number of teachers currently working in Title III English language instruction educational programs.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.5 Activities of Subgrantees Related to the Teaching and Learning of ELs

This section aggregates data on LEA-level activities that support the education of ELs with Title III funds.

In the tables below, provide information about allowable activities conducted under Section 3115 of the *ESEA*.

Activities serving ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds	# LEAs that conducted activity
Supporting the development and implementation of LIEPs	125
Enhancing existing LIEPs and programs for restructuring and reforming schools with ELs	125
Supporting implementation of school wide programs	125
Professional development to teachers and other personnel serving ELs	125
Parent and community engagement activities	56
Supporting the development and implementation of pre-school programs	125
Improving LIEPs by upgrading curricula, instructional materials, software and assessment procedures	96
Improving instruction of ELs with disabilities	125
Providing tutorials, career and technical education	20
Offering programs to help ELs achieve success in post-secondary education	34
Other	0

If State reports a nonzero count of LEAs under "Other", please describe the activities that were conducted during SY2018-19 in the comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.6 Assistance Provided by SEAs under Section 3111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA

Provide information on technical assistance and other forms of assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that are receiving Title III subgrants.

Activities	Check all that apply
Identifying and implementing effective LIEPs and curricula for teaching English learners	YES
Helping ELs meet the same challenging State academic standards that all children are expected to meet	YES
Identifying or developing, and implementing, measures of English proficiency	YES
Strengthening and increasing parent, family, and community engagement in programs that serve ELs	YES
Providing recognition, which may include providing financial awards, to recipients of subgrants under section 3115 that have significantly improved the achievement and progress of ELs	NO
Other	NO

If "Other" is checked, please provide information in the comment box below on the types of technical assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that aren't captured by the first five types of activities in the table.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.7 Education Programs and Activities for Immigrant Students

In the table below, report the unduplicated number of immigrant students enrolled in schools in the State and the number of immigrant students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*.

Note: Not all immigrant students are ELs.

# Immigrant Students Enrolled	# Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d)	# of 3114(d)(1) Subgrants
3,766	2,178	4

If State reports zero (0) students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) or the *ESEA* or zero (0) subgrants, explain in comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Table 1.4.7 Definitions:

- 1. Immigrant Students Enrolled:** Number of students who meet the definition of immigrant children and youth under Section 3201(5) of the *ESEA* and enrolled in the elementary or secondary schools in the State.
- 2. Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d):** Number of immigrant students enrolled in LEAs receiving funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*. This number should not include immigrant students who are enrolled in an LEA that receives Title III funds under Section 3114(a) of the *ESEA*, but not Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*.
- 3. 3114(d) Subgrants:** Number of subgrants made in the State under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*, with funds reserved for immigrant children and youth. Do not include in the count LEAs that receive Title III English language acquisition subgrants made under Section 3114(a) of the *ESEA*, but that do not receive subgrants under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*, even if immigrant students are enrolled in those LEAs.

1.4.8 State Subgrant Activities

This section collects data on State subgrant activities.

1.4.8.1 State Subgrant Process

In the table below, report the time between when the State receives the Title III allocation from the U.S. Department of Education (ED), normally on July 1 of each year for the upcoming school year, and the time when the State distributes these funds to subgrantees for the intended school year. Dates must be submitted using the MM/DD/YY format.

Example: State received SY2018-19 funds July 1, 2018, and then made these funds available to subgrantees on August 1, 2018, for SY2018-19 programs. Then the "# of days/\$\$ Distribution" is 30 days.

Date State Received Allocation	Date Funds Available to Subgrantees	# of Days/\$\$ Distribution
7/1/2018	9/1/2018	62

Table 1.4.8.1 Definitions:

- Date State Received Allocation** = Date the State receives the Title III allocation from ED.
- Date Funds Available to Subgrantees** = Date that Title III funds are available to approved subgrantees.
- # of Days/\$\$ Distribution** = Average number of days for States receiving Title III funds to make subgrants to subgrantees beginning from July 1 of each year, except under conditions where funds are being withheld.

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.4.8.2 Steps to Shorten the Distribution of Title III Funds to Subgrantees

In the comment box below, describe how your State can shorten the process of distributing Title III funds to subgrantees.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Kansas could shorten the timeline of when funds are available, however working across departments this is the process in place.

1.4.9 Title III Subgrantees

This section collects data on the performance of Title III subgrantees.

1.4.9.1 Termination of Title III Language Instruction Educational Programs

This section collects data on the termination of Title III programs or activities as required by Section 3122(b)(7) of the *ESEA*.

Termination of Title III Programs	Yes / No
Were any Title III language instruction educational programs <u>or</u> activities terminated for failure to reach program goals?	NO
If yes, provide the number of language instruction educational programs <u>or</u> activities terminated.	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.5 PERSISTENTLY DANGEROUS SCHOOLS

In the table below, provide the number of schools identified as persistently dangerous, as determined by the State, by the start of the school year. For further guidance on persistently dangerous schools, refer to Section B "Identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools" in the Unsafe School Choice Option Non-Regulatory Guidance, available at: <http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/unsafeschoolchoice.pdf>.

Persistently Dangerous Schools	#
Persistently Dangerous Schools	

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Kansas has no schools identified as persistently dangerous.

1.6 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM

This section collects data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento grant program.

In the table below, provide the following information about the number of LEAs in the State who reported data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento program. The totals will be automatically calculated.

LEAs	#	# LEAs Reporting Data
LEAs without subgrants	301	185
LEAs with subgrants	10	10
Total	311	195

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.6.1 All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento subgrants)

The following questions collect data on homeless children and youth in the State.

1.6.1.1 Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The totals will be automatically calculated:

Age/Grade	# of Homeless Children/Youth Enrolled
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	289
K	672
1	696
2	684
3	771
4	712
5	720
6	616
7	546
8	559
9	560
10	486
11	447
12	599
Ungraded	12
Total	8,369

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.6.1.2 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by primary nighttime residence enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The primary nighttime residence should be the student's nighttime residence when he/she was identified as homeless. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Primary Nighttime Residence	# of Homeless Children/Youth	# of Homeless Children/Youth who are Unaccompanied
Shelters and transitional housing	772	62
Doubled-up (e.g., living with another family)	6,965	952
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks, campgrounds, temporary trailer, or abandoned buildings)	125	11
Hotels/Motels	507	7
Total	8,369	1,032

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.6.1.3 Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students enrolled during the regular school year.

Special Population	# Homeless Children/Youth
Unaccompanied homeless youth	1,032
Migrant children/youth	202
Children with disabilities (<i>IDEA</i>)	1,718
English Learners (ELs)	1,244

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

1.6.2 Young Homeless Children Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants

In the table below, provide the number of young homeless children who were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants during the regular school year. The total will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	# Homeless Children/Youth Served by Subgrants
Age Birth Through 2	298
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	427
Total	725

Comments: The response is limited to 4,000 characters.