

# CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT

## PART II

SCHOOL YEAR 2021-2022

GEORGIA



The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA)

### **Paperwork Burden Statement**

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 36.50 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202.

## 2.1 ACCOUNTABILITY

### 2.1.2 Schools Identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement

In the table below, provide the number of schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement by reason identified.

Populated with SY2022-2023 SCH-LEVEL FS206/DG842 and FS212/DG866.

Reason Type	Number of Schools	Number of Title I Schools	Number of Non-Title I Schools
Lowest performing five percent of Title I schools	95	95	.
High schools failing to graduate one third or more of their students	29	22	7

### 2.1.3 Schools Implementing Targeted Support and Improvement Plans

In the table below, provide the number of schools implementing targeted support and improvement plans.

Populated with SY2022-2023 SCH-LEVEL FS206/DG842 and FS212/DG867.

Reason Type	Number of Schools	Number of Title I Schools	Number of non-Title I Schools
Schools with One or More Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students	58	56	2

### 2.1.4 Section 1003 of the ESEA School Improvement Funds

In the tables below, provide the amount of Section 1003 funds of the ESEA allocated to each district and school.

#### 2.1.4.1 Section 1003 of the ESEA Allocations to LEAs

For each LEA receiving a 1003(a) allocation, list the amount of the allocation.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS132/DG794.

Data published outside report.

#### 2.1.4.2 Section 1003 of the ESEA Allocations to Schools

For each school receiving a Section 1003(a) allocation of the ESEA, list the amount of the allocation.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS132/DG794.

Data published outside report.

## 2.2 GRADUATION RATES AND POSTSECONDARY ENROLLMENT

This section collects data on graduation rates and rates of postsecondary enrollment.

### 2.2.1 Four Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rates

In the table below, provide the State's four year adjusted cohort graduation rates for the current reporting period.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS150/DG695 and FS151/DG696.

Student Group	# of Graduates	# of Students in Cohort	Graduation Rate
All students	S	133280	84.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	284	77
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	6289	93.8
Black or African American	S	47933	82.2
Hispanic or Latino	S	22173	77.8
White	S	51970	87.4
Two or more races	S	4631	83.4
Children with Disabilities (IDEA)	S	16010	72.5
English learners	S	8363	66.2
Economically disadvantaged students	S	62174	78.6
Children in foster care	S	403	48
Children who are homeless	S	4736	62.7

#### Frequently asked questions (FAQs) on graduation rates:

What is the adjusted cohort graduation rate? The adjusted cohort graduation rate is described in sections 8101(23) and 8101(25) of the ESEA.

## 2.2.2 Postsecondary Enrollment

In the table below, provide counts of students who enrolled in programs of postsecondary education during the current reporting period.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS160/DG739.

<b>Student Group</b>	<b># Enrolled in an IHE</b>	<b># Not Enrolled in an IHE</b>	<b># For Which Data Are Unavailable</b>	<b>Total</b>
All students	73580	39601	S	S
American Indian or Alaska Native	136	81	S	S
Asian or Pacific Islander	4626	614	S	S
Asian or Pacific Islander	85	56	S	S
Asian	4626	614	S	S
Black or African American	25393	15185	S	S
Hispanic or Latino	8527	7856	S	S
White	32436	14550	S	S
Two or more races	2377	1259	S	S
Female	41871	16142	S	S
Male	31709	23459	S	S
Children with Disabilities (IDEA)	3840	7038	S	S
English Learners	877	1951	S	S
Economically disadvantaged students	21920	18161	S	S

## 2.3 TITLE I, PART A PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

### 2.3.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I Schoolwide Programs (SWPs) or Targeted Assistance programs (TAS) at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student only once in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do not include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS037/DG548.

Special Services or Programs	# Students Served
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	152248
English learners	111219
Homeless students	27029
Migrant students	4392

### 2.3.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of public school students served by either Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do not include (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS037/DG548.

<b>Race Ethnicity</b>	<b># Students Served</b>
American Indian or Alaska Native	2209
Asian	21765
Black or African American	489929
Hispanic or Latino	215978
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1205
White	309370
Two or more races	44549
Total	1085005

### 2.3.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public TAS, Title I SWP, private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected).

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS134/DG670.

Age Grade	Public SWP	Public TAS	Local Neglected	Private	Total
Age Birth through 2	0	0	0	0	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0	0	0	36	36
Kindergarten	93011	189	1	292	93493
Grade 1	91257	256	3	304	91820
Grade 2	91482	252	9	245	91988
Grade 3	91197	264	19	291	91771
Grade 4	92019	204	41	293	92557
Grade 5	93139	194	68	317	93718
Grade 6	89788	175	119	297	90379
Grade 7	92818	177	212	276	93483
Grade 8	94444	193	244	295	95176
Grade 9	77883	85	477	196	78641
Grade 10	64702	53	333	181	65269
Grade 11	54857	30	187	181	55255
Grade 12	56448	14	73	180	56715
Ungraded	.	.	.	.	0
Total	1083045	2086	1786	3384	1090301

## 2.5 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:

**o Adult Corrections:** An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.

**o At-Risk Programs:** Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, dependency adjudication, or delinquency adjudication, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice or child welfare system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, are English learners, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.

**o Juvenile Corrections:** An institution for delinquent children and youth that is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.

**o Juvenile Detention Facilities:** Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.

**o Neglected Programs:** An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.

**o Other:** Any other programs, not defined above, that receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

### 2.5.1 State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

#### 2.5.1.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.



State Program or Facility Type	# Programs or Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
Adult corrections	58	365
Juvenile corrections	6	96
Juvenile detention	19	36
Neglected programs	.	.
Other programs	.	.
Total	.	.

**FAQ on programs and facilities - subpart 1:**

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

Data Quality Comments

**2.5.1.2 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 1**

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students. The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program Facility Type	# Reporting Data
Adult corrections	58
Juvenile corrections	6
Juvenile detention	19
Neglected programs	.
Other programs	.
Total	.

Data Quality Comments

### 2.5.1.3 Students Served - Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide, in row 1, the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and, in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by disability (IDEA) and EL status, by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS119/DG656.

# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Total Long-Term Students Served	.	793	.	634	.
Total Unduplicated Students Served	.	2983	.	634	.

Provide the number of students served by special populations.

Student Subgroups	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	.	905	.	87	.
English learners	.	0	.	0	.

Provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity.

Race Ethnicity	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native	.	6	.	0	.
Asian	.	7	.	0	.
Black or African American	.	2147	.	477	.
Hispanic or Latino	.	167	.	14	.
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	.	0	.	0	.
White	.	594	.	142	.
Two or more races	.	62	.	1	.
Total	.	2983	.	634	.

Provide the number of students served by gender.

Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Female	.	595	.	40	.
Male	.	2388	.	594	.
Total	.	2983	.	634	.

Provide the number of students served by age.

Age	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Age 3 through 5	.	0	.	0	.
Age 6	.	0	.	0	.
Age 7	.	0	.	0	.
Age 8	.	0	.	0	.
Age 9	.	0	.	0	.
Age 10	.	0	.	0	.
Age 11	.	2	.	0	.
Age 12	.	14	.	0	.
Age 13	.	66	.	0	.
Age 14	.	212	.	0	.
Age 15	.	461	.	0	.
Age 16	.	776	.	0	.
Age 17	.	908	.	34	.
Age 18	.	372	.	199	.
Age 19	.	104	.	204	.
Age 20	.	42	.	197	.
Age 21	.	26	.	0	.
Total	.	2983	.	634	.

**FAQ on unduplicated count:**

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

**FAQ on long-term:**

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022.

### 2.5.1.4 Academic, Career, and Technical Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or within 90 Calendar Days after Exit

In the tables below, for each program type, provide the number of students who attained academic, career, and technical outcomes.

The first table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only after exit. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who enrolled or planned to enroll in their local district school within 90 calendar days after exiting. A student may be reported only once per program type.

The second table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only one time. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained the listed outcomes either in the while enrolled in the State agency program/facility column ('in fac.') or in the within 90 calendar days after exiting column. A student may be reported only once across the two time periods per program type.

The third table includes outcomes a student may achieve more than once. In the 'in fac.' column, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and technical outcomes while enrolled in the State agency program/facility. In the '90 days after exit' column provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career, and technical outcomes within 90 calendar days after exiting. If a student attained an outcome once in the program/facility and once during the 90-day transition period, that student may be reported once in each column.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS180/DG783 and FS181/DG785.

Outcomes (Once per Student, Only after Exit)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in local district school		87		S	

Outcomes	Neglected Programs - In Fac	Neglected Programs - 90 Days after Exit	Juvenile Detention - In Fac	Juvenile Detention - 90 Days after Exit	Juvenile Corrections - In Fac
Obtained high school diploma			38	S	
Enrolled in job training courses/programs			S	S	
Enrolled in a GED program			86	4	
Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education			11	S	
Earned high school course credits			1260	S	
Earned a GED			42	S	
Obtained employment			S	33	

<b>Outcomes</b>	<b>Juvenile Corrections - 90 Days after Exit</b>	<b>Adult Corrections - In Fac</b>	<b>Adult Corrections - 90 Days after Exit</b>	<b>Other Programs - In Fac</b>	<b>Other Programs - 90 Days after Exit</b>
Obtained high school diploma		S	S		
Enrolled in job training courses/programs		143	S		
Enrolled in a GED program		301	166		
Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education		S	S		
Earned high school course credits		S	S		
Earned a GED		143	166		
Obtained employment		S	32		

## 2.5.2 Academic Performance - Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

### 2.5.2.1 Academic Performance in Reading - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in reading pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2021, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS113/DG628.

<b>Performance Data (Based on Most Recent Pre Post-Test Data)</b>	<b>Neglected Programs</b>	<b>Juvenile Detention</b>	<b>Juvenile Corrections</b>	<b>Adult Corrections</b>	<b>Other Programs</b>
Long-term students with negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		S		S	
Long-term students with no change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		S		S	
Long-term students with improvement up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		S		634	
Long-term students with improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		S		S	
Total students pre/post-tested		S		634	

### 2.5.2.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in mathematics pre- and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2021, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS113/DG628.

<b>Performance Data (Based on most recent pre post-test data)</b>	<b>Neglected Programs</b>	<b>Juvenile Detention</b>	<b>Juvenile Corrections</b>	<b>Adult Corrections</b>	<b>Other Programs</b>
Long-term students with negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		S		S	
Long-term students with no change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		S		S	
Long-term students with improvement up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		S		634	
Long-term students with improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		S		S	
Total students pre/post-tested		S		634	

### 2.5.3 LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities - Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

#### 2.5.3.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

LEA Program or Facility Type	# Programs or Facilities	Average Length of Stay (# days)
At-risk programs	2	297
Juvenile corrections	.	.
Juvenile detention	.	.
Neglected programs	.	.
Other programs	.	.
Total	.	.

**FAQ on average length of stay:**

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

<b>Data Quality Comments</b>



### 2.5.3.2 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students. The total row will be automatically calculated.

LEA Program or Facility Type	# Reporting Data
At-risk programs	2
Juvenile corrections	.
Juvenile detention	.
Neglected programs	.
Other programs	.
Total	.

Data Quality Comments

### 2.5.3.3 Students Served - Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide, in row 1, the unduplicated number of students served by each program, and, in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by disability (IDEA), and EL status, by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS127/DG657.

# of Students Served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Total Long-Term Students Served	36	.	.	.	.
Total Unduplicated Students Served	69	.	.	.	.

Provide the number of students served by special populations.

Student Subgroups	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	24	.	.	.	.
English learners	2	.	.	.	.

Provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity.

Race Ethnicity	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	.	.	.	.
Asian	0	.	.	.	.
Black or African American	29	.	.	.	.
Hispanic or Latino	2	.	.	.	.
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	0	.	.	.	.
White	32	.	.	.	.
Two or more races	6	.	.	.	.
Total	69	.	.	.	.

Provide the number of students served by sex.

Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Female	14	.	.	.	.
Male	55	.	.	.	.
Total	69	.	.	.	.

Provide the number of students served by age.

Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Age 3 through 5	0	.	.	.	.
Age 6	0	.	.	.	.
Age 7	4	.	.	.	.
Age 8	5	.	.	.	.
Age 9	8	.	.	.	.
Age 10	3	.	.	.	.
Age 11	0	.	.	.	.
Age 12	1	.	.	.	.
Age 13	3	.	.	.	.
Age 14	2	.	.	.	.
Age 15	10	.	.	.	.
Age 16	14	.	.	.	.
Age 17	14	.	.	.	.
Age 18	4	.	.	.	.
Age 19	1	.	.	.	.
Age 20	0	.	.	.	.
Age 21	0	.	.	.	.
Total	69	.	.	.	.

**FAQ on unduplicated count:**

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

**FAQ on long-term:**

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022.

### 2.5.3.4 Academic, Career, and Technical Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or within 90 Calendar Days after Exit

In the tables below, for each program type, provide the number of students who attained academic, career, and technical outcomes.

The first table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only after exit. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who enrolled or planned to enroll in their local district school within 90 calendar days after exiting. A student may be reported only once per program type.

The second table includes outcomes a student is able to achieve only one time. In this table, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained the listed outcomes either in the while enrolled in the LEA program/facility column ('in fac.') or in the within 90 calendar days after exiting column. A student may be reported only once across the two time periods per program type.

The third table includes outcomes a student may achieve more than once. In the 'in fac.' column, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and vocational outcomes while enrolled in the LEA program/facility. In the '90 days after exit' column provide the unduplicated number of students who attained academic, career and vocational outcomes within 90 calendar days after exiting. If a student attained an outcome once in the program/facility and once during the 90 day transition period, that student may be reported once in each column.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS180/DG782 and FS181/DG784.

Outcomes(once per student, only after exit)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in local district school	S				

Outcomes	At-Risk Programs - In Fac	At-Risk Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Neglected Programs - In Fac	Neglected Programs - 90 Days After Exit	Juvenile Detention - In Fac
Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education	S	S			
Obtained employment	S	S			
Enrolled in job training courses/programs	S	S			
Enrolled in a GED program	33	S			
Obtained high school diploma	S	S			
Earned high school course credits	49	S			
Earned a GED	S	S			

<b>Outcomes</b>	<b>Juvenile Detention - 90 Days After Exit</b>	<b>Juvenile Corrections - In Fac</b>	<b>Juvenile Corrections - 90 Days After Exit</b>	<b>Other Programs - In Fac</b>	<b>Other Programs - 90 Days After Exit</b>
Were accepted and/or enrolled into post-secondary education					
Obtained employment					
Enrolled in job training courses/programs					
Enrolled in a GED program					
Obtained high school diploma					
Earned high school course credits					
Earned a GED					

### 2.5.3.5 Academic Performance - Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

#### 2.5.3.5.1 Academic Performance in Reading - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in reading pre- and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories. Reporting pre- and post-test data for at-risk students in the table below is optional.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2021, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS125/DG629.

Performance Data(Based on Most Recent Pre Post-Test Data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students with negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	3				
Long-term students with no change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	14				
Long-term students with improvement up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	3				
Long-term students with improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	4				
Total students pre/post-tested	24				

**FAQ on long-term:**

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2022.

Is reporting pre/post-test data for at-risk programs required? No, reporting pre/post-test data for at-risk students is no longer required, but States have the option to continue to collect and report it within the CSPR.

### 2.5.3.5.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in mathematics pre- and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the four change categories. Reporting pre- and post-test data for at-risk students in the table below is optional.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2021, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS125/DG629.

<b>Performance Data(Based on most recent pre post-test data)</b>	<b>At-Risk Programs</b>	<b>Neglected Programs</b>	<b>Juvenile Detention</b>	<b>Juvenile Corrections</b>	<b>Other Programs</b>
Long-term students with negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	S				
Long-term students with no change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	13				
Long-term students with improvement up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	S				
Long-term students with improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	5				
Total students pre/post-tested	24				

## 2.6 STUDENT SUPPORT AND ACADEMIC ENRICHMENT GRANTS (TITLE IV, PART A)

### 2.6.1 Funds Spent Under Title IV, Part A

This section collects data on the amount of funds spent by LEAs on the three content areas under Title IV, Part A of the ESEA.

Content Area	Amount of Funds Spent
Well-Rounded	\$13,508,288
Safe and Healthy Students	\$5,260,314
Effective Use of Technology	\$680,849

#### Data Quality Comments

Title IV, Part A funds transferred to another eligible program (\$8,901,524) Title I, Part A schoolwide programs consolidating Title IV, Part A (\$514,509)

### 2.6.2 LEAs Who Spent Funds Under Title IV, Part A

This section collects data on the number of LEAs who spent funds by the content areas under Title IV, Part A of the ESEA. For the 'Any' category, report the number of LEAs that spent funds in any of the three content areas. An LEA should be included in the count of each content area it spent funds on (i.e. an LEA may be represented in more than one content area in the table below).

Content Area	Number of LEAs Spending Funds
Well-Rounded	106
Safe and Healthy Students	89
Effective Use of Technology	67
Any Content Area	112

#### Data Quality Comments

--



## 2.7 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE V, PART A)

### 2.7.1 State Transferability of Funds

In the table below, indicate whether the State transferred funds under the state transferability authority.

<b>Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 5103(a) during SY2021-2022?</b>
No

<b>Data Quality Comments</b>

### 2.7.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds

In the table below, indicate the number of LEAs that notified the State that they transferred funds under the LEA transferability authority.

<b>LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA Transferability authority of Section 5103(b).</b>
116

<b>Data Quality Comments</b>

### 2.7.3 LEA Funds Transfers

In the table below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds from an eligible program to another eligible program.

Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds FROM Eligible Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds TO Eligible Program
Education of Migratory Children (Title I, Part C)	.	3
English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act (Title III, Part A)	.	0
Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs (Title I, Part A)	.	102
Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk (Title I, Part D)	.	0
Rural Education Initiative (Title V, Part B)	.	25
Student Support and Enrichment Grants (Title IV, Part A)	111	5
Supporting Effective Instruction (Title II, Part A)	94	5

<b>Data Quality Comments</b>

In the table below provide the total amount of FY 2021 appropriated funds transferred from and to each eligible program.

Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred FROM Eligible Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred TO Eligible Program
Education of Migratory Children (Title I, Part C)	.	\$115,621
English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act (Title III, Part A)	.	\$0
Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs (Title I, Part A)	.	\$17,452,303
Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk (Title I, Part D)	.	\$0
Rural Education Initiative (Title V, Part B)	.	\$3,061,854
Student Support and Enrichment Grants (Title IV, Part A)	\$8,901,524	\$177,057
Supporting Effective Instruction (Title II, Part A)	\$12,333,827	\$428,516

<b>Data Quality Comments</b>

## 2.8 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP)

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title V, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

### 2.8.1 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title V, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds during SY2020-21 for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEAs
Activities authorized under Part A of Title I	103
Activities authorized under Part A of Title II	29
Activities authorized under Title III	7
Activities authorized under Part A of Title IV	33
Parental involvement activities	9

Data Quality Comments

### 2.8.2 RLIS Objectives and Outcomes

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the objectives and outcomes for the Rural Low-Income School (RLIS) Program as described in the State's most current Consolidated State Application. If providing quantitative data along with your narrative, please ensure all data is converted to text format.

**Comments**

The Georgia Department of Education’s (GaDOE) strategic plan outlines nine strategic goals to ensure that each Georgia student is afforded a high quality and holistic public education. The Rural and Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) aligns with and supports strategic goals 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 as described in Georgia’s Strategic Plan, and as listed below, to support the academic goals of both the state as a whole and LEAs. LEAs develop their individual goals based upon needs identified through Georgia’s Systems of Continuous Improvement framework. LEAs’ progress is determined based on their schools meeting established performance indicators, which are assessed annually through state-administered assessments and local performance assessments. The strategic goals that align with the RLIS program are:

- Revise/develop and implement viable academic standards that engage learners with essential knowledge, skills, and enduring concepts;
- Increase the percentage of K-5 students with a strong knowledge of foundational skills and concepts;
- Increase the percentage of high school graduates who are college and / or career ready;
- Increase LEA, leader, and teacher effectiveness through high-quality service and support; and
- Increase the number of schools with a safe, healthy, and positive learning climate.

RLIS Eligible LEA CCRPI Score Distribution The College and Career Ready Performance Index – CCRPI – is Georgia’s annual tool for measuring how well its schools, districts, and the state itself are preparing students for the next educational level. It provides a comprehensive roadmap to help educators, parents, and community members promote and improve college and career readiness for all students. The CCRPI includes five main components each scored on a scale of 0 to 100: Achievement, Progress, Closing Gaps, Readiness, and Graduation Rate (high school only). These components, encompassing multiple indicators, are combined for a total CCRPI score on a scale of 0 to 100.

CCRPI Score Range*	FY19	FY18	114 RLIS LEAs	111 RLIS LEAs	90-100
0-39	0	12	8	51	42
40-59	0	8	70-79	47	60-69
60-79	9	17			

Sources: CCRPI District Score Report (FY18, 111 RLIS LEAs); CCRPI District Score Report (FY19, 114 RLIS LEAs) The state average CCRPI score in FY19 was 75.9 and the average for the 114 RLIS districts was 71.04. In FY18, the state average score was 76.6 and was 69.15 for the 111 RLIS districts. Overall, RLIS LEAs are showing improvement with more school districts moving up in the CCRPI score range. \*On March 27, 2020, the United States Department of Education (ED) approved Georgia's request to waive statewide assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements in the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) for the 2019-2020 school year due to widespread school closures related to the novel Coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Therefore, there are no 2020 CCRPI reports. On March 26, 2021, ED approved Georgia's waiver of several accountability, school identification, and report card requirements. Thus, there are no CCRPI summary scores for the state, school districts, or schools FY21. In 2022, ED invited each state to submit an addendum to its state ESSA plan outlining one-year modifications to its accountability plan because of pandemic-related data limitations. Georgia’s addendum request was approved in May 2022. Given the impact of pandemic-related data limitations on states’ accountability systems, ED approved Georgia’s one-year modifications to CCRPI which include no Summative Ratings (no overall 0-100 CCRPI or Single Score reported); for Progress, no ELA and math progress (SGPs) reported; no Closing Gaps reported; and for Readiness, no Student Attendance at elementary, middle, and high school reported and no College and Career Readiness at high school reported. Therefore, the chart above represents the most current district summative CCRPI scores. The Distinguished Schools designation recognizes Title I schools that ranked in the top 5% based on a combined ELA and Math Achievement Rate score from FY2021 College & Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI) Content Mastery data. While a full CCRPI report was not available in 2021 due to data limitations resulting from the pandemic and an associated federal waiver, Content Mastery data was available since students took state assessments in 2021. Georgia identified 71 Title I schools as Distinguished Schools and 25 of the 71 were from school districts receiving Title V, Part B funds.

### 2.8.3 RLIS Technical Assistance

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in providing technical assistance for RLIS LEA sub-grantees as described in the State's most current Consolidated State Application. If providing quantitative data along with your narrative, please ensure all data is converted to text format.

#### Comments

The Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) provides continual training, professional development, and support to Rural and Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) grant recipients throughout each fiscal year. In addition to providing individualized face-to-face training at the request of local educational agencies (LEAs), GaDOE provides periodic online trainings on topics such as development of a comprehensive needs assessment, developing the annual plan based on identified needs, budgeting funds, completing the annual evaluation, monitoring requirements, consolidation of funds, progress monitoring and more. Moreover, training materials are available via the website, the federal programs handbook, and other disseminated print materials. The RLIS Program Specialist works with RLIS grantees on an ongoing basis via email, telephone, online meetings, and face to face meetings to provide individualized technical assistance to districts. The GaDOE has provided additional webinars and technical assistance meetings via online platforms and continued to expand this effort in school year 2021-2022.

### 2.8.4 RLIS Subgrant Award Determination

Please report the method the SEA used to award grants to eligible LEAs. If the SEA used a competitive process, please describe that process and include a description of the methods and criteria the SEA used to review applications, award funds to LEAs, and how the LEAs were notified of the process. If the SEA used a formula besides one based on the number of students in average daily attendance served by eligible LEAs in the State, please describe that formula, including an explanation of how this alternative formula enables the SEA to allot grant funds in a manner that serves equal or greater concentrations of children from families with incomes below the poverty line, relative to the concentration that would be served if the SEA used a formula based on the number of students in average daily attendance served by eligible LEAs in the State.

#### Comments

The Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) awards the Rural and Low-Income Schools grant funds on a formula basis based on the number of students in average daily attendance served by eligible LEAs in the State.

### 2.8.5 RLIS State Administrative Funds

In the table below, provide information on state administrative funds.

Question	Percentage
What percentage of the RLIS grant funds were retained for State-level administration?	5
What percentage of those funds retained for State-level administration were used specifically for technical assistance?	30

<b>Data Quality Comments</b>

### 2.8.6 RLIS LEAs Awarded Funds

Please list the NCES LEA ID and name of each LEA that received RLIS funds and the amount each received.

Data published outside report.

<b>Data Quality Comments</b>

### 2.8.7 Small, Rural School Achievement (SRSA) Program, Alternative Fund Use Authority (AFUA)

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS131/DG614.

Number of SRSA- and Dual-eligible LEAs informed their SEA of an intent to utilize AFUA, under Section 5211 of the ESEA.	Percent of SRSA- and Dual-eligible LEAs informed their SEA of an intent to utilize AFUA, under Section 5211 of the ESEA.
0	0

## STATE CERTIFICATION

**Did the State certify  
this report?**

YES

**Date the State  
certified**

5/24/2023 2:14:59 PM