

CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT PART II

SCHOOL YEAR 2019-2020

MASSACHUSETTS



The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA)

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 35.00 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202.

2.1 ACCOUNTABILITY

2.1.4 Section 1003 of the ESEA School Improvement Funds

In the tables below, provide the amount of Section 1003 funds of the ESEA allocated to each district and school.

2.1.4.1 Section 1003 of the ESEA Allocations to LEAs

For each LEA receiving a 1003(a) allocation, list the amount of the allocation.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS132/DG794

Data published outside of report

2.1.4.2 Section 1003 of the ESEA Allocations to Schools

For each school receiving a Section 1003(a) allocation of the ESEA, list the amount of the allocation.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS132/DG794

Data published outside of report

2.2 GRADUATION RATES AND POSTSECONDARY ENROLLMENT

This section collects data on graduation rates and rates of postsecondary enrollment.

2.2.1 Four Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rates

In the table below, provide the state's four year adjusted cohort graduation rates for the current reporting period.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS150/DG695 and FS151/DG696

State	Student Group	# of Graduates	# of Students in Cohort	Graduation Rate
MASSACHUSETTS	All students	S	74232	89.0
MASSACHUSETTS	American Indian or Alaska Native	S	155	86
MASSACHUSETTS	Asian	S	4844	95.0
MASSACHUSETTS	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	62	>=95
MASSACHUSETTS	Black or African American	S	7068	83.1
MASSACHUSETTS	Hispanic or Latino	S	14500	77.2
MASSACHUSETTS	White	S	45493	93.2
MASSACHUSETTS	Two or more races	S	2110	89
MASSACHUSETTS	Children with Disabilities (IDEA)	S	14396	74.9
MASSACHUSETTS	English Learners	S	7296	68.3
MASSACHUSETTS	Economically disadvantaged students	S	31708	80.6
MASSACHUSETTS	Children in foster care	S	700	58
MASSACHUSETTS	Children who are homeless	S	2475	64

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) on graduation rates:

What is the adjusted cohort graduation rate? The adjusted cohort graduation rate is described in sections 8101(23) and 8101(25) of the ESEA.

2.2.2 Postsecondary Enrollment

In the table below, provide counts of students who enrolled in programs of postsecondary education during the current reporting period.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS160/DG739

State	Student Group	# Enrolled in an IHE	# Not Enrolled in an IHE	# for which data are unavailable	Total
MASSACHUSETTS	All students	51037	S	16877	S
MASSACHUSETTS	American Indian or Alaska Native	87	S	46	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Asian	3821	S	618	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	55	S	26	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Black or African American	4431	S	1711	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Hispanic or Latino	6292	S	4257	S
MASSACHUSETTS	White	35068	S	9769	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Two or more races	1283	S	450	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Female	27684	S	6219	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Male	23343	S	10648	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Children with Disabilities (IDEA)	6524	S	4900	S
MASSACHUSETTS	English Learners	2726	S	2285	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Economically disadvantaged students	15052	S	9160	S

2.3 TITLE I, PART A PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

2.3.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I Schoolwide Programs (SWPs) or Targeted Assistance programs (TAS) at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student only once in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do not include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS037/DG548

State	Special Services or Programs	# Students Served
MASSACHUSETTS	Children with disabilities (IDEA)	80206
MASSACHUSETTS	English learners	80436
MASSACHUSETTS	Homeless students	17983
MASSACHUSETTS	Migrant students	297

2.3.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of public school students served by either Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do not include: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS037/DG548

State	Race Ethnicity	# Students Served
MASSACHUSETTS	American Indian or Alaska Native	924
MASSACHUSETTS	Asian	21567
MASSACHUSETTS	Black or African American	58868
MASSACHUSETTS	Hispanic or Latino	158949
MASSACHUSETTS	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	304
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	406638
MASSACHUSETTS	Two or more races	14877
MASSACHUSETTS	White	151149

2.3.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public TAS, Title I SWP, private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected).

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS134/DG670

State	Age Grade	Public SWP	Public TAS	Local Neglected	Private	Total
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	14491	1	10	63	14565
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	0	0	0	22	22
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	33745	2778	28	481	37032
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	22735	1033	273	283	24324
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	21049	373	195	297	21914
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	20250	139	125	287	20801
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	33718	2757	40	485	37000
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	33738	2333	47	455	36573
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	33470	2168	65	454	36157
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	31976	1789	75	472	34312
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	28916	1536	75	419	30946
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	27832	997	117	424	29370
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	26472	989	159	382	28002
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	25175	1065	236	368	26844
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	32856	1406	20	389	34671
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	387003	19364	1544	5282	413193
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	580	0	79	1	660

2.4 EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (MEP) (Title I, Part C) for the performance period of September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migratory children
- Academic data of eligible migratory students
- Data of migratory children served during the performance period
- School data
- Project data
- Personnel data

Report a child in the age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of their time while residing in the State during the performance period.

There are two exceptions to this rule:

1. A child who turns 3 during the performance period is reported as “Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten),” only if the child’s residency in the state was verified after the child turned 3.
2. A child who turns 22 years of age during the performance is reported at the appropriate age/grade category for the performance period.

2.4.1 Migratory Child Counts

This section collects the Title I, Part C, MEP child counts which States are required to provide and may be used to determine the annual State allocations under Title I, Part C. The child counts should reflect the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. This section also collects a report on the procedures used by States to produce true, reliable, and valid child counts.

To provide the child counts, each State Education Agency (SEA) should have implemented sufficient procedures and internal controls to ensure that it is counting only those children who are eligible for the MEP. Such procedures are important to protecting the integrity of the State's MEP because they permit the early discovery and correction of eligibility problems and thus help to ensure that only eligible migratory children are counted for funding purposes and are served. If an SEA has reservations about the accuracy of its child counts, it must disclose known data limitations to the Department, and explain how and when it will resolve data quality issues through corrective actions in the box below, which precedes Section 2.3.1.1 Category 1 Child Count.

Note: In submitting this information, the Authorizing State Official must certify that, to the best of his/her knowledge, the State has taken action to ensure that the child counts and information contained in the report are true, reliable, and valid and that any false Statement provided is subject to fine or imprisonment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1001.

FAQs on Child Count:

a. How is “out-of-school” defined? Out-of-school means children up through age 21 who are entitled to a free public education in the State but are not currently enrolled in a K-12 institution. This term could include students who have dropped out of school, youth who are working on a high school equivalency diploma (HSED) outside of a K-12 institution, and youth who are “here-to-work” only. It would not include children in preschool, nor does it include temporary absences (e.g., summer/intersession, suspension or illness). Enrollment in school is not a condition affecting eligibility for the MEP. Therefore, out-of-school youth who meet the definition of a “migratory child” are eligible for the MEP.

b. How is “ungraded” defined? Ungraded means the children are served in an educational unit that has no separate grades. For example, some schools have primary grade groupings that are not traditionally graded or ungraded groupings for children with learning disabilities (IDEA). In some cases, ungraded students may also include special education children (IDEA), transitional bilingual students, students working on a HSED through a K-12 institution, or those in a correctional setting. (Do not count students working on a HSED outside of a K-12 institution as ungraded; these students are counted as out-of-school youth.)

c. How is reporting a child “in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State” defined? A State must report a child in only one age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State. For example, a migratory child resided in State A for three months and in State B for nine months in SY2018-19. While in State A, the child enrolled in ninth grade for two months and in tenth grade for one month. Therefore, State A will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State A. In State B, the child enrolled in eighth grade for one month and in ninth grade for eight months. Therefore, State B will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State B.

In the space below, discuss any concerns about the accuracy of the reported child counts or the underlying eligibility determinations on which the counts are based and how and when these concerns will be resolved.

Comments
N/A We have no concerns about the accuracy of the counts.

2.4.1.1 Category 1 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children)

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number by age/grade of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. This figure includes all eligible migratory children who may or may not have received MEP services. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include children age birth through 2 years.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	81
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	43
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	43
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	35
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	34
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	29
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	27
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	22
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	14
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	19
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	41
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	21
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	19
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	16
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	86
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	532

2.4.1.2 Category 1 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 1 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
N/A Our decrease in child count was only 4 percent from 18-19.

2.4.1.3 Birth through Two Child Count

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from birth through age 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	17

2.4.2 Category 2 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer/ Intersession Term)

In the table below, enter by age/grade the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include:

- Children age birth through 2 years
- Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS122/DG635

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	62
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	30
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	28
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	26
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	20
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	23
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	23
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	17
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	12
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	14
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	32
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	16
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	12
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	50
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	366

2.4.2.1 Category 2 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 2 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
N/A Our increase in Category 2 was 5 percent from 18-19.

2.4.2.2 Birth through Two Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from age birth through 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once.

Do not include:

- Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS122/DG635

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	0

2.4.3 Child Count Calculation and Validation Procedures

The following questions request information on the State's MEP child count calculation and validation procedures.

2.4.3.1 Methods Used to Count Children

In the space below, please describe the procedures and processes at the State level used to ensure all eligible children, ages 3-21 are reported. In particular, describe how the State includes and counts only:

- The unduplicated count of eligible migratory children, ages 3-21. Only include children two years of age whose residency in the state has been verified after turning three.
- Children who met the program eligibility criteria (e.g., were within 3 years of a qualifying move, engaged or had parents engage in migratory agricultural or fishing work, and were entitled to a free public education through grade 12 in the State, or preschool children below the age and grade level at which the agency provides free public education). Children who were resident in your State for at least 1 day during the performance period (September 1 through August 31).
- Children who graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the performance period and ensures that these children are not counted in the subsequent performance period's child count.
- Children who—in the case of Category 2—were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods.
- Children once per age/grade level for each child count category.
- Children who had an SEA approved Certificate of Eligibility (COE) and were entered in the State's migratory student database.

Comments

The identification and recruitment of migrant children is the primary role of MMEP Community Liaisons (CLs) and is conducted year-round. The CLs make the initial direct, face-to-face contact with the potentially eligible migrant family, obtain eligibility information, record this information on the electronic Certificate of Eligibility (eCOE), and have the primary responsibility for the determination and documentation of student eligibility. The Massachusetts COE includes the National COE verbatim. The CLs and regional staff are responsible for updating the COE as needed throughout the term of eligibility to record changes in status (change of grade, contact information, graduation, or death), and to verify residency on an annual basis. CLs are alerted by the Regional Administrative Assistant and/or State Data Manager (SDM) in advance of the date that potential Category 1 migrant children will turn three, and are asked to visit the family and to update the COE upon the third birthday of the child to document residency of that eligible child. Day-to-day supervision of the CLs and implementation of identification and recruitment efforts are the responsibility of the Identification and Recruitment (ID+R) Coordinator. Primary responsibility for system planning, policy, and interstate/intrastate coordination is assigned to the ID+R Coordinator who is directly supervised by the Migrant Programs Director. Through this structure, the ID+R component provides for regional focus and coordination of identification and recruitment while maintaining a centralized planning and monitoring system designed to ensure strict compliance with federal student eligibility requirements. When potentially eligible migrant families have been located, the CLs ascertain eligibility through structured face-to-face interviews with the parents or guardians or with the out-of-school youth. Residency is confirmed in this initial face-to-face COE interview, or in subsequent eligibility years, via face-to-face interviews for the purposes of annually updating the COE and annual service needs assessment. Once eligibility is determined, CLs complete the COE and submit it for review and verification by the SDM. This documentation is reviewed once again by the ID+R Coordinator who validates the paperwork with desk audits and/or face-to-face interviews of families and/or OSY and then by the Migrant Programs Director as the Designated SEA Reviewer, who officially determines eligibility. There are verification and validation measures implemented to preclude the duplication of a family in the Student Information System (SIS). When CLs submit an eCOE to the State Data Manager (SDM), he performs a nation-wide search in MSIX (Migrant Student Information Exchange) and in the SIS. The existence of a record for the family can contribute in a positive way to eligibility determination as well as can eliminate uncertainty about duplication. It can also lead to the transfer of existing educational records and may contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of migrancy of the family. All eligible families/students/youth are enrolled in the SIS at one centralized location by the SDM who enters the data directly from validated COEs. Before the SDM assigns the unique family and child ID numbers, a search is conducted utilizing the mother's name, the names and dates of birth of the children, and a review of all records under the same and similar last name. The MMEP SIS has a built-in capacity to use "wildcards" for single pieces of data: The discovery of a single variable, which matches a child or family, signals to the SDM that he must pull the COE and examine the information contained in it and all of the signatures. In this way, duplication of a family/child is prevented. If the search is negative, the new family is enrolled and unique family and student numbers are assigned by the SDM. The MMEP staff have worked with the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) to develop a memorandum of understanding to allow MMEP access to education data collected by DESE. This data, including SIMS (Student Information Management System data), MCAS (Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System data) and ELL testing data, is integrated into the SIS for purposes of informing operational decision making, confirming demographic and residency data, and contributing to MSIX. Service providers, all who are MMEP employees, document service through attendance records, visit documentation forms and lesson plans. The attendance sheets are submitted to the Administrative Assistants in the regional offices and is subsequently submitted to the SDM, who then enters the data into the Components and Enrollments databases for the region. This data includes type of service, dates of service for the child and the number of days and hours the child participated in the program. Using the relational capacity of the system, the SIS is able to track a theoretically infinite number of educational service experiences while maintaining a single unique record for each student. The enrollment database, which characterizes each instance of educational service, is maintained by the SDM. The student database is the singular generator of student counts which ensure the "uniqueness" of each record. The records in the enrollment database are used to "flag" the student records for inclusion in the Category 2 childcount. The enrollment, student and families database is accessible as "read only" to other MMEP staff. The system restricts the regional staff from having the ability to enroll families/students or update eligibility information. Nonetheless, having access to the electronic database allows for regional staff to review the information for accuracy. When migrant child counts are requested by local, state or federal sources, the SDC conditions the query to the student database to access the information needed. When Massachusetts needed to generate information for this Migrant Child Count Report (SY 2020), the SDC first queried the system for all eligible children between the ages of 3 and 21 who had not graduated from high school, within three years of making a qualifying move, and who resided in Massachusetts between September 1, 2019 and August 31, 2020, and who had not turned three or had confirmation of residency after turning three during the report year. An unduplicated count of 532 Category 1 migrant children was generated from that query. The SDC then queried the system for the count of all eligible children who received MEP-funded services between the last day of the 2019-20 school year and August 31, 2020. An unduplicated count of 366 Category 2 migrant children was generated from that query. Queries on the student database for Category 1 and 2 counts include an elaborate screening process which prevents the inclusion of three-year-olds whose residency has not been documented after they turn three.

Does the State ensure that the system that transmits migrant data to the Department accurately accounts for all the migratory children in every EDFacts data file? Please respond in the table below.

The State deployed a process that ensured that it transmits accurate migrant data to the Department in every required EDFacts data file.

Yes

Does the State use data in the Migrant Student Information Exchange (MSIX) to verify the quality of migrant data?

Yes

If MSIX is utilized, please explain how.

Comments

<p>MSIX is utilized to validate migrant data. As stated previously, during the initial ID+R process, when CLs submit an eCOE to the SDM, he performs a nation-wide search in MSIX to try to find any records that may match the student information and potentially add to the qualification of the student for migrant service. This is a built-in process in the quality control plan, as the SDM receives all COEs to be approved via MIS2000. MIS2000 has a feature that requires the SDM to cross-check student data points with MSIX, and potentially merge a student if they already have a record in MSIX. In addition, the SDM checks the student and parent/caregiver for existing records (whether active or inactive) in the statewide student database, as some parent/caregivers may have been migratory students or have had other children that qualified for MEP services in the past. The existence of a record for the student/family can contribute in a positive way to eligibility determination, can eliminate uncertainty about duplication, can lead to the transfer of existing educational records, and may contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of migrant lifestyle of the family. When a positive match is found or a MSIX move notification is received, the MSIX system is used to request interstate information from the sending MEP state. MSIX is also utilized as a cross-reference for Category 1 and Category 2 counts. MSIX report data is compared to MMEP SIS report data for both counts. If there is any datapoint that does not appear on both reports, a further inquiry is done to determine the reason for the difference. The data differentiation, when determined, would then be corrected. The reports are then run again until both data sets match.</p>

Data Quality Comments

N/A

2.4.3.2 Quality Control Processes

In the space below, describe the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA during the performance period to test the accuracy of the State's MEP eligibility determinations.

Results	#
The number of eligibility determinations sampled.	257
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed.	53
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed and the child was found eligible.	53

<p>What was the most recent year that the MEP conducted independent prospective re-interviews (i.e., interviewers were neither SEA or LEA staff members responsible for administering or operating the MEP, nor any other persons who worked on the initial eligibility determinations being tested)? If independent prospective re-interviews were not administered in any of the three performance periods, please provide an explanation in the "Comment" row at the end of this table.</p>
2019-20

Comments
N/A Re-interviews were conducted during the 19-20 Performance Period.

FAQ on independent prospective re-interviews:

a. What are independent prospective re-interviews? Independent prospective re-interviews allow confirmation of your State's eligibility determinations and the accuracy of the numbers of migratory children in your State reports. Independent prospective interviews should be conducted at least once every three years by an independent interviewer, performed on the current year's identified migratory children.

Obtaining Data from Families	Response
How were the re-interviews conducted	Phone interviews
Was there a protocol for verifying all information used in making the original eligibility determination?	Yes
Were re-interviewers independent from the original interviewers?	Yes

If you did conduct independent re-interviews in this reporting period, describe how you ensured that the process was independent. Only enter a response if your State completed independent re-interviews in SY2018-19.

In the space below, refer to the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA, and if any of the migratory children were found ineligible, describe those corrective actions or improvements that will be made by the SEA to improve the accuracy of its MEP eligibility determinations.

Comments
N/A All students were found to be eligible.

In the space below, please respond to the following question:

Does the state collect all the required data elements and data sections on the National Certificate of Eligibility (COE)?
Yes

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.4.4 Eligible Migratory Children

2.4.4.1 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having “Priority for Services.” The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

FAQ on priority for services:

Who is classified as having “priority for service?” Migratory children who have made a qualifying move within the previous 1-year period and who 1) are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet challenging State academic standards, or 2) have dropped out of school.

2.4.4.2 English Learners (ELs)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also ELs. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	ELs During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	6
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	43
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	43
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	35
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	34
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	29
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	27
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	22
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	14
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	18
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	41
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	19
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	19
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	16
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	11
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	377

2.4.4.3 Children with Disabilities (IDEA)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also children with disabilities (IDEA) under Part B or Part C of the IDEA. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	Children with Disabilities (IDEA) During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	3
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	3
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	3
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	3
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	3
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	28

2.4.4.4 Qualifying Arrival Date (QAD)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children whose QAD occurred within 12 months from the last day of the performance period, August 31, 2020 (i.e., QAD during the performance period). The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	QAD During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	10
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	4
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	4
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	6
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	6
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	10
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	65

2.4.5 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of eligible migratory students.

2.4.5.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS032/DG326

State	Grade	Dropouts During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	4

FAQ on Dropouts:

How is “dropouts” defined? The term used for students, who, (1) were enrolled in a school for at least one day during the 2019-20 performance period, (2) were not enrolled at the beginning of the current (2020-21) performance period, (3) who have not graduated from high school or completed a State- or district-approved educational program, and (4) who do not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: (a) transfer to another school district, private school or State- or district-approved educational program (including correctional or health facility programs), (b) temporary absence due to suspension or school-excused illness or (c) death. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2019-20 performance period should not be reported in this item.

2.4.5.2 HSED (High School Equivalency Diploma)

In the table below, provide the total unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who obtained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) by passing a high school equivalency test that your state accepts (e.g. GED, HiSET, TASC).

Obtained a HSED in your State During the Performance Period
0

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.4.6 MEP Services - During the Performance Period

The following questions collect data about MEP services provided to migratory children during the performance period.

FAQ on Services:

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. “Services” are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migratory child; (2) address a need of a migratory child consistent with the SEA’s comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State’s performance targets/annual measurable objectives. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are not considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migratory families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migratory children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the performance period. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102

State	Age Grade	Served During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	71
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	39
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	32
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	27
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	26
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	25
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	26
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	19
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	12
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	15
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	33
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	17
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	14
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	70
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	431

2.4.6.1 Priority for Services – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having “priority for services” and who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102

State	Age Grade	Priority for Services During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	4
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	13
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	7
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	8
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	8
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	4
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	14
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	8
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	3
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	6
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	92

2.4.6.2 Continuation of Services – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period under the continuation of services authority Section 1304(e)(2–3). Do not include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102

State	Age Grade	Continuation of Services During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	2
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	4

FAQ on Continuation of Services:

What is Continuation of Services? The “continuation of services” provision found in Section 1304(e) of the ESEA provides that: (1) a child who ceases to be a migratory child during a school term shall be eligible for services until the end of such term; (2) a child who is no longer a migratory child may continue to receive services for one additional school year, but only if comparable services are not available through other programs; and (3) secondary school students who were eligible for services in secondary school may continue to be served through credit accrual programs until graduation.

2.4.6.3 Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the performance period. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684

State	Age Grade	Instructional Service During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	71
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	39
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	32
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	27
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	26
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	25
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	26
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	19
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	12
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	15
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	33
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	17
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	14
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	5
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	70
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	431

2.4.6.4 Type of Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the number of eligible migratory children reported in the table above who received MEP-funded reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the performance period. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684

State	Age Grade	Reading Instruction During the Performance Period	Mathematics Instruction During the Performance Period	High School Credit Accrual During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	14	0	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	0	0	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	6	6	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	5	1	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	2	1	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	1	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	7	7	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	4	4	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	4	4	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	3	3	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	4	4	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	5	4	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	4	4	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	10	2	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	1	1	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	24	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	94	41	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0	0	0

FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:

What is “high school credit accrual”? MEP-funded instruction, funded in whole or in part by MEP funds, in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a teacher for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. High school credit accrual includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher. High school credit accrual may include the age/grade categories of Grade 8 through Grade 12. NOTE: Children receiving a MEP-funded high school credit accrual service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

2.4.6.5 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Services – During the Performance Period

In the table below, in the column titled Support Services, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any MEP-funded support service during the performance period. In the column titled Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received a counseling service during the performance period. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684

State	Age Grade	Support Services During the Performance Period	Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period
MASSACHUSETTS	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	71	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Age Birth through 2	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 1	32	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 10	17	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 11	14	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 12	5	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 2	27	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 3	26	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 4	25	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 5	26	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 6	19	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 7	12	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 8	15	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Grade 9	33	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Kindergarten	39	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Out of school	70	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	431	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Ungraded	0	0

FAQs on Support Services:

a. What are support services? These MEP-funded educationally-related services are provided to students. These services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migratory children; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. Activities related to identification and recruitment, parental involvement, professional development, program evaluation, and the one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, or between students and students in MEP peer-to-peer counseling activities, or between students and MEP-funded staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy. NOTE: Children who receive a MEP-funded counseling service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

2.4.7 School Data during the Regular School Year

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migratory children in schools during the regular school year.

2.4.7.1 Schools and Enrollment – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled eligible migratory children at any time during the regular school year. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110

State	Number of schools that enrolled eligible migratory children	Number of eligible migratory children enrolled in those schools
MASSACHUSETTS	105	351

2.4.7.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in SWPs – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the regular school year. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110 and FS165/DG514

2.5 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:
 - o Adult Corrections: An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.
 - o At-Risk Programs: Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, dependency adjudication, or delinquency adjudication, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice or child welfare system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, are English learners, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.
 - o Juvenile Corrections: An institution for delinquent children and youth that is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.
 - o Juvenile Detention Facilities: Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.
 - o Neglected Programs: An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.
 - o Other: Any other programs, not defined above, that receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

2.5.1 State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

2.5.1.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program or Facility Type	# Programs or Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
Adult corrections	3	182
Juvenile corrections	1	82
Juvenile detention	0	0
Neglected programs	0	0
Other programs	0	0
Total	4	.

FAQ on Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.5.1.2 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students. The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program Facility Type	# Reporting Data
Adult corrections	3
Juvenile corrections	1
Juvenile detention	0
Neglected programs	0
Other programs	0
Total	4

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.5.1.3 Students Served – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by disability (IDEA) and EL status, by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex and by age will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS119/DG656

State	# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Total Long-Term Students Served	0	0	314	101	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total Unduplicated Students Served	0	0	737	129	0

Provide the number of students served by special populations.

State	Student Subgroups	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	0	0	373	11	0
MASSACHUSETTS	English learner	0	0	166	11	0

Provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity.

State	Race Ethnicity	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	3	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Asian	0	0	3	3	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Black or African American	0	0	208	31	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Hispanic or Latino	0	0	317	65	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	0	0	1	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	0	0	737	129	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Two or more races	0	0	34	1	0
MASSACHUSETTS	White	0	0	171	29	0

Provide the number of students served by gender.

State	Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Female	0	0	114	1	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Male	0	0	623	128	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	0	0	737	129	0

Provide the number of students served by age.

State	Age	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	10 years old	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	11 years old	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	12 years old	0	0	1	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	13 years old	0	0	5	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	14 years old	0	0	44	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	15 years old	0	0	89	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	16 years old	0	0	160	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	17 years old	0	0	253	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	18 years old	0	0	138	16	0
MASSACHUSETTS	19 years old	0	0	28	32	0
MASSACHUSETTS	20 years old	0	0	17	58	0
MASSACHUSETTS	21 years old	0	0	2	23	0
MASSACHUSETTS	3 through 5	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	6 years old	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	7 years old	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	8 years old	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	9 years old	0	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	0	0	737	129	0

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.

2.5.1.4 Academic, Career and Technical Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 90 Calendar Days after Exit

In the tables below, for each program type, provide the number of students who attained academic, career, and technical outcomes.

The first table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only after exit. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who enrolled, or planned to enroll, in their local district school within 90 calendar days after exiting. A student may be reported only once, per program type.

The second table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only one time. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained the listed outcomes either in the while enrolled in the State agency program/facility column (“in fac.”) or in the within 90 calendar days after exiting column. A student may be reported only once across the two time periods, per program type.

The third table includes outcomes a student may achieve more than once. In the “in fac.” column, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and technical outcomes while enrolled in the State agency program/facility. In the “90 days after exit” column provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career, and technical outcomes within 90 calendar days after exiting. If a student attained an outcome once in the program/facility and once during the 90–day transition period, that student may be reported once in each column.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS180/DG783 and FS181/DG785

State	Outcomes (once per student, only after exit)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in local district school			S	S	

State	Outcomes	Neglected Programs - In Fac	Neglected Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Detention - In Fac	Juvenile Detention - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Corrections - In Fac
MASSACHUSETTS	Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education					22
MASSACHUSETTS	Obtained high school diploma					19
MASSACHUSETTS	Obtained employment					S
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in job training courses/programs					46
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in a GED program					125
MASSACHUSETTS	Earned high school course credits					685
MASSACHUSETTS	Earned a GED					15

2.5.2 Academic Performance – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

2.5.2.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in reading pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS113/DG628

State	Performance Data (Based on most recent pre post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	No Change			7	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	More than one full grade			8	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	Up to one full grade			17	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	Negative change			32	56	
MASSACHUSETTS	Total			64	59	

2.5.2.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in mathematics pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS113/DG628

State	Performance Data (Based on most recent pre post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	No Change			7	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	More than one full grade			8	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	Up to one full grade			17	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	Negative change			32	56	
MASSACHUSETTS	Total			64	59	

2.5.3 LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

2.5.3.1 Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. The total number of programs/ facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

LEA Program or Facility Type	# Programs or Facilities	Average Length of Stay (# days)
At-risk programs	0	0
Juvenile corrections	0	0
Juvenile detention	0	0
Neglected programs	31	121
Other programs	1	347
Total	32	.

FAQ on average length of stay:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.5.3.2 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students. The total row will be automatically calculated.

LEA Program or Facility Type	# Reporting Data
At-risk programs	0
Juvenile corrections	0
Juvenile detention	0
Neglected programs	31
Other programs	1
Total	32

Data Quality Comments

The Other program is Crystal Springs, Inc. Therapeutic Residential Special Education. The Crystal Springs Childrens Program offers specialized programs, therapies and services to children and adolescents, ages 3 to 22, with intellectual, developmental and physical disabilities, vision and hearing impairments, complex medical conditions and behavioral challenges.

2.5.3.3 Students Served – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by disability (IDEA), and EL status, by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS127/DG657

State	# of students served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Total Long-Term Students Served	.	1015	.	.	21
MASSACHUSETTS	Total Unduplicated Students Served	.	2510	.	.	21

Provide the number of students served by special populations.

State	Student Subgroups	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	.	971	.	.	21
MASSACHUSETTS	English learner	.	7	.	.	.

Provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity.

State	Race Ethnicity	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	American Indian or Alaska Native	.	6	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Asian	.	24	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Black or African American	.	341	.	.	6
MASSACHUSETTS	Hispanic or Latino	.	471	.	.	1
MASSACHUSETTS	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	.	4	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	.	2510	.	.	21
MASSACHUSETTS	Two or more races	.	250	.	.	1
MASSACHUSETTS	White	.	1414	.	.	13

Provide the number of students served by sex.

State	Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Female	.	1211	.	.	9
MASSACHUSETTS	Male	.	1299	.	.	12
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	.	2510	.	.	21

Provide the number of students served by age.

State	Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	10 years old	.	92	.	.	2
MASSACHUSETTS	11 years old	.	129	.	.	1
MASSACHUSETTS	12 years old	.	180	.	.	1
MASSACHUSETTS	13 years old	.	232	.	.	1
MASSACHUSETTS	14 years old	.	332	.	.	2
MASSACHUSETTS	15 years old	.	376	.	.	3
MASSACHUSETTS	16 years old	.	421	.	.	6
MASSACHUSETTS	17 years old	.	332	.	.	2
MASSACHUSETTS	18 years old	.	107	.	.	2
MASSACHUSETTS	19 years old	.	33	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	20 years old	.	20	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	21 years old	.	16	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	3 through 5	.	18	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	6 years old	.	29	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	7 years old	.	53	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	8 years old	.	57	.	.	1
MASSACHUSETTS	9 years old	.	83	.	.	.
MASSACHUSETTS	Total	.	2510	.	.	21

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.

2.5.3.4 Academic, Career and Technical Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 90 Calendar Days After Exit

In the tables below, for each program type, provide the number of students who attained academic, career and technical outcomes.

The first table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only after exit. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who enrolled, or planned to enroll, in their local district school within 90 calendar days after exiting. A student may be reported only once, per program type.

The second table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only one time. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained the listed outcomes either in the while enrolled in the LEA program/facility column (“in fac.”) or in the within 90 calendar days after exiting column. A student may be reported only once across the two time periods, per program type.

The third table includes outcomes a student may achieve more than once. In the “in fac.” column, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and vocational outcomes while enrolled in the LEA program/facility. In the “90 days after exit” column provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and vocational outcomes within 90 calendar days after exiting. If a student attained an outcome once in the program/facility and once during the 90 day transition period, that student may be reported once in each column.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS180/DG782 and FS181/DG784

State	Outcomes(once per student, only after exit)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in local district school		958			S

State	Outcomes	At-Risk Programs - In Fac	At-Risk Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Neglected Programs - In Fac	Neglected Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Detention - In Fac
MASSACHUSETTS	Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education			12	9	
MASSACHUSETTS	Obtained high school diploma			25	20	
MASSACHUSETTS	Obtained employment			21	4	
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in job training courses/programs			178	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in a GED program			5	S	
MASSACHUSETTS	Earned high school course credits			530	352	
MASSACHUSETTS	Earned a GED			S	S	

State	Outcomes	Juvenile Detention - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Corrections - In Fac	Juvenile Corrections - 90 Days After Exit	Other Programs - In Fac	Other Programs - 90 Days After Exit
MASSACHUSETTS	Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education				S	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Obtained high school diploma				S	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Obtained employment				S	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in job training courses/programs				S	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Enrolled in a GED program				S	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Earned high school course credits				S	S
MASSACHUSETTS	Earned a GED				S	S

2.5.3.5 Academic Performance – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

2.5.3.5.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in reading pre- and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories. Reporting pre- and post-test data for at-risk students in the table below is optional.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS125/DG629

State	Performance Data(Based on most recent pre post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Up to one full grade		10			S
MASSACHUSETTS	More than one full grade		31			S
MASSACHUSETTS	Negative change		44			S
MASSACHUSETTS	No change		103			14
MASSACHUSETTS	Total		188			14

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020.

Is reporting pre/post-test data for at-risk programs required? No, reporting pre/post-test data for at-risk students is no longer required, but States have the option to continue to collect and report it within the CSPR.

2.5.3.5.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in mathematics pre- and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories. Reporting pre- and post-test data for at-risk students in the table below is optional.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS125/DG629

State	Performance Data (Based on most recent pre post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
MASSACHUSETTS	Up to one full grade		S			S
MASSACHUSETTS	More than one full grade		S			S
MASSACHUSETTS	Negative change		18			S
MASSACHUSETTS	No change		93			14
MASSACHUSETTS	Total		123			14

2.6 STUDENT SUPPORT AND ACADEMIC ENRICHMENT GRANTS (TITLE IV, PART A)

2.6.1 Funds Spent Under Title IV, Part A

This section collects data on the amount of funds spent by LEAs on the three content areas under Title IV, Part A of the ESEA.

Content Area	Amount of Funds Spent
Well-Rounded	\$4,456,230
Safe and Healthy Students	\$3,335,971
Effective Use of Technology	\$1,100,893

Data Quality Comments

The numbers are accurate. 1) Our State FY20 TIV grant application only captured categorical spending for LEAs with a Title IV allocation greater than \$30k. 2) Our State FY20 figures are slightly lower from State FY19 because all districts with TIV allocations completed the categorical spending grid on the State FY19 grant application.

2.6.2 LEAs Who Spent Funds Under Title IV, Part A

This section collects data on the number of LEAs who spent funds by the content areas under Title IV, Part A of the ESEA. For the “Any” category, report the number of LEAs that spent funds in any of the three content areas. An LEA should be included in the count of each content area it spent funds on (i.e. an LEA may be represented in more than one content area in the table below).

Content Area	Number of LEAs Spending Funds
Well-Rounded	79
Safe and Healthy Students	75
Effective Use of Technology	113
Any Content Area	118

Data Quality Comments

The State FY20 TIV grant application captured categorical spending data only for LEAs with an allocation greater than \$30K. We are submitting slightly revised figures via the Part II reopen above.. Pivot data did not refresh after removing “0s” resulting in a slightly higher count for (well-rounded/safe schools/effective tech). In addition, State FY20 content area counts are lower than the FY19 counts because all districts completed the TIV categorical spending grid in the State FY19 grant application. The State FY20 grant application only captured content area data on LEAs with TIV allocations greater than \$30K

2.7 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE V, PART A)

2.7.1 State Transferability of Funds

In the table below, indicate whether the State transferred funds under the state transferability authority.

Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 5103(a) during SY2019-20?
No

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.7.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds

In the table below, indicate the number of LEAs that notified the State that they transferred funds under the LEA transferability authority.

LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA Transferability authority of Section 5103(b).
100

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.7.3 LEA Funds Transfers

In the table below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds from an eligible program to another eligible program.

Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds FROM Eligible Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds TO Eligible Program
Education of Migratory Children (Title I, Part C)	.	0
English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act (Title III, Part A)	.	4
Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs (Title I, Part A)	.	68
Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk (Title I, Part D)	.	0
Rural Education Initiative (Title V, Part B)	.	0
Student Support and Enrichment Grants (Title IV, Part A)	86	5
Supporting Effective Instruction (Title II, Part A)	34	33

Data Quality Comments
N/A

In the table below provide the total amount of FY 2019 appropriated funds transferred from and to each eligible program.

Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred FROM Eligible Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred TO Eligible Program
Education of Migratory Children (Title I, Part C)	.	\$0
English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act (Title III, Part A)	.	\$18,151
Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs (Title I, Part A)	.	\$5,119,539
Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk (Title I, Part D)	.	\$0
Rural Education Initiative (Title V, Part B)	.	\$0
Student Support and Enrichment Grants (Title IV, Part A)	\$3,501,758	\$519,408
Supporting Effective Instruction (Title II, Part A)	\$3,081,200	\$925,860

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.8 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP)

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title V, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

2.8.1 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title V, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds during SY2019-20 for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEAs
Activities authorized under Part A of Title I	1
Activities authorized under Part A of Title II	0
Activities authorized under Title III	0
Activities authorized under Part A of Title IV	0
Parental involvement activities	0

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.8.2 RLIS Objectives and Outcomes

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the objectives and outcomes for the Rural Low-Income School (RLIS) Program as described in the State's most current Consolidated State Application. If providing quantitative data along with your narrative, please ensure all data is converted to text format.

Comments

Massachusetts had one school district, Provincetown Public Schools, receive the Rural Low-Income School grant in the 2019 - 2020 school year. In March 2020, DESE requested and received a waiver from the federal requirement for annual statewide student assessment. On April 10, state legislation waived the MCAS testing requirement for the remainder of the 2019-2020 school year. As a result of these federal and state actions, Commissioner Riley canceled last spring's regular administration of grade-level MCAS tests for students in grades 3 through 10. Without 2020 MCAS data, which are a key component of the accountability system, accountability calculations could not be performed. Through state law and regulations, however, the Commissioner maintained the authority to name new underperforming or chronically underperforming schools at any time, or to remove such a designation from an existing underperforming or chronically underperforming school or district. Similarly, at the recommendation of the Commissioner, the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education may designate a district as underperforming or chronically underperforming district. Provincetown Public Schools had and maintains an accountability classification of "not requiring assistance or intervention" with the reason for the classification being, "substantial progress toward targets."

2.8.3 RLIS Technical Assistance

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in providing technical assistance for RLIS LEA sub-grantees as described in the State's most current Consolidated State Application. If providing quantitative data along with your narrative, please ensure all data is converted to text format.

Comments
Individual communication with the sole recipient district allowed for direct technical assistance, including help with application planning and opportunities to network with similarly situated districts.

2.8.4 RLIS Subgrant Award Determination

Please report the method the SEA used to award grants to eligible LEAs. If the SEA used a competitive process, please describe that process and include a description of the methods and criteria the SEA used to review applications, award funds to LEAs, and how the LEAs were notified of the process. If the SEA used a formula besides one based on the number of students in average daily attendance served by eligible LEAs in the State, please describe that formula, including an explanation of how this alternative formula enables the SEA to allot grant funds in a manner that serves equal or greater concentrations of children from families with incomes below the poverty line, relative to the concentration that would be served if the SEA used a formula based on the number of students in average daily attendance served by eligible LEAs in the State.

Comments
When more than one district is eligible for and chooses to apply for the RLIS subgrant, awards are based solely on the number of students in average daily attendance served by the eligible LEAs. For the 2019-20 school year, Provincetown Public Schools was the only school district that was eligible for and applied for the grant, so they were awarded the SEA's entire award.

2.8.5 RLIS State Administrative Funds

In the table below, provide information on state administrative funds.

Question	Percentage
What percentage of the RLIS grant funds were retained for State-level administration?	0
What percentage of those funds retained for State-level administration were used specifically for technical assistance?	0

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.8.6 RLIS LEAs Awarded Funds

Please list the NCES LEA ID and name of each LEA that received RLIS funds and the amount each received.

Data published outside of report

Data Quality Comments
N/A

2.8.7 Small, Rural School Achievement (SRSA) Program, Alternative Fund Use Authority (AFUA)

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS131/DG614

State	Number of SRSA- and Dual-eligible LEAs informed their SEA of an intent to utilize SRSA's AFUA, under Section 5211 of the ESEA.	Percent of SRSA- and Dual-eligible LEAs informed their SEA of an intent to utilize SRSA's AFUA, under Section 5211 of the ESEA.
MASSACHUSETTS	0	0