

CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT

PART II

SCHOOL YEAR 2019-2020

NEVADA



The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA)

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 35.00 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202.

2.1 ACCOUNTABILITY

2.1.4 Section 1003 of the ESEA School Improvement Funds

In the tables below, provide the amount of Section 1003 funds of the ESEA allocated to each district and school.

2.1.4.1 Section 1003 of the ESEA Allocations to LEAs

For each LEA receiving a 1003(a) allocation, list the amount of the allocation.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS132/DG794

Data published outside of report

2.1.4.2 Section 1003 of the ESEA Allocations to Schools

For each school receiving a Section 1003(a) allocation of the ESEA, list the amount of the allocation.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS132/DG794

Data published outside of report

2.2 GRADUATION RATES AND POSTSECONDARY ENROLLMENT

This section collects data on graduation rates and rates of postsecondary enrollment.

2.2.1 Four Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rates

In the table below, provide the state's four year adjusted cohort graduation rates for the current reporting period.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS150/DG695 and FS151/DG696

State	Student Group	# of Graduates	# of Students in Cohort	Graduation Rate
NEVADA	All students	S	37460	82.6
NEVADA	American Indian or Alaska Native	S	324	74
NEVADA	Asian	S	2439	93
NEVADA	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	S	568	85
NEVADA	Black or African American	S	4262	69.5
NEVADA	Hispanic or Latino	S	15844	81.3
NEVADA	White	S	11912	86.4
NEVADA	Two or more races	S	2111	85
NEVADA	Children with Disabilities (IDEA)	S	4122	66.0
NEVADA	English Learners	S	5539	75.3
NEVADA	Economically disadvantaged students	S	26380	79.1
NEVADA	Children in foster care	S	302	50
NEVADA	Children who are homeless	S	1010	75

Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) on graduation rates:

What is the adjusted cohort graduation rate? The adjusted cohort graduation rate is described in sections 8101(23) and 8101(25) of the ESEA.

2.2.2 Postsecondary Enrollment

In the table below, provide counts of students who enrolled in programs of postsecondary education during the current reporting period.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS160/DG739

State	Student Group	# Enrolled in an IHE	# Not Enrolled in an IHE	# for which data are unavailable	Total
NEVADA	All students	12267	13129	S	S
NEVADA	American Indian or Alaska Native	127	112	S	S
NEVADA	Asian	1109	569	S	S
NEVADA	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	161	208	S	S
NEVADA	Black or African American	1191	1451	S	S
NEVADA	Hispanic or Latino	4405	6409	S	S
NEVADA	White	4572	3749	S	S
NEVADA	Two or more races	702	631	S	S
NEVADA	Female	6979	5796	S	S
NEVADA	Male	5288	7333	S	S
NEVADA	Children with Disabilities (IDEA)	746	1744	S	S
NEVADA	English Learners	1176	2565	S	S
NEVADA	Economically disadvantaged students	6757	9847	S	S

2.3 TITLE I, PART A PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

2.3.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I Schoolwide Programs (SWPs) or Targeted Assistance programs (TAS) at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student only once in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do not include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS037/DG548

State	Special Services or Programs	# Students Served
NEVADA	Children with disabilities (IDEA)	35327
NEVADA	English learners	55729
NEVADA	Homeless students	11609
NEVADA	Migrant students	66

2.3.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of public school students served by either Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do not include: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS037/DG548

State	Race Ethnicity	# Students Served
NEVADA	American Indian or Alaska Native	2106
NEVADA	Asian	8024
NEVADA	Black or African American	37269
NEVADA	Hispanic or Latino	141458
NEVADA	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3292
NEVADA	Total	251355
NEVADA	Two or more races	12816
NEVADA	White	46390

2.3.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public TAS, Title I SWP, private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected).

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS134/DG670

State	Age Grade	Public SWP	Public TAS	Local Neglected	Private	Total
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	3558	0	18	0	3576
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	0	0	0	0	0
NEVADA	Grade 1	20416	0	37	106	20559
NEVADA	Grade 10	15441	0	55	3	15499
NEVADA	Grade 11	15173	0	46	2	15221
NEVADA	Grade 12	14552	0	41	2	14595
NEVADA	Grade 2	20327	0	37	94	20458
NEVADA	Grade 3	20461	0	22	103	20586
NEVADA	Grade 4	20725	0	29	87	20841
NEVADA	Grade 5	21049	0	22	94	21165
NEVADA	Grade 6	21116	0	37	87	21240
NEVADA	Grade 7	21357	0	14	74	21445
NEVADA	Grade 8	20673	0	26	61	20760
NEVADA	Grade 9	16055	0	42	10	16107
NEVADA	Kindergarten	19939	0	34	103	20076
NEVADA	Total	251355	0	462	826	252643
NEVADA	Ungraded	513	0	2	0	515

2.4 EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (MEP) (Title I, Part C) for the performance period of September 1, 2018 through August 31, 2019. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migratory children
- Academic data of eligible migratory students
- Data of migratory children served during the performance period
- School data
- Project data
- Personnel data

Report a child in the age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of their time while residing in the State during the performance period.

There are two exceptions to this rule:

1. A child who turns 3 during the performance period is reported as “Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten),” only if the child’s residency in the state was verified after the child turned 3.
2. A child who turns 22 years of age during the performance is reported at the appropriate age/grade category for the performance period.

2.4.1 Migratory Child Counts

This section collects the Title I, Part C, MEP child counts which States are required to provide and may be used to determine the annual State allocations under Title I, Part C. The child counts should reflect the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. This section also collects a report on the procedures used by States to produce true, reliable, and valid child counts.

To provide the child counts, each State Education Agency (SEA) should have implemented sufficient procedures and internal controls to ensure that it is counting only those children who are eligible for the MEP. Such procedures are important to protecting the integrity of the State's MEP because they permit the early discovery and correction of eligibility problems and thus help to ensure that only eligible migratory children are counted for funding purposes and are served. If an SEA has reservations about the accuracy of its child counts, it must disclose known data limitations to the Department, and explain how and when it will resolve data quality issues through corrective actions in the box below, which precedes Section 2.3.1.1 Category 1 Child Count.

Note: In submitting this information, the Authorizing State Official must certify that, to the best of his/her knowledge, the State has taken action to ensure that the child counts and information contained in the report are true, reliable, and valid and that any false Statement provided is subject to fine or imprisonment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1001.

FAQs on Child Count:

- a. How is “out-of-school” defined? Out-of-school means children up through age 21 who are entitled to a free public education in the State but are not currently enrolled in a K-12 institution. This term could include students who have dropped out of school, youth who are working on a high school equivalency diploma (HSED) outside of a K-12 institution, and youth who are “here-to-work” only. It would not include children in preschool, nor does it include temporary absences (e.g., summer/intersession, suspension or illness). Enrollment in school is not a condition affecting eligibility for the MEP. Therefore, out-of-school youth who meet the definition of a “migratory child” are eligible for the MEP.
- b. How is “ungraded” defined? Ungraded means the children are served in an educational unit that has no separate grades. For example, some schools have primary grade groupings that are not traditionally graded or ungraded groupings for children with learning disabilities (IDEA). In some cases, ungraded students may also include special education children (IDEA), transitional bilingual students, students working on a HSED through a K-12 institution, or those in a correctional setting. (Do not count students working on a HSED outside of a K-12 institution as ungraded; these students are counted as out-of-school youth.)
- c. How is reporting a child “in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State” defined? A State must report a child in only one age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State. For example, a migratory child resided in State A for three months and in State B for nine months in SY2018-19. While in State A, the child enrolled in ninth grade for two months and in tenth grade for one month. Therefore, State A will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State A. In State B, the child enrolled in eighth grade for one month and in ninth grade for eight months. Therefore, State B will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State B.

In the space below, discuss any concerns about the accuracy of the reported child counts or the underlying eligibility determinations on which the counts are based and how and when these concerns will be resolved.

Comments
Nevada does not have any concerns at this time as the data was collected by the districts and verified by the state Migrant data coordinator

2.4.1.1 Category 1 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children)

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number by age/grade of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. This figure includes all eligible migratory children who may or may not have received MEP services. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include children age birth through 2 years.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	14
NEVADA	Kindergarten	5
NEVADA	Grade 1	5
NEVADA	Grade 2	10
NEVADA	Grade 3	6
NEVADA	Grade 4	9
NEVADA	Grade 5	5
NEVADA	Grade 6	9
NEVADA	Grade 7	4
NEVADA	Grade 8	10
NEVADA	Grade 9	9
NEVADA	Grade 10	8
NEVADA	Grade 11	4
NEVADA	Grade 12	9
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Out of school	1
NEVADA	Total	108

2.4.1.2 Category 1 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 1 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
Nevada did not have an increase or decrease greater than 10%

2.4.1.3 Birth through Two Child Count

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from birth through age 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	1

2.4.2 Category 2 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer/ Intersession Term)

In the table below, enter by age/grade the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include:

- Children age birth through 2 years
- Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS122/DG635

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	0
NEVADA	Kindergarten	0
NEVADA	Grade 1	0
NEVADA	Grade 2	0
NEVADA	Grade 3	0
NEVADA	Grade 4	0
NEVADA	Grade 5	0
NEVADA	Grade 6	0
NEVADA	Grade 7	0
NEVADA	Grade 8	0
NEVADA	Grade 9	0
NEVADA	Grade 10	0
NEVADA	Grade 11	0
NEVADA	Grade 12	0
NEVADA	Out of school	0
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Total	0

2.4.2.1 Category 2 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 2 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
Due to COVID 19 Nevada did not have any school districts that ran a summer school program.

2.4.2.2 Birth through Two Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from age birth through 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2020. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once.

Do not include:

- Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS122/DG635

State	Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	0

2.4.3 Child Count Calculation and Validation Procedures

The following questions request information on the State's MEP child count calculation and validation procedures.

2.4.3.1 Methods Used to Count Children

In the space below, please describe the procedures and processes at the State level used to ensure all eligible children, ages 3-21 are reported. In particular, describe how the State includes and counts only:

- The unduplicated count of eligible migratory children, ages 3-21. Only include children two years of age whose residency in the state has been verified after turning three.
- Children who met the program eligibility criteria (e.g., were within 3 years of a qualifying move, engaged or had parents engage in migratory agricultural or fishing work, and were entitled to a free public education through grade 12 in the State, or preschool children below the age and grade level at which the agency provides free public education). Children who were resident in your State for at least 1 day during the performance period (September 1 through August 31).
- Children who graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the performance period and ensures that these children are not counted in the subsequent performance period's child count.
- Children who—in the case of Category 2—were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods.
- Children once per age/grade level for each child count category.
- Children who had an SEA approved Certificate of Eligibility (COE) and were entered in the State's migratory student database.

Comments

Only children ages 3-21, include children two years of age whose residency in the state has been verified after turning three: Nevada's Migrant database is used to verify that only those children who were born after September 1, 1998 and before September 1, 2016 were included during the performance period for SY 2019-2020. The same system verifies that children who have turned three years of age have resided in Nevada for at least one day in order to be included in the system. Only children who were within 36 months of a QAD: The State Migrant Program personnel (including recruiters, district program directors/coordinators, state data coordinator, and the state director) ensure that the eligibility of each child is valid and has an active status until September 01, 2019. The validation and verification process start with using the August 31, 2020 as the last date to verify the 36 months and then review the QAD for each migratory student to verify if his/her eligibility is still effective and accurate with this timeframe. This process is done by the local program, then state data coordinator, finally reviewed by state migrant director. The final count for children who were within 36 months of a QAD is generated by the MAPS (Migrant Achievement Performance System-the state migrant database system) using the August 31st, 2020 date as a business rule to calculate this category count. Children who graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the performance period and ensures that these children are not counted in the subsequent performance period's child count. This will be verified by district recruiters, district program directors/coordinators, state data coordinator, and the state director. In MAPS, the state migrant database system, there is a flag/field for migratory students to be filled if they graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the SY2019-20 performance period. The state data coordinator work with the state migrant director to look at the report from MAPS and ensure the migratory students who graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the performance period are not counted in the following performance period's child count. Only children who were resident in the State for at least 1 day during the performance period (September 1 through August 31) were included in the state migrant database system during the SY2019-20 performance period: The validation and verification start with the recruiters conduct a home visit to interview the family to verify that children who have recently turned three years of age have resided in Nevada at least one day during the performance period between September 1 and August 31. This information is then entered into the Nevada Migrant database. The State Migrant Data Coordinator generates a report from this database for the two-years old to recheck when they turn three and whether they have resided at least one day in Nevada. She then informs the district Migrant Program to include these children as eligible migrant students in the system for the during the SY2019-20 performance period. Only children who had not graduated from high school equivalency diploma were included in the state migrant database system during the SY2019-20 performance period: The recruiters conduct a home visit after the first of September to interview "Out-of-School-Youth" to determine whether he or she graduated or received a high school equivalency diploma. If a student has not graduated from high school, he/she would then be eligible to receive Migrant services. Only unique children in Category 1, and eliminates duplicate students with its State MEP database: Nevada designates each student a unique state ID. Therefore, this is the first filter when entering each student into the state's Migrant database. In addition, in case of duplicate names, the district Migrant program personnel recheck their middle names, birthday, and parent names to ensure that no duplicate counts occur in the database system. Nevada has a very small incidence of Migrant children, so this issue has not been a problem. In the MAPS system, no duplicate students are allowed. For category 2 count, only a subset of the Category 1 children who received a MEP-funded service during the summer or intersession term: The district Migrant Program personnel are required to identify migrant students who will be eligible to receive services during the summer or intersession term. The need to ensure that the students are 3-21 years old and met program eligibility criteria such as being a resident of Nevada at least one day, including the children who have turned three years of age during the performance period. For summer session, each program is required to submit its application annually to receive Migrant funds to operate a program in summer. The application will require providing attendance rosters and student counts per grade. The state Director then reviews the application to determine the funding. Each district enters these data using national COE;s into the State's Migrant database which is operated by the ERTC MAPS. Migrant data are then verified by the State Migrant Data Coordinator and State Migrant Director.

Does the State ensure that the system that transmits migrant data to the Department accurately accounts for all the migratory children in every EDFacts data file? Please respond in the table below.

The State deployed a process that ensured that it transmits accurate migrant data to the Department in every required EDFacts data file.

Yes

Does the State use data in the Migrant Student Information Exchange (MSIX) to verify the quality of migrant data?

Yes

If MSIX is utilized, please explain how.

Comments
MSIX is utilized when having students moving in to Nevada from other states and randomly verifies the quality of migrant data between MSIX and in MAPS.

Data Quality Comments

2.4.3.2 Quality Control Processes

In the space below, describe the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA during the performance period to test the accuracy of the State's MEP eligibility determinations.

Results	#
The number of eligibility determinations sampled.	0
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed.	0
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed and the child was found eligible.	0

<p>What was the most recent year that the MEP conducted independent prospective re-interviews (i.e., interviewers were neither SEA or LEA staff members responsible for administering or operating the MEP, nor any other persons who worked on the initial eligibility determinations being tested)? If independent prospective re-interviews were not administered in any of the three performance periods, please provide an explanation in the "Comment" row at the end of this table.</p>
2019-20

Comments
In SY 2019-20 the re-interview process was not scheduled to be conducted. The re-interview process will be conducted again in 2020-21.

FAQ on independent prospective re-interviews:

a. What are independent prospective re-interviews? Independent prospective re-interviews allow confirmation of your State's eligibility determinations and the accuracy of the numbers of migratory children in your State reports. Independent prospective interviews should be conducted at least once every three years by an independent interviewer, performed on the current year's identified migratory children.

Obtaining Data from Families	Response
How were the re-interviews conducted	
Was there a protocol for verifying all information used in making the original eligibility determination?	No
Were re-interviewers independent from the original interviewers?	No

If you did conduct independent re-interviews in this reporting period, describe how you ensured that the process was independent. Only enter a response if your State completed independent re-interviews in SY2018-19.

Comments
In SY 2019-20 the re-interview process was not scheduled to be conducted. The re-interview process will be conducted again in 2020-21.

In the space below, refer to the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA, and if any of the migratory children were found ineligible, describe those corrective actions or improvements that will be made by the SEA to improve the accuracy of its MEP eligibility determinations.

Comments

In the space below, please respond to the following question:

Does the state collect all the required data elements and data sections on the National Certificate of Eligibility (COE)?
Yes

Data Quality Comments

2.4.4 Eligible Migratory Children

2.4.4.1 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having “Priority for Services.” The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

FAQ on priority for services:

Who is classified as having “priority for service?” Migratory children who have made a qualifying move within the previous 1-year period and who 1) are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet challenging State academic standards, or 2) have dropped out of school.

2.4.4.2 English Learners (ELs)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also ELs. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	ELs During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	5
NEVADA	Kindergarten	2
NEVADA	Grade 1	3
NEVADA	Grade 2	3
NEVADA	Grade 3	6
NEVADA	Grade 4	2
NEVADA	Grade 5	3
NEVADA	Grade 6	3
NEVADA	Grade 7	1
NEVADA	Grade 8	3
NEVADA	Grade 9	4
NEVADA	Grade 10	1
NEVADA	Grade 11	2
NEVADA	Grade 12	3
NEVADA	Out of school	1
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Total	42

2.4.4.3 Children with Disabilities (IDEA)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also children with disabilities (IDEA) under Part B or Part C of the IDEA. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	Children with Disabilities (IDEA) During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	1
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	0
NEVADA	Kindergarten	0
NEVADA	Grade 1	1
NEVADA	Grade 2	0
NEVADA	Grade 3	0
NEVADA	Grade 4	0
NEVADA	Grade 5	0
NEVADA	Grade 6	2
NEVADA	Grade 7	0
NEVADA	Grade 8	0
NEVADA	Grade 9	0
NEVADA	Grade 10	0
NEVADA	Grade 11	0
NEVADA	Grade 12	0
NEVADA	Out of school	0
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Total	4

2.4.4.4 Qualifying Arrival Date (QAD)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children whose QAD occurred within 12 months from the last day of the performance period, August 31, 2020 (i.e., QAD during the performance period). The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634

State	Age Grade	QAD During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	1
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	11
NEVADA	Kindergarten	3
NEVADA	Grade 1	5
NEVADA	Grade 2	6
NEVADA	Grade 3	4
NEVADA	Grade 4	3
NEVADA	Grade 5	3
NEVADA	Grade 6	6
NEVADA	Grade 7	2
NEVADA	Grade 8	4
NEVADA	Grade 9	5
NEVADA	Grade 10	6
NEVADA	Grade 11	3
NEVADA	Grade 12	7
NEVADA	Out of school	0
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Total	69

2.4.5 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of eligible migratory students.

2.4.5.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS032/DG326

State	Grade	Dropouts During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Grade 7	0
NEVADA	Grade 8	0
NEVADA	Grade 9	0
NEVADA	Grade 10	0
NEVADA	Grade 11	0
NEVADA	Grade 12	0
NEVADA	Ungraded	.
NEVADA	Total	0

FAQ on Dropouts:

How is “dropouts” defined? The term used for students, who, (1) were enrolled in a school for at least one day during the 2019-20 performance period, (2) were not enrolled at the beginning of the current (2020-21) performance period, (3) who have not graduated from high school or completed a State- or district-approved educational program, and (4) who do not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: (a) transfer to another school district, private school or State- or district-approved educational program (including correctional or health facility programs), (b) temporary absence due to suspension or school-excused illness or (c) death. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2019-20 performance period should not be reported in this item.

2.4.5.2 HSED (High School Equivalency Diploma)

In the table below, provide the total unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who obtained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) by passing a high school equivalency test that your state accepts (e.g. GED, HiSET, TASC).

Obtained a HSED in your State During the Performance Period
0

Data Quality Comments

2.4.6 MEP Services - During the Performance Period

The following questions collect data about MEP services provided to migratory children during the performance period.

FAQ on Services:

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. “Services” are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migratory child; (2) address a need of a migratory child consistent with the SEA’s comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State’s performance targets/annual measurable objectives. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are not considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migratory families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migratory children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the performance period. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102

State	Age Grade	Served During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	1
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	13
NEVADA	Kindergarten	5
NEVADA	Grade 1	5
NEVADA	Grade 2	9
NEVADA	Grade 3	6
NEVADA	Grade 4	7
NEVADA	Grade 5	5
NEVADA	Grade 6	9
NEVADA	Grade 7	2
NEVADA	Grade 8	10
NEVADA	Grade 9	9
NEVADA	Grade 10	7
NEVADA	Grade 11	4
NEVADA	Grade 12	9
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Out of school	1
NEVADA	Total	102

2.4.6.1 Priority for Services – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having “priority for services” and who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102

State	Age Grade	Priority for Services During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	2
NEVADA	Kindergarten	1
NEVADA	Grade 1	3
NEVADA	Grade 2	2
NEVADA	Grade 3	3
NEVADA	Grade 4	3
NEVADA	Grade 5	2
NEVADA	Grade 6	3
NEVADA	Grade 7	2
NEVADA	Grade 8	2
NEVADA	Grade 9	4
NEVADA	Grade 10	5
NEVADA	Grade 11	3
NEVADA	Grade 12	3
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Out of school	0
NEVADA	Total	38

2.4.6.2 Continuation of Services – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period under the continuation of services authority Section 1304(e)(2–3). Do not include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102

State	Age Grade	Continuation of Services During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	0
NEVADA	Kindergarten	0
NEVADA	Grade 1	0
NEVADA	Grade 2	0
NEVADA	Grade 3	0
NEVADA	Grade 4	0
NEVADA	Grade 5	0
NEVADA	Grade 6	1
NEVADA	Grade 7	0
NEVADA	Grade 8	1
NEVADA	Grade 9	1
NEVADA	Grade 10	0
NEVADA	Grade 11	1
NEVADA	Grade 12	0
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Out of school	0
NEVADA	Total	4

FAQ on Continuation of Services:

What is Continuation of Services? The “continuation of services” provision found in Section 1304(e) of the ESEA provides that: (1) a child who ceases to be a migratory child during a school term shall be eligible for services until the end of such term; (2) a child who is no longer a migratory child may continue to receive services for one additional school year, but only if comparable services are not available through other programs; and (3) secondary school students who were eligible for services in secondary school may continue to be served through credit accrual programs until graduation.

2.4.6.3 Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the performance period. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684

State	Age Grade	Instructional Service During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	0
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	12
NEVADA	Kindergarten	5
NEVADA	Grade 1	4
NEVADA	Grade 2	9
NEVADA	Grade 3	6
NEVADA	Grade 4	7
NEVADA	Grade 5	5
NEVADA	Grade 6	7
NEVADA	Grade 7	2
NEVADA	Grade 8	9
NEVADA	Grade 9	9
NEVADA	Grade 10	5
NEVADA	Grade 11	4
NEVADA	Grade 12	8
NEVADA	Ungraded	0
NEVADA	Out of school	1
NEVADA	Total	93

2.4.6.4 Type of Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the number of eligible migratory children reported in the table above who received MEP-funded reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the performance period. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684

State	Age Grade	Reading Instruction During the Performance Period	Mathematics Instruction During the Performance Period	High School Credit Accrual During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	10	9	0
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	0	0	0
NEVADA	Grade 1	4	4	0
NEVADA	Grade 10	4	4	1
NEVADA	Grade 11	4	4	1
NEVADA	Grade 12	5	5	2
NEVADA	Grade 2	9	8	0
NEVADA	Grade 3	5	4	0
NEVADA	Grade 4	7	7	0
NEVADA	Grade 5	5	5	0
NEVADA	Grade 6	7	6	0
NEVADA	Grade 7	2	2	0
NEVADA	Grade 8	9	8	0
NEVADA	Grade 9	9	9	1
NEVADA	Kindergarten	5	4	0
NEVADA	Out of school	0	0	0
NEVADA	Total	85	79	5
NEVADA	Ungraded	0	0	0

FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:

What is “high school credit accrual”? MEP-funded instruction, funded in whole or in part by MEP funds, in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a teacher for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. High school credit accrual includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher. High school credit accrual may include the age/grade categories of Grade 8 through Grade 12. NOTE: Children receiving a MEP-funded high school credit accrual service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

2.4.6.5 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Services – During the Performance Period

In the table below, in the column titled Support Services, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any MEP-funded support service during the performance period. In the column titled Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received a counseling service during the performance period. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684

State	Age Grade	Support Services During the Performance Period	Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period
NEVADA	Age 3 through 5 (Not Kindergarten)	6	0
NEVADA	Age Birth through 2	0	0
NEVADA	Grade 1	1	0
NEVADA	Grade 10	3	0
NEVADA	Grade 11	3	0
NEVADA	Grade 12	6	3
NEVADA	Grade 2	7	0
NEVADA	Grade 3	0	0
NEVADA	Grade 4	5	0
NEVADA	Grade 5	2	0
NEVADA	Grade 6	4	0
NEVADA	Grade 7	2	0
NEVADA	Grade 8	5	1
NEVADA	Grade 9	6	1
NEVADA	Kindergarten	2	0
NEVADA	Out of school	1	1
NEVADA	Total	53	6
NEVADA	Ungraded	0	0

FAQs on Support Services:

a. What are support services? These MEP-funded educationally-related services are provided to students. These services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migratory children; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. Activities related to identification and recruitment, parental involvement, professional development, program evaluation, and the one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counsees, or between students and students in MEP peer-to-peer counseling activities, or between students and MEP-funded staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy. NOTE: Children who receive a MEP-funded counseling service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

2.4.7 School Data during the Regular School Year

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migratory children in schools during the regular school year.

2.4.7.1 Schools and Enrollment – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled eligible migratory children at any time during the regular school year. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110

State	Number of schools that enrolled eligible migratory children	Number of eligible migratory children enrolled in those schools
NEVADA	34	109

2.4.7.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in SWPs – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the regular school year. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110 and FS165/DG514

2.5 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:
 - o Adult Corrections: An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.
 - o At-Risk Programs: Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, dependency adjudication, or delinquency adjudication, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice or child welfare system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, are English learners, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.
 - o Juvenile Corrections: An institution for delinquent children and youth that is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.
 - o Juvenile Detention Facilities: Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.
 - o Neglected Programs: An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.
 - o Other: Any other programs, not defined above, that receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

2.5.1 State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

2.5.1.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program or Facility Type	# Programs or Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
Adult corrections	2	175
Juvenile corrections	3	199
Juvenile detention	0	0
Neglected programs	0	0
Other programs	0	0
Total	5	.

FAQ on Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

Data Quality Comments

2.5.1.2 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students. The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program Facility Type	# Reporting Data
Adult corrections	2
Juvenile corrections	3
Juvenile detention	0
Neglected programs	0
Other programs	0
Total	5

Data Quality Comments

2.5.1.3 Students Served – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by disability (IDEA) and EL status, by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex and by age will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS119/DG656

State	# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Total Long-Term Students Served	.	.	428	144	.
NEVADA	Total Unduplicated Students Served	.	.	428	179	.

Provide the number of students served by special populations.

State	Student Subgroups	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	.	.	86	40	.
NEVADA	English learner	.	.	22	0	.

Provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity.

State	Race Ethnicity	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	American Indian or Alaska Native	.	.	5	1	.
NEVADA	Asian	.	.	7	3	.
NEVADA	Black or African American	.	.	163	67	.
NEVADA	Hispanic or Latino	.	.	130	63	.
NEVADA	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	.	.	6	2	.
NEVADA	Total	.	.	428	179	.
NEVADA	Two or more races	.	.	10	14	.
NEVADA	White	.	.	107	29	.

Provide the number of students served by gender.

State	Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Female	.	.	97	0	.
NEVADA	Male	.	.	331	179	.
NEVADA	Total	.	.	428	179	.

Provide the number of students served by age.

State	Age	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	10 years old	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	11 years old	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	12 years old	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	13 years old	.	.	10	0	.
NEVADA	14 years old	.	.	24	0	.
NEVADA	15 years old	.	.	76	0	.
NEVADA	16 years old	.	.	97	8	.
NEVADA	17 years old	.	.	155	21	.
NEVADA	18 years old	.	.	63	13	.
NEVADA	19 years old	.	.	3	39	.
NEVADA	20 years old	.	.	0	52	.
NEVADA	21 years old	.	.	0	46	.
NEVADA	3 through 5	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	6 years old	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	7 years old	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	8 years old	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	9 years old	.	.	0	0	.
NEVADA	Total	.	.	428	179	.

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.

2.5.1.4 Academic, Career and Technical Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 90 Calendar Days after Exit

In the tables below, for each program type, provide the number of students who attained academic, career, and technical outcomes.

The first table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only after exit. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who enrolled, or planned to enroll, in their local district school within 90 calendar days after exiting. A student may be reported only once, per program type.

The second table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only one time. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained the listed outcomes either in the while enrolled in the State agency program/facility column (“in fac.”) or in the within 90 calendar days after exiting column. A student may be reported only once across the two time periods, per program type.

The third table includes outcomes a student may achieve more than once. In the “in fac.” column, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and technical outcomes while enrolled in the State agency program/facility. In the “90 days after exit” column provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career, and technical outcomes within 90 calendar days after exiting. If a student attained an outcome once in the program/facility and once during the 90–day transition period, that student may be reported once in each column.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS180/DG783 and FS181/DG785

State	Outcomes (once per student, only after exit)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Enrolled in local district school			52	S	

State	Outcomes	Neglected Programs - In Fac	Neglected Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Detention - In Fac	Juvenile Detention - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Corrections - In Fac
NEVADA	Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education					S
NEVADA	Obtained high school diploma					21
NEVADA	Obtained employment					S
NEVADA	Enrolled in job training courses/programs					46
NEVADA	Enrolled in a GED program					18
NEVADA	Earned high school course credits					361
NEVADA	Earned a GED					26

State	Outcomes	Juvenile Corrections - 90 Days After Exit	Adult Corrections - In Fac	Adult Corrections - 90 Days After Exit	Other Programs - In Fac	Other Programs - 90 Days After Exit
NEVADA	Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education	S	20	S		
NEVADA	Obtained high school diploma	S	29	S		
NEVADA	Obtained employment	10	S	S		
NEVADA	Enrolled in job training courses/programs	S	29	S		
NEVADA	Enrolled in a GED program	S	120	S		
NEVADA	Earned high school course credits	S	149	S		
NEVADA	Earned a GED	S	16	S		

2.5.2 Academic Performance – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

2.5.2.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in reading pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS113/DG628

State	Performance Data (Based on most recent pre post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	No Change			9	S	
NEVADA	Up to one full grade			13	S	
NEVADA	Negative change			25	S	
NEVADA	More than one full grade			75	4	
NEVADA	Total			122	9	

2.5.2.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in mathematics pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS113/DG628

State	Performance Data (Based on most recent pre post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	No Change			9	S	
NEVADA	Up to one full grade			13	S	
NEVADA	Negative change			25	S	
NEVADA	More than one full grade			75	4	
NEVADA	Total			122	9	

2.5.3 LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

2.5.3.1 Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. The total number of programs/ facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

LEA Program or Facility Type	# Programs or Facilities	Average Length of Stay (# days)
At-risk programs	9	65
Juvenile corrections	5	119
Juvenile detention	4	27
Neglected programs	2	29
Other programs	0	0
Total	20	.

FAQ on average length of stay:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

Data Quality Comments

2.5.3.2 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students. The total row will be automatically calculated.

LEA Program or Facility Type	# Reporting Data
At-risk programs	9
Juvenile corrections	5
Juvenile detention	4
Neglected programs	2
Other programs	0
Total	20

Data Quality Comments

2.5.3.3 Students Served – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by disability (IDEA), and EL status, by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS127/DG657

State	# of students served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Total Long-Term Students Served	336	0	77	459	.
NEVADA	Total Unduplicated Students Served	612	1570	2612	588	.

Provide the number of students served by special populations.

State	Student Subgroups	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	135	354	549	150	.
NEVADA	English learner	73	86	267	80	.

Provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity.

State	Race Ethnicity	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	American Indian or Alaska Native	9	17	36	8	.
NEVADA	Asian	2	16	27	2	.
NEVADA	Black or African American	34	555	945	218	.
NEVADA	Hispanic or Latino	237	366	863	195	.
NEVADA	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	6	10	33	4	.
NEVADA	Total	612	1570	2612	588	.
NEVADA	Two or more races	34	306	159	20	.
NEVADA	White	290	300	549	141	.

Provide the number of students served by sex.

State	Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Female	244	785	661	60	.
NEVADA	Male	368	785	1951	528	.
NEVADA	Total	612	1570	2612	588	.

Provide the number of students served by age.

State	Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	10 years old	0	98	13	0	.
NEVADA	11 years old	0	90	30	0	.
NEVADA	12 years old	14	94	97	1	.
NEVADA	13 years old	22	90	178	13	.
NEVADA	14 years old	90	115	315	44	.
NEVADA	15 years old	138	146	515	95	.
NEVADA	16 years old	130	151	623	136	.
NEVADA	17 years old	130	123	632	197	.
NEVADA	18 years old	84	18	161	83	.
NEVADA	19 years old	0	1	36	11	.
NEVADA	20 years old	0	0	11	6	.
NEVADA	21 years old	0	0	0	2	.
NEVADA	3 through 5	0	176	0	0	.
NEVADA	6 years old	1	121	0	0	.
NEVADA	7 years old	1	129	0	0	.
NEVADA	8 years old	0	114	0	0	.
NEVADA	9 years old	2	104	1	0	.
NEVADA	Total	612	1570	2612	588	.

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.

2.5.3.4 Academic, Career and Technical Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 90 Calendar Days After Exit

In the tables below, for each program type, provide the number of students who attained academic, career and technical outcomes.

The first table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only after exit. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who enrolled, or planned to enroll, in their local district school within 90 calendar days after exiting. A student may be reported only once, per program type.

The second table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only one time. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained the listed outcomes either in the while enrolled in the LEA program/facility column (“in fac.”) or in the within 90 calendar days after exiting column. A student may be reported only once across the two time periods, per program type.

The third table includes outcomes a student may achieve more than once. In the “in fac.” column, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and vocational outcomes while enrolled in the LEA program/facility. In the “90 days after exit” column provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and vocational outcomes within 90 calendar days after exiting. If a student attained an outcome once in the program/facility and once during the 90 day transition period, that student may be reported once in each column.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS180/DG782 and FS181/DG784

State	Outcomes(once per student, only after exit)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Enrolled in local district school	203	1272	1734	300	

State	Outcomes	At-Risk Programs - In Fac	At-Risk Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Neglected Programs - In Fac	Neglected Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Detention - In Fac
NEVADA	Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education	S	S	S	S	S
NEVADA	Obtained high school diploma	73	5	S	14	S
NEVADA	Obtained employment	S	S	S	S	S
NEVADA	Enrolled in job training courses/programs	S	S	S	S	S
NEVADA	Enrolled in a GED program	S	S	S	34	S
NEVADA	Earned high school course credits	403	26	19	414	286
NEVADA	Earned a GED	S	S	S	S	S

State	Outcomes	Juvenile Detention - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Corrections - In Fac	Juvenile Corrections - 90 Days After Exit	Other Programs - In Fac	Other Programs - 90 Days After Exit
NEVADA	Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education	S	S	S		
NEVADA	Obtained high school diploma	88	4	9		
NEVADA	Obtained employment	S	S	S		
NEVADA	Enrolled in job training courses/programs	S	S	S		
NEVADA	Enrolled in a GED program	224	5	47		
NEVADA	Earned high school course credits	1208	447	40		
NEVADA	Earned a GED	25	15	3		

2.5.3.5 Academic Performance – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

2.5.3.5.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in reading pre- and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories. Reporting pre- and post-test data for at-risk students in the table below is optional.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS125/DG629

State	Performance Data(Based on most recent pre post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Negative change	4	S	S	32	
NEVADA	No change	22	S	S	35	
NEVADA	Up to one full grade	249	S	5	83	
NEVADA	More than one full grade	4	S	9	151	
NEVADA	Total	279	S	19	301	

FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020.

Is reporting pre/post-test data for at-risk programs required? No, reporting pre/post-test data for at-risk students is no longer required, but States have the option to continue to collect and report it within the CSPR.

2.5.3.5.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in mathematics pre- and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories. Reporting pre- and post-test data for at-risk students in the table below is optional.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2019, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS125/DG629

State	Performance Data (Based on most recent pre post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
NEVADA	Negative change	4	S	S	40	
NEVADA	No change	20	S	S	36	
NEVADA	Up to one full grade	248	S	5	104	
NEVADA	More than one full grade	6	S	12	120	
NEVADA	Total	278	S	20	300	

2.6 STUDENT SUPPORT AND ACADEMIC ENRICHMENT GRANTS (TITLE IV, PART A)

2.6.1 Funds Spent Under Title IV, Part A

This section collects data on the amount of funds spent by LEAs on the three content areas under Title IV, Part A of the ESEA.

Content Area	Amount of Funds Spent
Well-Rounded	\$13
Safe and Healthy Students	\$18
Effective Use of Technology	\$16

Data Quality Comments

2.6.2 LEAs Who Spent Funds Under Title IV, Part A

This section collects data on the number of LEAs who spent funds by the content areas under Title IV, Part A of the ESEA. For the “Any” category, report the number of LEAs that spent funds in any of the three content areas. An LEA should be included in the count of each content area it spent funds on (i.e. an LEA may be represented in more than one content area in the table below).

Content Area	Number of LEAs Spending Funds
Well-Rounded	13
Safe and Healthy Students	18
Effective Use of Technology	16
Any Content Area	0

Data Quality Comments

2.7 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE V, PART A)

2.7.1 State Transferability of Funds

In the table below, indicate whether the State transferred funds under the state transferability authority.

Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 5103(a) during SY2019-20?
No

Data Quality Comments

2.7.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds

In the table below, indicate the number of LEAs that notified the State that they transferred funds under the LEA transferability authority.

LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA Transferability authority of Section 5103(b).
0

Data Quality Comments

2.7.3 LEA Funds Transfers

In the table below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds from an eligible program to another eligible program.

Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds FROM Eligible Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds TO Eligible Program
Education of Migratory Children (Title I, Part C)	.	0
English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act (Title III, Part A)	.	0
Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs (Title I, Part A)	.	0
Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk (Title I, Part D)	.	0
Rural Education Initiative (Title V, Part B)	.	0
Student Support and Enrichment Grants (Title IV, Part A)	0	0
Supporting Effective Instruction (Title II, Part A)	0	0

Data Quality Comments

In the table below provide the total amount of FY 2019 appropriated funds transferred from and to each eligible program.

Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred FROM Eligible Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred TO Eligible Program
Education of Migratory Children (Title I, Part C)	.	\$0
English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act (Title III, Part A)	.	\$0
Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs (Title I, Part A)	.	\$0
Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk (Title I, Part D)	.	\$0
Rural Education Initiative (Title V, Part B)	.	\$0
Student Support and Enrichment Grants (Title IV, Part A)	\$0	\$0
Supporting Effective Instruction (Title II, Part A)	\$0	\$0

Data Quality Comments

2.8 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP)

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title V, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

2.8.1 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title V, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds during SY2019-20 for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEAs
Activities authorized under Part A of Title I	2
Activities authorized under Part A of Title II	0
Activities authorized under Title III	0
Activities authorized under Part A of Title IV	0
Parental involvement activities	0

Data Quality Comments

2.8.2 RLIS Objectives and Outcomes

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the objectives and outcomes for the Rural Low-Income School (RLIS) Program as described in the State's most current Consolidated State Application. If providing quantitative data along with your narrative, please ensure all data is converted to text format.

Comments

The Nevada Department of Education has incorporated multi-faceted indicators that results in the continuous improvement of all schools. This system is called the Nevada School Performance Framework (NSPF) and results in a school rating of 1- to 5- stars. This rating system will be applied to all public and charter schools that meet the minimum N size requirements. The ratings of schools will be determined by adding the points earned for each indicator in the school rating system. The indicators are Academic Achievement, Academic Progress, Graduation Rate, Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency, and School Quality or Student Success indicators. Student performance in the status, ELPA, and graduation rates will be measured against the state's defined long term goals and measures of interim progress. Schools identified for targeted supports and improvements will be identified using the status and graduation rate reporting elements that will be associated with the respective indicators. Each of these reporting elements will be disaggregated to take into consideration the performance of each subgroup. Additionally, the school quality indicators are designed to further call attention to the performance of low achieving students and subgroups. Nevada's Title V RLIS support uses a narrative application, with needs assessment information on specific measurable goals. The desired outcomes are increased student academic achievement and decreased student dropout rates. The school district uses state assessments, benchmark tests, writing assessments, classroom observations, parent/community surveys and School Star Rating to determine effectiveness of interventions, programs and strategies.

2.8.3 RLIS Technical Assistance

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in providing technical assistance for RLIS LEA sub-grantees as described in the State's most current Consolidated State Application. If providing quantitative data along with your narrative, please ensure all data is converted to text format.

Comments
<p>The Nevada Department of Education's approach to differentiated school improvement identifies the roles and responsibilities for NDE and districts. To facilitate system level alignment and coherence on accountability and supports, Nevada prioritizes its work and more effectively targets resources, supports, and interventions. This will ensure that NDE and districts are aligned and responsive to specific school needs. Effective continuous improvement processes require transparency, strategic collaboration, skill in employing data-based decision-making, reflection, and expertise in providing successful interventions for struggling schools and students. NDE will annually review data that is collected at the school and district levels to determine whether LEAs and schools are achieving state goals and interim benchmarks. Technical assistance for RLIS districts is on-going and provided with on-site visits, emails and phone conversations. A RLIS desktop monitoring tool provides documentation and dialogue around program effectiveness and student achievement, expenditures, fiscal accountability, policies, procedures, and budgets.</p>

2.8.4 RLIS Subgrant Award Determination

Please report the method the SEA used to award grants to eligible LEAs. If the SEA used a competitive process, please describe that process and include a description of the methods and criteria the SEA used to review applications, award funds to LEAs, and how the LEAs were notified of the process. If the SEA used a formula besides one based on the number of students in average daily attendance served by eligible LEAs in the State, please describe that formula, including an explanation of how this alternative formula enables the SEA to allot grant funds in a manner that serves equal or greater concentrations of children from families with incomes below the poverty line, relative to the concentration that would be served if the SEA used a formula based on the number of students in average daily attendance served by eligible LEAs in the State.

Comments
<p>Nevada RLIS funds were distributed on a formula per pupil allocation based on the average daily attendance of the eligible LEAs. LEAs were asked to describe the scope and purpose of the project(s) for which funding will used. Include the following: Information provided should cover School Year 2020-2021; Information provided should cover the amount funded; Describe measurable goals and objectives that increase student academic achievement and/or decrease student dropout rates; Identify how funds are used to support district and schools aligned with authorized title activities; and level of evidence; Describe how funds are prioritized funds to schools that are identified for school improvement (comprehensive, Rising Stars, equity); and List the person(s) responsible for the development and execution of the project(s). In addition, Proposed Projects & Associated Costs Summary include a complete Budget spreadsheet with General Federal Grant Assurances and Statement of Certification.</p>

2.8.5 RLIS State Administrative Funds

In the table below, provide information on state administrative funds.

Question	Percentage
What percentage of the RLIS grant funds were retained for State-level administration?	98
What percentage of those funds retained for State-level administration were used specifically for technical assistance?	2

Data Quality Comments

2.8.6 RLIS LEAs Awarded Funds

Please list the NCES LEA ID and name of each LEA that received RLIS funds and the amount each received.

Data published outside of report

Data Quality Comments
3200330-Mineral County School District-10,427.34 3200360-Nye County School District-101,394.81

2.8.7 Small, Rural School Achievement (SRSA) Program, Alternative Fund Use Authority (AFUA)

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS131/DG614

State	Number of SRSA- and Dual-eligible LEAs informed their SEA of an intent to utilize SRSA's AFUA, under Section 5211 of the ESEA.	Percent of SRSA- and Dual-eligible LEAs informed their SEA of an intent to utilize SRSA's AFUA, under Section 5211 of the ESEA.
NEVADA	1	9.1