

**CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT:  
Part I**

for  
**STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS**  
under the  
**ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT**  
As amended in 2001

For reporting on  
**School Year 2018-19**

**Oklahoma**



**PART I DUE Thursday, April 09, 2020**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20202**

## INTRODUCTION

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303<sup>1</sup> of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*, as amended by the *Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015(ESSA)*<sup>2</sup>.

### Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 35.00 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

---

<sup>1</sup> SEC.8303. Consolidated Reporting – (a) In general: In order to simplify reporting requirements and reduce reporting burdens, the Secretary shall establish procedures and criteria under which a State educational agency, in consultation with the Governor of the State, may submit a consolidated State annual report. (b) Contents: The report shall contain information about the programs included in the report, including the performance of the State under those programs, and other matters as the Secretary determines are necessary, such as monitoring activities. (c) Replacement: The report shall replace separate individual annual reports for the programs included in the consolidated State annual report.

<sup>2</sup> All citations to the ESEA in this document are to the ESEA, as amended by the ESSA.

OMB Number: 1810-0724
Expiration Date: 10/31/2022

Consolidated State Performance Report  
For  
State Formula Grant Programs  
under the  
Elementary And Secondary Education Act  
as amended in 2001

**Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting:**

Part I, 2018-19     Part II, 2018-19

**Name of State Education Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report:**

OKLAHOMA STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

**Address:**

**Person to contact about this report:**

**Name:**

Michael Tamborski

**Telephone:**

405-521-3301

**Fax:**

405-521-6205

**e-mail:**

michael.tamborski@sde.ok.gov

**Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type):**

Michael Tamborski

**Submitted Date and Time:**

05/07/20 3:32:56 PM

**1.1 GENERAL INFORMATION**

This section collects data on State's Annual State Report Cards required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the *ESEA*.

**1.1.1 State Report Cards**

Provide the url for your State's publicly posted Annual State Report Card required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	<a href="https://oklaschools.com/">https://oklaschools.com/</a>
---	---

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

## 1.2 STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS

This section collects data on student academic achievement and participation in the State assessments.

**Note:** States are not required to report these data by the racial/ethnic groups shown in the table below; instead, they are required to report these data by the major racial and ethnic groups that align with their accountability system.

### 1.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the mathematics assessment, the number of students who took the mathematics assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who participated in the assessment and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (*IDEA*)" includes children with disabilities, as defined under the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (*IDEA*), who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

The student group "English learners" should include recently arrived students who are identified as English Learners (ELs) and who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months. Do not include former ELs (i.e., those who exited EL status prior to the testing window within the past four years).

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

#### 1.2.1.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 3

Grade 3	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	51,664	99.6%	51,664	S	42.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,458	99.7%	6,458	S	42.6%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,234	>=99%	1,234	S	55%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,016	>=99%	1,016	S	63%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	218	>=95%	218	S	20%
Black or African American	S	4,454	99.4%	4,454	S	20.4%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,541	99.7%	9,541	S	28.7%
White	S	24,324	99.6%	24,324	S	51.7%
Two or more races	S	5,653	99.8%	5,653	S	44.1%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	9,723	99.4%	9,723	S	23.9%
English learners	S	6,587	99.7%	6,587	S	22.7%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34,795	99.6%	34,795	S	34.1%
Children in foster care	S	247	>=95%	247	S	33%
Children who are homeless	S	1,643	>=99%	1,643	S	27%
Migratory students	S	38	>=90%	38	S	37%
Military connected students	S	441	>=99%	441	S	55%
Male	S	26,384	99.6%	26,384	S	45.2%
Female	S	25,280	99.7%	25,280	S	40.5%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.1.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 4**

<b>Grade 4</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	52,234	99.6%	52,234	S	38.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,764	99.7%	6,764	S	35.7%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,184	>=99%	1,184	S	55%
<i>Asian</i>	S	995	>=99%	995	S	61%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	189	>=95%	189	S	24%
Black or African American	S	4,575	99.6%	4,575	S	19.2%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,804	99.6%	9,804	S	26.6%
White	S	24,424	99.5%	24,424	S	46.3%
Two or more races	S	5,483	99.5%	5,483	S	37.9%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	9,374	99.2%	9,374	S	19.8%
English learners	S	6,045	99.7%	6,045	S	17.1%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34,907	99.5%	34,907	S	29.6%
Children in foster care	S	233	>=95%	233	S	24%
Children who are homeless	S	1,458	>=99%	1,458	S	27%
Migratory students	S	35	>=90%	35	S	40%
Military connected students	S	462	>=99%	462	S	53%
Male	S	26,858	99.5%	26,858	S	41.8%
Female	S	25,376	99.7%	25,376	S	34.3%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

## 1.2.1.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 5

Grade 5	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	52,492	99.6%	52,492	S	30.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,634	99.7%	6,634	S	26.7%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,173	>=99%	1,173	S	55%
<i>Asian</i>	S	984	>=99%	984	S	62%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	189	>=95%	189	S	16%
Black or African American	S	4,428	99.8%	4,428	S	14.4%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,837	99.7%	9,837	S	21.6%
White	S	24,841	99.6%	24,841	S	37.5%
Two or more races	S	5,579	99.5%	5,579	S	30.8%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	9,163	99.4%	9,163	S	12.9%
English learners	S	4,924	99.7%	4,924	S	10%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34,853	99.6%	34,853	S	22.6%
Children in foster care	S	197	>=95%	197	S	18%
Children who are homeless	S	1,422	>=99%	1,422	S	18%
Migratory students	S	37	>=90%	37	S	38%
Military connected students	S	349	>=99%	349	S	51%
Male	S	26,836	99.6%	26,836	S	32.2%
Female	S	25,656	99.7%	25,656	S	29.5%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

## 1.2.1.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 6

Grade 6	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	52,166	99.4%	52,166	S	30.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,829	99.3%	6,829	S	27%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,203	>=99%	1,203	S	49%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,011	>=99%	1,011	S	55%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	192	>=95%	192	S	14%
Black or African American	S	4,490	99.2%	4,490	S	12.4%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,632	99.5%	9,632	S	19.7%
White	S	24,812	99.4%	24,812	S	37.2%
Two or more races	S	5,200	99.5%	5,200	S	30.7%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	8,605	98.8%	8,605	S	10.7%
English learners	S	3,551	99.6%	3,551	S	5.5%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	33,679	99.3%	33,679	S	21.6%
Children in foster care	S	221	>=95%	221	S	18%
Children who are homeless	S	1,277	>=99%	1,277	S	15%
Migratory students	S	30	>=80%	30	S	27%
Military connected students	S	382	>=99%	382	S	44%
Male	S	26,694	99.3%	26,694	S	32.5%
Female	S	25,472	99.6%	25,472	S	27.6%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.



**1.2.1.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 7**

<b>Grade 7</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	50,194	99.2%	50,194	S	32.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,740	99.3%	6,740	S	29.5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,158	>=99%	1,158	S	54%
<i>Asian</i>	S	970	>=99%	970	S	61%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	188	>=95%	188	S	19%
Black or African American	S	4,376	98.5%	4,376	S	15.4%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,225	99.4%	9,225	S	23.8%
White	S	24,015	99.2%	24,015	S	39.3%
Two or more races	S	4,680	99.3%	4,680	S	32.8%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	8,116	98.6%	8,116	S	9.8%
English learners	S	2,755	>=99%	2,755	S	10%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	31,293	99%	31,293	S	24%
Children in foster care	S	191	>=95%	191	S	24%
Children who are homeless	S	1,292	>=99%	1,292	S	18%
Migratory students	S	31	>=90%	31	S	26%
Military connected students	S	329	>=99%	329	S	45%
Male	S	25,473	99.1%	25,473	S	32.8%
Female	S	24,721	99.3%	24,721	S	32.8%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.1.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – Grade 8**

<b>Grade 8</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	47,690	99.1%	47,690	S	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,589	99.2%	6,589	S	19.4%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,074	>=99%	1,074	S	48%
<i>Asian</i>	S	918	>=99%	918	S	54%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	156	>=95%	156	S	15%
Black or African American	S	3,888	98.2%	3,888	S	10.3%
Hispanic or Latino	S	8,110	99%	8,110	S	16.1%
White	S	23,914	99.2%	23,914	S	27.1%
Two or more races	S	4,115	98.9%	4,115	S	23.3%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	7,188	98.6%	7,188	S	6.5%
English learners	S	2,040	98%	2,040	S	5%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	28,716	98.8%	28,716	S	15.8%
Children in foster care	S	151	>=95%	151	S	13%
Children who are homeless	S	1,081	98%	1,081	S	11%
Migratory students	S	28	>=80%	28	S	25%
Military connected students	S	357	>=99%	357	S	30%
Male	S	24,486	99%	24,486	S	23%
Female	S	23,204	99.1%	23,204	S	22.9%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.1.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment – High School**

<b>High School</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	44,386	97.1%	44,386	S	23.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,247	97.3%	6,247	S	18.3%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,142	97%	1,142	S	45%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,006	98%	1,006	S	49%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	136	92%	136	S	13%
Black or African American	S	3,832	94.9%	3,832	S	7.6%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,210	96.8%	7,210	S	14.1%
White	S	22,455	97.6%	22,455	S	29.8%
Two or more races	S	3,500	96.7%	3,500	S	24.8%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	6,246	94.3%	6,246	S	5.1%
English learners	S	2,097	96%	2,097	S	4%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	23,039	96.3%	23,039	S	14.3%
Children in foster care	S	122	>=95%	122	S	11%
Children who are homeless	S	968	93%	968	S	8%
Migratory students	S	19	>=80%	19	S	21%
Military connected students	S	290	>=95%	290	S	32%
Male	S	22,245	96.6%	22,245	S	25.5%
Female	S	22,141	97.6%	22,141	S	21.9%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

### 1.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the reading/language arts assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the reading/language arts assessment, the number of students who took the reading/language arts assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (*IDEA*)" includes children who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

The student group "English learners" does not include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months and who took an assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment. Do not include former ELs.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

#### 1.2.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 3

Grade 3	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Participating	# Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or Above Proficient	Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient
All students	S	51,710	99.7%	51,710	S	38.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,466	99.8%	6,466	S	38%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,233	>=99%	1,233	S	49%
Asian	S	1,016	>=99%	1,016	S	55%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	217	>=95%	217	S	21%
Black or African American	S	4,462	99.6%	4,462	S	20.8%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,551	99.8%	9,551	S	25%
White	S	24,344	99.6%	24,344	S	46.9%
Two or more races	S	5,654	99.8%	5,654	S	40.5%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	9,728	99.4%	9,728	S	17.2%
English Learners	S	6,596	99.8%	6,596	S	17.2%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34,840	99.7%	34,840	S	30%
Children in foster care	S	248	>=95%	248	S	26%
Children who are homeless	S	1,649	>=99%	1,649	S	23%
Migratory students	S	38	>=90%	38	S	45%
Military connected students	S	442	>=99%	442	S	55%
Male	S	26,408	99.6%	26,408	S	35.5%
Female	S	25,302	99.7%	25,302	S	42.3%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 4**

<b>Grade 4</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	52,274	99.6%	52,274	S	30.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,770	99.7%	6,770	S	27.8%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,184	>=99%	1,184	S	39%
<i>Asian</i>	S	995	>=99%	995	S	43%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	189	>=95%	189	S	18%
Black or African American	S	4,578	99.6%	4,578	S	15.2%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,805	99.6%	9,805	S	18.1%
White	S	24,449	99.6%	24,449	S	38.3%
Two or more races	S	5,488	99.5%	5,488	S	31.1%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	9,383	99.3%	9,383	S	12.4%
English Learners	S	6,049	99.7%	6,049	S	7.3%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34,951	99.6%	34,951	S	22.4%
Children in foster care	S	234	>=95%	234	S	20%
Children who are homeless	S	1,466	>=99%	1,466	S	19%
Migratory students	S	35	>=90%	35	S	26%
Military connected students	S	462	>=99%	462	S	42%
Male	S	26,885	99.5%	26,885	S	28.7%
Female	S	25,389	99.7%	25,389	S	32.2%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 5**

<b>Grade 5</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	52,500	99.6%	52,500	S	35.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,635	99.7%	6,635	S	31.5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,173	>=99%	1,173	S	49%
<i>Asian</i>	S	984	>=99%	984	S	54%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	189	>=95%	189	S	19%
Black or African American	S	4,431	99.6%	4,431	S	18.2%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,841	99.7%	9,841	S	23.3%
White	S	24,838	99.6%	24,838	S	43.2%
Two or more races	S	5,582	99.5%	5,582	S	37.1%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	9,161	99.3%	9,161	S	13.5%
English Learners	S	4,931	99.8%	4,931	S	7.5%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34,866	99.6%	34,866	S	27%
Children in foster care	S	197	>=95%	197	S	22%
Children who are homeless	S	1,432	>=99%	1,432	S	23%
Migratory students	S	37	>=90%	37	S	38%
Military connected students	S	349	>=99%	349	S	53%
Male	S	26,843	99.6%	26,843	S	32.9%
Female	S	25,657	99.6%	25,657	S	38%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.2.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 6**

<b>Grade 6</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	52,216	99.5%	52,216	S	36.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,837	99.5%	6,837	S	32.3%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,204	>=99%	1,204	S	48%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,011	>=99%	1,011	S	54%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	193	>=95%	193	S	22%
Black or African American	S	4,498	99.4%	4,498	S	20.4%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,643	99.5%	9,643	S	25.5%
White	S	24,828	99.5%	24,828	S	43.2%
Two or more races	S	5,206	99.6%	5,206	S	38.4%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	8,623	99%	8,623	S	11.9%
English Learners	S	3,554	99.7%	3,554	S	5.9%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	33,723	99.5%	33,723	S	27.5%
Children in foster care	S	222	>=95%	222	S	27%
Children who are homeless	S	1,285	>=99%	1,285	S	22%
Migratory students	S	30	>=80%	30	S	27%
Military connected students	S	381	>=99%	381	S	50%
Male	S	26,717	99.4%	26,717	S	34.2%
Female	S	25,499	99.6%	25,499	S	38.3%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.2.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 7**

<b>Grade 7</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	50,236	99.3%	50,236	S	29.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,740	99.3%	6,740	S	26.9%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,159	>=99%	1,159	S	43%
<i>Asian</i>	S	970	>=99%	970	S	48%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	189	>=95%	189	S	15%
Black or African American	S	4,394	98.9%	4,394	S	15.1%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,233	99.4%	9,233	S	18.7%
White	S	24,029	99.3%	24,029	S	35.9%
Two or more races	S	4,681	99.4%	4,681	S	30.6%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	8,134	98.8%	8,134	S	10%
English Learners	S	2,759	>=99%	2,759	S	5%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	31,335	99.2%	31,335	S	21.4%
Children in foster care	S	190	>=95%	190	S	19%
Children who are homeless	S	1,290	>=99%	1,290	S	16%
Migratory students	S	31	>=90%	31	S	19%
Military connected students	S	330	>=99%	330	S	39%
Male	S	25,499	99.2%	25,499	S	27.2%
Female	S	24,737	99.4%	24,737	S	31.6%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.



**1.2.2.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – Grade 8**

<b>Grade 8</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	47,786	99.2%	47,786	S	30.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,599	99.3%	6,599	S	27.7%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,076	>=99%	1,076	S	46%
<i>Asian</i>	S	919	>=99%	919	S	50%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	157	>=95%	157	S	21%
Black or African American	S	3,901	98.5%	3,901	S	16%
Hispanic or Latino	S	8,134	99.3%	8,134	S	21.5%
White	S	23,942	99.3%	23,942	S	36.4%
Two or more races	S	4,134	99.3%	4,134	S	31.6%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	7,194	98.7%	7,194	S	11.1%
English Learners	S	2,047	>=99%	2,047	S	4%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	28,785	99%	28,785	S	23.1%
Children in foster care	S	151	>=95%	151	S	17%
Children who are homeless	S	1,086	98%	1,086	S	16%
Migratory students	S	28	>=80%	28	S	21%
Military connected students	S	356	>=99%	356	S	42%
Male	S	24,519	99.1%	24,519	S	27.8%
Female	S	23,267	99.4%	23,267	S	34%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.2.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment – High School**

<b>High School</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	44,365	97%	44,365	S	32.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,243	97.2%	6,243	S	27.2%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,142	97%	1,142	S	45%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,006	98%	1,006	S	48%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	136	92%	136	S	21%
Black or African American	S	3,832	94.9%	3,832	S	15.8%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,209	96.7%	7,209	S	19.7%
White	S	22,443	97.5%	22,443	S	40.4%
Two or more races	S	3,496	96.6%	3,496	S	34.7%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	6,244	94.2%	6,244	S	9.9%
English Learners	S	2,096	95%	2,096	S	2%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	23,030	96.2%	23,030	S	22.4%
Children in foster care	S	122	>=95%	122	S	25%
Children who are homeless	S	967	93%	967	S	16%
Migratory students	S	19	>=80%	19	S	32%
Military connected students	S	289	>=95%	289	S	43%
Male	S	22,232	96.6%	22,232	S	29.3%
Female	S	22,133	97.5%	22,133	S	36.1%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment**

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on: the number of students enrolled during the State’s testing window, the number of students who participated in the science assessment, the number of students who took the science assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

**1.2.3.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 3**

<b>Grade 3</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.3.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 4**

<b>Grade 4</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.3.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 5**

<b>Grade 5</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	52,443	99.5%	52,443	S	38.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,630	99.6%	6,630	S	34.5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,174	>=99%	1,174	S	53%
<i>Asian</i>	S	985	>=99%	985	S	59%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	189	>=95%	189	S	19%
Black or African American	S	4,418	99.5%	4,418	S	17.9%
Hispanic or Latino	S	9,828	99.6%	9,828	S	25.9%
White	S	24,817	99.5%	24,817	S	47.3%
Two or more races	S	5,576	99.4%	5,576	S	38.9%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	9,136	99%	9,136	S	17.7%
English Learners	S	4,922	99.6%	4,922	S	10.4%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34,808	99.5%	34,808	S	29.9%
Children in foster care	S	196	>=95%	196	S	28%
Children who are homeless	S	1,421	>=99%	1,421	S	25%
Migratory students	S	37	>=90%	37	S	49%
Military connected students	S	348	>=99%	348	S	57%
Male	S	26,805	99.5%	26,805	S	39.5%
Female	S	25,638	99.6%	25,638	S	37.3%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.3.4 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 6**

<b>Grade 6</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.3.5 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 7**

<b>Grade 7</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian or Pacific Islander						
<i>Asian</i>						
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>						
Black or African American						
Hispanic or Latino						
White						
Two or more races						
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )						
English Learners						
Economically disadvantaged students						
Children in foster care						
Children who are homeless						
Migratory students						
Military connected students						
Male						
Female						

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.3.6 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – Grade 8**

<b>Grade 8</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	47,604	99%	47,604	S	39.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,578	98.9%	6,578	S	36%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,073	>=99%	1,073	S	58%
<i>Asian</i>	S	916	>=99%	916	S	63%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	157	>=95%	157	S	26%
Black or African American	S	3,886	98%	3,886	S	20.6%
Hispanic or Latino	S	8,106	99%	8,106	S	29.1%
White	S	23,846	98.9%	23,846	S	46.9%
Two or more races	S	4,115	98.97%	4,115	S	40.7%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	7,142	98%	7,142	S	14.6%
English Learners	S	2,046	>=99%	2,046	S	10%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	28,657	98.6%	28,657	S	31%
Children in foster care	S	155	>=95%	155	S	35%
Children who are homeless	S	1,079	98%	1,079	S	25%
Migratory students	S	28	>=80%	28	S	25%
Military connected students	S	353	98%	353	S	54%
Male	S	24,420	98.7%	24,420	S	40.8%
Female	S	23,184	99%	23,184	S	39%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.



**1.2.3.7 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment – High School**

<b>High School</b>	<b># Students Enrolled</b>	<b># Students Participating</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Participating</b>	<b># Students who Received a Valid Score and for Whom a Proficiency Level was Assigned</b>	<b># Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Percentage of Students Scoring at or Above Proficient</b>
All students	S	44,426	96.7%	44,426	S	24.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	6,250	96.9%	6,250	S	19%
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	1,154	>=99%	1,154	S	36%
<i>Asian</i>	S	1,016	>=99%	1,016	S	40%
<i>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</i>	S	138	>=95%	138	S	11%
Black or African American	S	3,856	95%	3,856	S	10.9%
Hispanic or Latino	S	7,210	96.8%	7,210	S	15.4%
White	S	22,465	97.4%	22,465	S	29.8%
Two or more races	S	3,491	96.4%	3,491	S	24.2%
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	S	6,321	95.3%	6,321	S	8.7%
English Learners	S	2,107	96%	2,107	S	3%
Economically disadvantaged students	S	23,105	96.2%	23,105	S	16.9%
Children in foster care	S	122	93%	122	S	21%
Children who are homeless	S	978	93%	978	S	12%
Migratory students	S	18	>=80%	18	S	39%
Military connected students	S	289	>=95%	289	S	33%
Male	S	22,295	96.6%	22,295	S	25.4%
Female	S	22,131	97.3%	22,131	S	22.7%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4 Assessment Participation by Assessment Type for Children with Disabilities**

This section collects information on children with disabilities' participation in the mathematics, reading/language arts, and science assessments.

**1.2.4.1 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Mathematics Assessment**

In the table below, provide the number of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) participating during the State's testing window in mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the *ESEA* by the type of assessment. The percentage of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) who participated in the mathematics assessment for each assessment option will be calculated automatically. The total number of children with disabilities (*IDEA*) participating will also be calculated automatically.

The data provided below should include mathematics participation data from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> ) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> ) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	18,193	31.14%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	34,778	59.54%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	5,444	9.32%
Total	58,415	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4.2 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Mathematics**

# Children with Disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> ) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
5,444	350,826	1.55%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4.2.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards**

	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in mathematics in SY2018-19?	YES

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4.3 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Reading/Language Arts Assessment**

The data provided should include reading/language arts participation data from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Note: For this question only, report on children with disabilities (*IDEA*) who are also ELs in the U.S. less than 12 months who took the ELP assessment in lieu of the statewide reading/language arts assessment.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> ) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> ) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	19,251	32.93%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	33,755	57.73%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	5,461	9.34%
Recently arrived ELs, took ELP	0	0.00%
Total	58,467	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4.4 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Reading/Language Arts**

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
5,461	351,087	1.56%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4.4.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards**

	<b>Yes or No</b>
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards in reading/language arts in SY2018-19?	YES

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4.5 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Science Assessment**

The data provided should include science participation results from all children with disabilities (*IDEA*) as defined under the *IDEA*. Do not include former children with disabilities (*IDEA*). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the *Rehabilitation Act of 1973*.

Type of Assessment	# Children with Disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> ) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> ) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Regular Assessment without Accommodations	8,075	35.73%
Regular Assessment with Accommodations	12,319	54.51%
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	2,205	9.76%
Total	22,599	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.4.6 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Science**

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
2,205	144,473	1.53%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.



**1.2.4.6.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards**

	<b>Yes or No</b>
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards in science in SY2018-19?	YES

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.5 Assessments for ELs**

This section collects information on EL assessment results.

**1.2.5.1 EL Provisions for Content Assessments**

This sub-section collects information on provisions provided to ELs.

**1.2.5.1.1 Recently Arrived ELs Taking ELP Assessments in Lieu of Reading/Language Arts Assessments**

In the table below, provide the number of recently arrived ELs included in the participation counts in 1.2 who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment, as permitted under Section 1111(b)(3)(A)(i) of the *ESEA*.

Recently Arrived ELs	#
Recently arrived ELs who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment	0

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma does not use an English Language Proficiency assessment in lieu of the State's reading and language arts assessment.

**1.2.5.1.2 Native Language Assessments Offered**

In the table below, indicate whether native language assessments were offered in mathematics, reading/languages arts, and science. Write "Yes" if the specified assessment is used for accountability purposes. List languages, other than English, in which content tests were offered.

Native Language Testing	Yes/No	If yes, are the tests considered to be trans-adapted assessments?	Language(s)
State offers the State mathematics content tests in the students' native language(s).	YES	YES	Spanish; Castilian
State offers the State reading/language arts content tests in the students' native language(s).	NO		
State offers the State science content tests in the students' native language(s).	YES	YES	Spanish; Castilian

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.5.2 Performance of ELs on State ELP Assessment**

In the table below, please provide for all ELs: the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

	<b># Tested</b>	<b># Not Tested</b>	<b># Making Progress</b>	<b>Percent Making Progress</b>	<b># Attained Proficiency</b>	<b>Percent Attained Proficiency</b>
All ELs	53,263	S	S	12.3%	S	7.9%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Students counted as attaining proficiency are not counted towards the percentage of students making progress. Thus, 20.23% of All EL students either attained proficiency or are making progress.

**1.2.5.3 Performance of ELs in Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) Receiving Title III Funds on State ELP**

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, and for ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds: the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

	<b># Tested</b>	<b># Not Tested</b>	<b># Making Progress</b>	<b>Percent Making Progress</b>	<b># Attained Proficiency</b>	<b>Percent Attained Proficiency</b>
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	49,446	S	S	12.7%	S	7.4%
ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	8,591	S	S	10%	S	<=5%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected numbers are as follows: # Attained Proficiency = 3714 (7.51%); # Attained Proficiency WDIS = 235 (2.74%).

**1.2.5.3.1 ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds Who Have Exited Language Instruction Educational Program (LIEP) based on Attaining ELP**

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds the number and percentage who have exited an LIEP based on attaining ELP.

	#	%*
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds who exited	3,675	7.35%

\* The number is taken from FS204 but the percentage is manually entered.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected number is as follows: # ELs Exited based on attaining ELP = 3714. The displayed percentage reflects the correct numerator.

**1.2.5.3.2 ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds Who Have Not Attained Proficiency in 5 Years**

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds the number and percentage who have not attained proficiency within five years.

	#	%*
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds who have not attained proficiency within 5 years	20,228	90.01%

\* The number is taken from FS204 but the percentage is manually entered.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected number is as follows: # ELs who have not attained proficiency within 5 years = 19839. The displayed percentage reflects the correct numerator.

**1.2.5.4 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status**

This section collects data on the performance of students who have exited EL status as required by Sections 3121(a)(5) and 3122(a) of the ESEA.

**1.2.5.4.1 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status by Year**

In the table below, report the count of students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status for each of the four years after such students have exited EL status.

# Year One	# Year Two	# Year Three	# Year Four	Total
3,817	688	0	0	4,505

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected numbers are as follows: # Year One = 3555; # Year Two = 593.



**1.2.5.4.2 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Mathematics**

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual mathematics assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of language instruction educational programs (LIEPs) and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested</b>	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status - % At or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested</b>	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient</b>
Year One	3,129	42.5%	181	29%
Year Two	489	55%	14	57%
Year Three	0		0	
Year Four	0		0	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected numbers are as follows: # Year One = 2753 (41.95% At or Above Proficient); # Year One WDIS = 153 (28.76% At or Above Proficient); #Year Two=399 (55.64% At or Above Proficient); #Year Two WDIS=11 (72.73% At or Above Proficient).

**1.2.5.4.3 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Reading/Language Arts**

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual reading/language arts assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status - % At or Above Proficient	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested	Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient
Year One	3,132	41.2%	181	30%
Year Two	490	58%	14	29%
Year Three	0		0	
Year Four	0		0	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected numbers are as follows: # Year One = 2755 (40.65% At or Above Proficient); # Year One WDIS = 153 (30.07% At or Above Proficient); #Year Two=400 (58.25% At or Above Proficient); #Year Two WDIS=11 (36.36% At or Above Proficient).

**1.2.5.4.4 Results for Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status in Science**

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual science assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status - # Tested</b>	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status - % At or Above Proficient</b>	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - # Tested</b>	<b>Students who have Exited EL Status and are identified as Children with Disabilities - % At or Above Proficient</b>
Year One	1,318	44%	65	48%
Year Two	172	56%	5	S
Year Three	0		0	
Year Four	0		0	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected numbers are as follows: # Year One = 1183 (41.50% At or Above Proficient); # Year One WDIS = 57 (45.61% At or Above Proficient); #Year Two=138 (55.07% At or Above Proficient); #Year Two WDIS=5 (80.00% At or Above Proficient).

**1.2.6 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities****1.2.6.1 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities**

This section requests information on your State's use of funds under Section 1201 of *ESEA*.

**1.2.6.1.1 Percentages of Funds Used for Standards and Assessment Development and Other Purposes**

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the *ESEA* (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2018-19, estimate what percentage of the funds your State used for the following (round to the nearest ten percent).

<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Percentage (rounded to the nearest ten percent)</b>
To pay the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i>	20.00%
To administer assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i> or to carry out other activities described in Section 1201 of the <i>ESEA</i>	80.00%

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.2.6.1.2 Uses of Funds for Purposes Other than Standards and Assessment Development**

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the *ESEA* (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2018-19 that were used for purposes other than the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the *ESEA*, for what purposes did your State use the funds? (Enter "yes" for all that apply and "no" for all that do not apply).

<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Used for Purpose (yes/no)</b>
Administering assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i>	YES
Ensuring the provision of appropriate accommodations available to ELs and children with disabilities to improve the rates of inclusion in regular assessments of such children, including professional development activities to improve the implementation of such accommodations in instructional practice.	YES
Developing challenging State academic standards and aligned assessments in academic subjects for which standards and assessments are not required under Section 1111(b) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	YES
Developing or improving assessments of ELs, including assessments of ELP as required under Section 1111(b)(2)(G) of the <i>ESEA</i> and academic assessments in languages other than English to meet the State's obligations under Section 1111(b)(2)(F) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	YES
Ensuring the continued validity and reliability of State assessments.	YES
Refining State assessments to ensure their continued alignment with challenging academic standards and to improve the alignment of curricula and instructional materials.	YES
Developing or improving balanced assessment systems that include summative, interim, and formative assessments, including supporting local educational agencies in developing and improving such assessments.	YES
At the discretion of the State, refining science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> in order to integrate engineering design skills and practices into such assessments.	YES
Developing or improving models to measure and assess student progress or student growth on State assessments under Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> and other assessments not required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	YES
Developing or improving assessments for children with disabilities, including alternate assessments aligned to alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities described in Section 1111(b)(2)(D) of the <i>ESEA</i> , and using the principles of universal design for learning.	YES
Allowing for collaboration with institutions of higher education, other research institutions, or other organizations to improve the quality, validity, and reliability of State academic assessments beyond the requirements for such assessments described in Section 1111(b)(2) of the <i>ESEA</i> .	YES
Measuring student academic achievement using multiple measures of student academic achievement from multiple sources.	NO
Evaluating student academic achievement through the development of comprehensive academic assessment instruments (such as performance and technology based academic assessments, computer adaptive assessments, projects, or extended performance task assessments) that emphasize the mastery of standards and aligned competencies in a competency-based education model.	NO
Designing report cards and reports under Section 1111(h) of the <i>ESEA</i> in an easily accessible, user-friendly manner that cross-tabulates student information by any category the State determines appropriate, as long as such cross-tabulations (i) does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student, and (ii) is derived from existing State and local reporting requirements.	NO
Other	NO

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

### 1.3 TEACHERS

In the tables below, provide the number of teachers for each of the school types listed and the number of teachers who are inexperienced, emergency or provisional credentialed, or out of field. The percentages used for high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine those percentages are reported in the second table. Below the tables are FAQs about these data.

#### 1.3.1 Inexperienced Teachers

In the table below, provide information on the experience of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	# (FTE) Inexperienced Teachers	Percentage Inexperienced Teachers
High-poverty schools	8,746.62	2,603.20	29.76%
Low-poverty schools	13,553.75	2,729.73	20.14%
Total schools	42,344.02	9,626.57	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.3.2 Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials**

In the table below, provide information on the credentials of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

<b>School Poverty Level</b>	<b>Total # (FTE) Teachers</b>	<b># (FTE) Teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials</b>	<b>Percentage Teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials</b>
High-poverty schools	8,746.62	864.66	9.89%
Low-poverty schools	13,553.75	488.56	3.60%
Total schools	42,344.02	2,454.05	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

### 1.3.3 Teachers Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for which they are Certified or Licensed

In the table below, provide information on teachers not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed by poverty quartile and level.

School Poverty Level	Total # (FTE) Teachers	# (FTE) Teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed	Percentage teachers who are not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed
High-poverty schools	8,746.62	7.50	0.09%
Low-poverty schools	13,553.75	6.85	0.05%
Total schools	42,344.02	20.69	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.



**1.3.4 Poverty Quartile Breaks**

In the table below, provide the poverty quartiles breaks used in determining high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine the poverty quartiles.

	<b>High-Poverty Schools (more than what %)</b>	<b>Low-Poverty Schools (less than what %)</b>
All schools	77.30%	49.90%
Poverty metric used	Economically Disadvantaged	

**FAQ:**

*How are the poverty quartiles determined?* Rank order all schools from highest to lowest on your percentage poverty measure. Divide the list into four equal groups. Schools in the first (highest group) are high-poverty schools. Schools in the last group (lowest group) are the low-poverty schools. Generally, States use the percentage of students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program for this calculation.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma uses the percentage of students classified as Economically Disadvantaged, weighted by the length of enrollment

**1.4 TITLE III AND LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS**

This section collects annual performance and accountability data on the implementation of Title III programs.

**1.4.1 EL Enrollment Data****1.4.1.1 Number of ALL ELs Enrolled in the State**

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ALL ELs in the State who meet the EL definition under Section 8101(21) of the *ESEA*.

- Include newly enrolled (recent arrivals to the U.S.) and continually enrolled ELs, whether or not they receive services in a Title III LIEP.
- Do not include students previously identified as ELs (as described in Section 1111(b)(3)(B) of the *ESEA*) or students who exit LIEPs based on attainment of ELP (as described in Section 3121(a)(4) of the *ESEA*) in the ALL EL student count in this table.

<b>Total ELs</b>	<b>#</b>
Number of ALL ELs in the State	54,977
Number of ELs identified as children with disabilities	9,755

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.4.1.2 Number of ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds**

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ELs in the State served by LIEPs in LEAs receiving Title III funds.

<b>ELs Receiving Services</b>	<b>#</b>
ELs enrolled in LEAs receiving Title III funds who are served by a LIEP	50,299

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected number is as follows: # ELS enrolled in LEAs Receiving Title III funds who are served by a LIEP = 50295.

### 1.4.2 LIEPs

**Note:** Section 3201(7) – The term ‘LIEP’ means an instruction course – (A) in which an EL is placed for the purpose of developing and attaining English proficiency, while meeting challenging State academic standards; and (B) that may make instructional use of both English and a child’s native language to enable the child to develop and attain English proficiency and may include the participation of English proficient children if such course is designed to enable all participating children to become proficient in English and a second language.

In the table below, identify the language of instruction (other than English) next to each type of LIEP implemented in the State, as defined under Section 3201(7), as required by Sections 3121(a)(1) and 3122(b)(1) of the *ESEA*.

LIEPs	Language of instruction (if applicable)	Number of ELs Enrolled
Transitional Bilingual	Spanish	217
Dual Language or Two-way Immersion	Spanish, Cherokee	896
English as Second language (ESL) or English Language Development (ELD)		16,284
Content Classes with integrated ESL support		30,715
Newcomer programs		1,065
Other	Spanish	1,122

Explain in the comment box below if the State has any additional LIEPs that are not captured in the table above.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Some Oklahoma LEAs reported other types of LIEPs including: Self-contained SpEd; Individual LIEPs are developed for each student. Mainstreamed/Inclusion instruction are utilized for all ELL students at this time. We have modifications in place and extra supports; Classroom support and individual and small group intervention as directed by assessments. We have classroom and intervention support in Cherokee and Spanish that is facilitated by first language speakers; Students were taught in regular classroom settings in general. Those that needed additional supports to better understand content to be successful were given those supports. These included translators, native language assignments, and some "pull-outs" to work individually with ESL/ELL staff members; Our district provides English Language Programs for students and family members of students within the district that need it. We also utilize ipad/computers with translating apps to help with in class language understanding and acquisition; Students with the most severe need are pulled and worked with one on one with a teacher's aide. Others are provided technical supports such as Duolingo, translators, etc; Ipads with foreign language support.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected numbers are as follows: Transitional Bilingual = 231; Dual Language or Two-way Immersion = 832; ESL or ELD = 16949; Content Classes with ESL support = 30745; Newcomer Programs = 1048; Other = 490.

### 1.4.3 Most Commonly Spoken Languages in the State

In the table below, provide the five most commonly spoken languages, other than English, in the State (for all ELs, not just ELs enrolled in LEAs that received Title III services). The top five languages should be determined by the highest number of ELs speaking each of the languages listed. Report additional languages with significant numbers of ELs in the comment section.

Language	# ELs
Spanish; Castilian	46,023
Vietnamese	1,007
Marshallese	865
Uncoded languages	853
Hmong	615

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Oklahoma completed internal data quality checks on these data and resubmitted after the close of CSPR Part I on 4/8, therefore, these items were not pre-populated into this report. The corrected numbers for the top five languages are as follows: Spanish = 46023 ; Vietnamese = 1007; Marshallese = 865; Sino-Tibetan = 833; Hmong = 615.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.4.4 Teacher Information and Professional Development**

This section collects information about teachers as required under Section 3122 (b)(5) of the ESEA.

In the table below, report the unduplicated headcount of teachers who are working in LIEPs as defined under Section 3302(7) of the *ESEA* and reported in CSPR Section 1.4.2 (LIEPs) even if they are not paid with Title III funds.

Number of teachers serving in LIEPs (including core content (reading, math, science and social studies) in LEAs receiving Title III funds	Number of certified, licensed or endorsed teachers serving in LIEP in LEAs receiving Title III funds	How many ESL/bilingual education endorsed teachers will be needed for the succeeding 5 fiscal years.*
14,882	14,882	755

\*This number should be the total additional teachers needed for the next 5 years, not the number needed for each year. Do not include the number of teachers currently working in Title III English language instruction educational programs.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.4.5 Activities of Subgrantees Related to the Teaching and Learning of ELs**

This section aggregates data on LEA-level activities that support the education of ELs with Title III funds.

In the tables below, provide information about allowable activities conducted under Section 3115 of the *ESEA*.

<b>Activities serving ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds</b>	<b># LEAs that conducted activity</b>
Supporting the development and implementation of LIEPs	237
Enhancing existing LIEPs and programs for restructuring and reforming schools with ELs	115
Supporting implementation of school wide programs	144
Professional development to teachers and other personnel serving ELs	227
Parent and community engagement activities	191
Supporting the development and implementation of pre-school programs	109
Improving LIEPs by upgrading curricula, instructional materials, software and assessment procedures	186
Improving instruction of ELs with disabilities	154
Providing tutorials, career and technical education	129
Offering programs to help ELs achieve success in post-secondary education	93
Other	4

If State reports a nonzero count of LEAs under "Other", please describe the activities that were conducted during SY2018-19 in the comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Support with financial aid and FAFSA applications, birth through age 5 classes, sheltered Math and Reading remediation classes.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.4.6 Assistance Provided by SEAs under Section 3111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA**

Provide information on technical assistance and other forms of assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that are receiving Title III subgrants.

<b>Activities</b>	<b>Check all that apply</b>
Identifying and implementing effective LIEPs and curricula for teaching English learners	YES
Helping ELs meet the same challenging State academic standards that all children are expected to meet	YES
Identifying or developing, and implementing, measures of English proficiency	YES
Strengthening and increasing parent, family, and community engagement in programs that serve ELs	YES
Providing recognition, which may include providing financial awards, to recipients of subgrants under section 3115 that have significantly improved the achievement and progress of ELs	NO
Other	NO

If "Other" is checked, please provide information in the comment box below on the types of technical assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that aren't captured by the first five types of activities in the table.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.



**1.4.7 Education Programs and Activities for Immigrant Students**

In the table below, report the unduplicated number of immigrant students enrolled in schools in the State and the number of immigrant students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*.

Note: Not all immigrant students are ELs.

# Immigrant Students Enrolled	# Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d)	# of 3114(d)(1) Subgrants
6,905	3,562	23

If State reports zero (0) students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) or the *ESEA* or zero (0) subgrants, explain in comment box below.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**Table 1.4.7 Definitions:**

- 1. Immigrant Students Enrolled:** Number of students who meet the definition of immigrant children and youth under Section 3201(5) of the *ESEA* and enrolled in the elementary or secondary schools in the State.
- 2. Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d):** Number of immigrant students enrolled in LEAs receiving funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*. This number should not include immigrant students who are enrolled in an LEA that receives Title III funds under Section 3114(a) of the *ESEA*, but not Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*.
- 3. 3114(d) Subgrants:** Number of subgrants made in the State under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*, with funds reserved for immigrant children and youth. Do not include in the count LEAs that receive Title III English language acquisition subgrants made under Section 3114(a) of the *ESEA*, but that do not receive subgrants under Section 3114(d) of the *ESEA*, even if immigrant students are enrolled in those LEAs.

### 1.4.8 State Subgrant Activities

This section collects data on State subgrant activities.

#### 1.4.8.1 State Subgrant Process

In the table below, report the time between when the State receives the Title III allocation from the U.S. Department of Education (ED), normally on July 1 of each year for the upcoming school year, and the time when the State distributes these funds to subgrantees for the intended school year. Dates must be submitted using the MM/DD/YY format.

Example: State received SY2018-19 funds July 1, 2018, and then made these funds available to subgrantees on August 1, 2018, for SY2018-19 programs. Then the "# of days/\$\$ Distribution" is 30 days.

Date State Received Allocation	Date Funds Available to Subgrantees	# of Days/\$\$ Distribution
7/9/2018	8/15/2018	36

#### Table 1.4.8.1 Definitions:

- Date State Received Allocation** = Date the State receives the Title III allocation from ED.
- Date Funds Available to Subgrantees** = Date that Title III funds are available to approved subgrantees.
- # of Days/\$\$ Distribution** = Average number of days for States receiving Title III funds to make subgrants to subgrantees beginning from July 1 of each year, except under conditions where funds are being withheld.

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.4.8.2 Steps to Shorten the Distribution of Title III Funds to Subgrantees**

In the comment box below, describe how your State can shorten the process of distributing Title III funds to subgrantees.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Oklahoma is increasing the timeliness and accuracy of information provided by LEAs and Consortia through training and enhanced technical assistance.

**1.4.9 Title III Subgrantees**

This section collects data on the performance of Title III subgrantees.

**1.4.9.1 Termination of Title III Language Instruction Educational Programs**

This section collects data on the termination of Title III programs or activities as required by Section 3122(b)(7) of the *ESEA*.

<b>Termination of Title III Programs</b>	<b>Yes / No</b>
Were any Title III language instruction educational programs <u>or</u> activities terminated for failure to reach program goals?	NO
If yes, provide the number of language instruction educational programs <u>or</u> activities terminated.	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.5 PERSISTENTLY DANGEROUS SCHOOLS**

In the table below, provide the number of schools identified as persistently dangerous, as determined by the State, by the start of the school year. For further guidance on persistently dangerous schools, refer to Section B "Identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools" in the Unsafe School Choice Option Non-Regulatory Guidance, available at: <http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/unsafeschoolchoice.pdf>.

<b>Persistently Dangerous Schools</b>	<b>#</b>
Persistently Dangerous Schools	

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

Oklahoma does not have any schools that meet the state definition of persistently dangerous.

**1.6 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM**

This section collects data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento grant program.

In the table below, provide the following information about the number of LEAs in the State who reported data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento program. The totals will be automatically calculated.

<b>LEAs</b>	<b>#</b>	<b># LEAs Reporting Data</b>
LEAs without subgrants	533	533
LEAs with subgrants	9	9
Total	542	542

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.6.1 All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento subgrants)**

The following questions collect data on homeless children and youth in the State.

**1.6.1.1 Homeless Children and Youth**

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The totals will be automatically calculated:

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth Enrolled</b>
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	1,385
K	2,200
1	2,096
2	1,941
3	2,014
4	1,788
5	1,754
6	1,574
7	1,589
8	1,360
9	1,597
10	1,384
11	1,278
12	1,412
Ungraded	
Total	23,372

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.6.1.2 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth**

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by primary nighttime residence enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The primary nighttime residence should be the student's nighttime residence when he/she was identified as homeless. The totals will be automatically calculated.

<b>Primary Nighttime Residence</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth</b>	<b># of Homeless Children/Youth who are Unaccompanied</b>
Shelters and transitional housing	1,668	63
Doubled-up (e.g., living with another family)	20,315	1,012
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks, campgrounds, temporary trailer, or abandoned buildings)	774	52
Hotels/Motels	615	10
Total	23,372	1,137

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.



**1.6.1.3 Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled**

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students enrolled during the regular school year.

Special Population	# Homeless Children/Youth
Unaccompanied homeless youth	1,137
Migrant children/youth	49
Children with disabilities ( <i>IDEA</i> )	4,528
English Learners (ELs)	2,338

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.

**1.6.2 Young Homeless Children Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants**

In the table below, provide the number of young homeless children who were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants during the regular school year. The total will be automatically calculated.

<b>Age/Grade</b>	<b># Homeless Children/Youth Served by Subgrants</b>
Age Birth Through 2	219
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	348
Total	567

**Comments:** The response is limited to 4,000 characters.