SEA-Level data current as of 04/05/2023 LEA- and School-Level data current as of 04/05/2023

CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT **PART I**

SCHOOL YEAR 2021-2022 INDIANA



The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA).

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 36.50 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

1.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

This section collects data on State's Annual State Report Card required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the ESEA.

1.1.1 State Report Cards

State Report Card URL

https://www.in.gov/doe/it/data-center-and-reports/

Data Quality Comment

1.2 STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION IN STATE **ASSESSMENTS**

This section collects data on student academic achievement and participation in the State assessments.

Note: States are not required to report these data by the racial/ethnic groups shown in the table below; instead, they are required to report these data by the major racial and ethnic groups that align with their accountability system.

1.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the mathematics assessment, the number of students who took the mathematics assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who participated in the assessment and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (IDEA)" includes children with disabilities, as defined under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The student group "English learners" should include recently arrived students who are identified as English Learners (ELs) and who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months. Do not include former ELs (i.e., those who exited EL status prior to the testing window within the past four years).

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS175/DG583 and FS185/DG588.

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	73456	99.6	73456	S	50.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	116	>=95	116	S	41
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2339	>=99	2339	S	65
Asian	S	2268	>=99	2268	S	66
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	71	>=95	71	S	38
Black or African American	S	9355	99.4	9355	S	23.4
Hispanic or Latino	S	10067	99.6	10067	S	35.5
White	S	47481	99.7	47481	S	59.5
Two or more races	S	4098	99.5	4098	S	44.5
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13607	98.9	13607	S	28.6
English learners	S	7570	99.6	7570	S	35.1
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34622	99.6	34622	S	36.2
Children in foster care	S	1005	>=99	1005	S	32
Children who are homeless	S	1293	>=99	1293	S	24
Migratory students	S	55	>=90	55	S	16
Military connected students	S	859	>=99	859	S	53
Male	S	37744	99.6	37744	S	53.9
Female	S	35712	99.7	35712	S	47.8

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	74481	99.6	74481	S	46.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	124	>=95	124	S	46
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2458	>=99	2458	S	62
Asian	S	2371	>=99	2371	S	64
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	87	>=95	87	S	25
Black or African American	S	9632	99.2	9632	S	17.8
Hispanic or Latino	S	9990	99.8	9990	S	31.0
White	S	48197	99.7	48197	S	55.4
Two or more races	S	4080	99.6	4080	S	40.3
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13534	98.8	13534	S	22.5
English learners	S	7909	99.7	7909	S	30.4
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34725	99.6	34725	S	31.8
Children in foster care	S	902	>=99	902	S	31
Children who are homeless	S	1213	>=99	1213	S	18
Migratory students	S	48	>=90	48	S	19
Military connected students	S	889	>=99	889	S	51
Male	S	38220	99.6	38220	S	49.4
Female	S	36261	99.7	36261	S	43.7

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	73995	99.5	73995	S	39.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	127	>=95	127	S	35
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2236	>=99	2236	S	58
Asian	S	2160	>=99	2160	S	59
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	76	>=95	76	S	29
Black or African American	S	9554	99.2	9554	S	14.7
Hispanic or Latino	S	10285	99.6	10285	S	26.0
White	S	47668	99.6	47668	S	47.7
Two or more races	S	4125	99.4	4125	S	32.4
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13183	98.7	13183	S	16.7
English learners	S	7733	99.7	7733	S	25.3
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34346	99.4	34346	S	25.0
Children in foster care	S	884	>=99	884	S	20
Children who are homeless	S	1174	>=99	1174	S	14
Migratory students	S	41	>=90	41	S	<=10
Military connected students	S	862	>=99	862	S	44
Male	S	37920	99.5	37920	S	42.4
Female	S	36075	99.6	36075	S	37.2

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	75826	99.3	75826	S	34.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	104	>=95	104	S	33
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2316	>=99	2316	S	55
Asian	S	2243	>=99	2243	S	56
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	73	>=95	73	S	23
Black or African American	S	9854	98.9	9854	S	12.0
Hispanic or Latino	S	10556	99.5	10556	S	21.5
White	S	48965	99.4	48965	S	42.0
Two or more races	S	4031	99.1	4031	S	28.8
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13145	98.4	13145	S	12.9
English learners	S	7696	99.5	7696	S	19.7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34751	99.2	34751	S	20.6
Children in foster care	S	876	>=99	876	S	15
Children who are homeless	S	1165	99	1165	S	13
Migratory students	S	49	>=90	49	S	12
Military connected students	S	893	>=99	893	S	36
Male	S	39009	99.3	39009	S	36.1
Female	S	36817	99.4	36817	S	33.6

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	77270	99.0	77270	S	31.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	132	>=95	132	S	29
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2189	>=99	2189	S	51
Asian	S	2120	>=99	2120	S	52
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	69	>=95	69	S	22
Black or African American	S	9866	98.4	9866	S	9.7
Hispanic or Latino	S	11016	99.1	11016	S	18.6
White	S	50132	99.2	50132	S	37.9
Two or more races	S	3935	98.4	3935	S	25.5
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	12636	97.7	12636	S	10.9
English learners	S	7436	99.2	7436	S	13.6
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34582	98.7	34582	S	17.8
Children in foster care	S	873	97	873	S	14
Children who are homeless	S	1036	98	1036	S	9
Migratory students	S	44	>=90	44	S	<=10
Military connected students	S	841	>=99	841	S	33
Male	S	39571	99.0	39571	S	33.3
Female	S	37699	99.1	37699	S	29.1

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	77965	98.7	77965	S	29.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	115	>=95	115	S	24
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2124	>=99	2124	S	55
Asian	S	2046	>=99	2046	S	56
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	78	>=95	78	S	23
Black or African American	S	9989	97.6	9989	S	8.9
Hispanic or Latino	S	11041	98.8	11041	S	18.0
White	S	50745	98.9	50745	S	34.8
Two or more races	S	3951	98.0	3951	S	23.4
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	12649	97.4	12649	S	9.6
English learners	S	5852	99.0	5852	S	9.9
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34426	98.3	34426	S	16.3
Children in foster care	S	862	97	862	S	12
Children who are homeless	S	1022	98	1022	S	8
Migratory students	S	46	>=90	46	S	13
Military connected students	S	769	>=99	769	S	30
Male	S	39881	98.6	39881	S	29.9
Female	S	38084	98.8	38084	S	28.2

High School

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	72298	96.5	72298	S	30.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	137	94	137	S	20
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2009	99	2009	S	51
Asian	S	1937	99	1937	S	52
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	72	>=95	72	S	26
Black or African American	S	8147	92.7	8147	S	9.6
Hispanic or Latino	S	9509	95.5	9509	S	15.7
White	S	49359	97.4	49359	S	35.9
Two or more races	S	3137	95.6	3137	S	24.0
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	10050	92.3	10050	S	8.5
English learners	S	3174	93.7	3174	S	7.8
Economically disadvantaged students	S	26451	94.8	26451	S	15.2
Children in foster care	S	677	89	677	S	8
Children who are homeless	S	665	91	665	S	8
Migratory students	S	30	>=80	30	S	S
Military connected students	S	792	97	792	S	31
Male	S	36424	96.2	36424	S	31.5
Female	S	35874	96.9	35874	S	28.9

1.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the reading/language arts assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the reading/language arts assessment, the number of students who took the reading/language arts assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group "children with disabilities (IDEA)" includes children who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The student group "English learners" does not include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months and who took an assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment. Do not include former ELs.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS178/DG584 and FS188/DG589.

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	73428	99.6	73428	S	39.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	115	>=95	115	S	35
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2340	>=99	2340	S	52
Asian	S	2268	>=99	2268	S	53
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	72	>=95	72	S	19
Black or African American	S	9359	99.4	9359	S	18.1
Hispanic or Latino	S	10051	99.6	10051	S	26.1
White	S	47465	99.7	47465	S	46.5
Two or more races	S	4098	99.5	4098	S	35.0
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13595	98.9	13595	S	17.9
English learners	S	7559	99.6	7559	S	23.7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34597	99.5	34597	S	26.1
Children in foster care	S	1005	>=99	1005	S	21
Children who are homeless	S	1293	>=99	1293	S	17
Migratory students	S	56	>=90	56	S	<=10
Military connected students	S	858	>=99	858	S	43
Male	S	37720	99.5	37720	S	36.7
Female	S	35708	99.7	35708	S	42.6

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	74490	99.6	74490	S	40.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	124	>=95	124	S	36
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2459	>=99	2459	S	55
Asian	S	2373	>=99	2373	S	56
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	86	>=95	86	S	26
Black or African American	S	9633	99.2	9633	S	16.8
Hispanic or Latino	S	9992	99.8	9992	S	25.7
White	S	48200	99.7	48200	S	47.3
Two or more races	S	4082	99.6	4082	S	35.5
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13540	98.8	13540	S	16.6
English learners	S	7910	99.7	7910	S	23.8
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34727	99.6	34727	S	26.8
Children in foster care	S	901	>=99	901	S	24
Children who are homeless	S	1209	>=99	1209	S	16
Migratory students	S	48	>=90	48	S	<=10
Military connected students	S	890	>=99	890	S	43
Male	S	38230	99.6	38230	S	37.0
Female	S	36260	99.7	36260	S	43.2

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	74014	99.6	74014	S	39.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	127	>=95	127	S	36
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2238	>=99	2238	S	53
Asian	S	2162	>=99	2162	S	54
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	76	>=95	76	S	30
Black or African American	S	9551	99.2	9551	S	18.7
Hispanic or Latino	S	10284	99.6	10284	S	27.5
White	S	47689	99.6	47689	S	46.1
Two or more races	S	4125	99.5	4125	S	34.7
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13194	98.8	13194	S	14.5
English learners	S	7733	99.7	7733	S	24.2
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34362	99.5	34362	S	26.3
Children in foster care	S	887	>=99	887	S	20
Children who are homeless	S	1172	>=99	1172	S	17
Migratory students	S	41	>=90	41	S	<=10
Military connected students	S	862	>=99	862	S	44
Male	S	37927	99.5	37927	S	36.0
Female	S	36087	99.6	36087	S	43.3

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	75892	99.4	75892	S	38.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	104	>=95	104	S	38
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2319	>=99	2319	S	55
Asian	S	2245	>=99	2245	S	56
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	74	>=95	74	S	23
Black or African American	S	9855	99.0	9855	S	17.6
Hispanic or Latino	S	10563	99.5	10563	S	26.0
White	S	49011	99.5	49011	S	44.4
Two or more races	S	4040	99.3	4040	S	34.6
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13142	98.4	13142	S	12.3
English learners	S	7701	99.6	7701	S	22.6
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34776	99.3	34776	S	24.8
Children in foster care	S	870	>=99	870	S	19
Children who are homeless	S	1162	>=99	1162	S	16
Migratory students	S	49	>=90	49	S	14
Military connected students	S	893	>=99	893	S	41
Male	S	39041	99.4	39041	S	34.2
Female	S	36851	99.5	36851	S	42.3

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	77335	99.1	77335	S	41.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	132	>=95	132	S	39
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2191	>=99	2191	S	58
Asian	S	2122	>=99	2122	S	59
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	69	>=95	69	S	25
Black or African American	S	9857	98.3	9857	S	19.5
Hispanic or Latino	S	11017	99.1	11017	S	28.9
White	S	50190	99.3	50190	S	47.7
Two or more races	S	3948	98.8	3948	S	37.8
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	12635	97.8	12635	S	13.5
English learners	S	7427	99.1	7427	S	20.1
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34597	98.8	34597	S	27.7
Children in foster care	S	879	98	879	S	23
Children who are homeless	S	1037	98	1037	S	18
Migratory students	S	44	>=90	44	S	<=10
Military connected students	S	843	>=99	843	S	44
Male	S	39591	99.0	39591	S	36.0
Female	S	37744	99.2	37744	S	46.6

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	78053	98.8	78053	S	41.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	115	>=95	115	S	44
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2126	>=99	2126	S	61
Asian	S	2048	>=99	2048	S	62
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	78	>=95	78	S	30
Black or African American	S	9989	97.7	9989	S	21.7
Hispanic or Latino	S	11056	98.9	11056	S	29.9
White	S	50799	99.0	50799	S	47.4
Two or more races	S	3968	98.3	3968	S	37.1
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	12666	97.4	12666	S	13.2
English learners	S	5855	99.0	5855	S	13.4
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34456	98.3	34456	S	28.7
Children in foster care	S	862	97	862	S	23
Children who are homeless	S	1027	98	1027	S	21
Migratory students	S	46	>=90	46	S	13
Military connected students	S	769	>=99	769	S	42
Male	S	39919	98.7	39919	S	36.4
Female	S	38134	98.9	38134	S	46.8

High School

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	72289	96.5	72289	S	49.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	136	94	136	S	37
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2009	99	2009	S	60
Asian	S	1937	99	1937	S	61
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	72	>=95	72	S	35
Black or African American	S	8145	92.7	8145	S	24.2
Hispanic or Latino	S	9509	95.6	9509	S	32.7
White	S	49355	97.4	49355	S	56.5
Two or more races	S	3135	95.6	3135	S	45.0
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	10053	92.4	10053	S	17.6
English learners	S	3168	93.6	3168	S	11.5
Economically disadvantaged students	S	26450	94.8	26450	S	33.7
Children in foster care	S	677	89	677	S	26
Children who are homeless	S	665	91	665	S	22
Migratory students	S	30	>=80	30	S	<=20
Military connected students	S	791	97	791	S	51
Male	S	36422	96.2	36422	S	46.0
Female	S	35867	96.9	35867	S	52.6

1.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the science assessment, the number of students who took the science assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS179/DG585 and FS189/DG590.

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	74325	99.5	74325	S	37.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	125	>=95	125	S	38
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2457	>=99	2457	S	46
Asian	S	2372	>=99	2372	S	46
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	85	>=95	85	S	25
Black or African American	S	9587	99.0	9587	S	12.2
Hispanic or Latino	S	9964	99.6	9964	S	21.3
White	S	48128	99.6	48128	S	45.7
Two or more races	S	4064	99.3	4064	S	31.7
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13472	98.6	13472	S	18.0
English learners	S	7896	99.6	7896	S	17.7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34623	99.4	34623	S	23.5
Children in foster care	S	1164	>=99	1164	S	21
Children who are homeless	S	1203	>=99	1203	S	14
Migratory students	S	48	>=90	48	S	10
Military connected students	S	889	>=99	889	S	40
Male	S	38128	99.4	38128	S	39.2
Female	S	36197	99.5	36197	S	35.4

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	75567	99.1	75567	S	37.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	103	>=95	103	S	37
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2312	>=99	2312	S	51
Asian	S	2239	>=99	2239	S	52
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	73	>=95	73	S	23
Black or African American	S	9763	98.4	9763	S	13.2
Hispanic or Latino	S	10520	99.3	10520	S	23.8
White	S	48854	99.2	48854	S	45.7
Two or more races	S	4015	98.9	4015	S	33.6
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	13044	97.9	13044	S	15.4
English learners	S	7682	99.4	7682	S	18.7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	34573	98.9	34573	S	23.8
Children in foster care	S	1104	98	1104	S	18
Children who are homeless	S	1152	99	1152	S	16
Migratory students	S	49	>=90	49	S	14
Military connected students	S	889	>=99	889	S	39
Male	S	38863	99.1	38863	S	39.2
Female	S	36704	99.2	36704	S	36.6

High School

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	73697	96.3	73697	S	28.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	134	>=95	134	S	27
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	2049	98	2049	S	45
Asian	S	1971	99	1971	S	46
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	78	94	78	S	23
Black or African American	S	8958	94.6	8958	S	9.6
Hispanic or Latino	S	10811	95.2	10811	S	16.2
White	S	48264	96.9	48264	S	33.7
Two or more races	S	3481	96.0	3481	S	24.3
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	11010	92.9	11010	S	11.4
English learners	S	4086	94.9	4086	S	5.7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	30184	95.1	30184	S	16.6
Children in foster care	S	898	90	898	S	11
Children who are homeless	S	880	92	880	S	15
Migratory students	S	4	S	4	S	S
Military connected students	S	850	97	850	S	29
Male	S	37882	95.8	37882	S	28.5
Female	S	35815	96.9	35815	S	27.6

1.2.4 Assessment Participation by Assessment Type for Children with Disabilities

This section collects information on children with disabilities' participation in the mathematics, reading/language arts, and science assessments.

1.2.4.1 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of children with disabilities (IDEA) participating during the State's testing window in mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by the type of assessment. The percentage of children with disabilities (IDEA) who participated in the mathematics assessment for each assessment option will be calculated automatically. The total number of children with disabilities (IDEA) participating will also be calculated automatically.

The data provided below should include mathematics participation data from all children with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the IDEA. Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS185/DG588.

Assessment Type	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	5326	6
Regular Assessment With Accommodations	68518	77.2
Regular Assessment Without Accommodations	14960	16.8
Total	88804	

1.2.4.2 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Mathematics

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS185/DG588.

Alteri	ildren with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the nate Assessment Based Atternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
	5326	525291	1

1.2.4.2.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards

Question	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in mathematics in SY2021-22?	Yes

1.2.4.3 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Reading/Language Arts **Assessment**

The data provided should include reading/language arts participation data from all children with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the IDEA. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Note: For this question only, report on children with disabilities (IDEA) who are also ELs in the U.S. less than 12 months who took the ELP assessment in lieu of the statewide reading/language arts assessment.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS188/DG589.

Assessment Type	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	5335	6
Recently arrived ELs, Took ELP	1	0
Regular Assessment With Accommodations	61399	69.1
Regular Assessment Without Accommodations	22091	24.9
Total	88826	

1.2.4.4 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Reading/Language Arts

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS188/DG589.

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
5335	525551	1

1.2.4.4.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

Question	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in reading/language arts in SY2021-22?	Yes

1.2.4.5 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Science Assessment

The data provided should include science participation results from all children with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the IDEA. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS189/DG590.

Assessment Type	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	2187	5.8
Regular Assessment With Accommodations	29261	78
Regular Assessment Without Accommodations	6078	16.2
Total	37526	

1.2.4.6 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Science

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS189/DG590.

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
2187	223589	1

1.2.4.6.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

Question	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in science in SY2021-22?	Yes

Data Quality Comment

Actual participation rates for 21-22 are: • Science: 0.9739% (decrease of .0758 % from previous year) • Math: 1.0117% (decrease of .0006% from last year) • R/LA: 1.0133% (increase of .0076% from last year)

1.2.5 Assessments for ELs

This section collects information on EL assessment results.

1.2.5.1 EL Provisions for Content Assessments

This sub-section collects information on provisions provided to ELs.

1.2.5.1.1 Recently arrived ELs taking ELP assessments in lieu of reading/language arts assessments

In the table below, provide the number of recently arrived ELs included in the participation counts in 1.2 who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment, as permitted under Section 1111(b)(3)(A)(i) of the ESEA.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS188/DG589.

Recently Arrived ELs	#
Recently arrived ELs who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment	50

1.2.5.1.2 Native language assessments offered

In the table below, indicate whether native language assessments were offered in mathematics, reading/languages arts, and science. Write "Yes" if the specified assessment is used for accountability purposes. List languages, other than English, in which content tests were offered.

Native Language Testing	Yes No	If yes, are the tests considered trans-adapted assessments?	Language(s)
State offers the State mathematics content tests in the students' native language(s).	Yes	No	Spanish
State offers the State reading/language arts content tests in the students' native language(s).	Yes	No	Spanish
State offers the State science content tests in the students' native language(s).	Yes	No	Spanish

Data Quality Comment			
Stacked Spanish translations available as a designated feature			

1.2.5.2 Performance of ELs on State ELP Assessment

In the table below, please provide, for all ELs, the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS137/DG674 and FS139/DG676.

Student Group	# Tested	# Not Tested		Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
All ELs	68874	877	S	28.2	S	5.9

1.2.5.3 Performance of ELs in Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) Receiving Title III **Funds on State ELP**

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, and for ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS050/DG151 and FS138/DG675.

Student Group	# Tested	# Not Tested	# Making Progress	Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	67578	842	S	28.1	S	5.9
ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	10373	197	S	12.5	S	1.3

1.2.5.3.1 ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited Language Instruction Educational Program (LIEP) based on attaining ELP

In the table below, please provide, for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, the number and percentage who have exited an LIEP based on attaining ELP.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS211/DG865.

	# ELs Exited	Percent ELs Exited	
1	3015	4.1	

1.2.5.3.2 ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have not attained proficiency in 5 years

In the table below, please provide, for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, the number and percentage who have not attained proficiency within five years.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS210/DG864.

#	Percent
ELs Not	ELs Not
Proficient	Proficient
	,

1.2.5.4 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status

This section collects data on the performance of students who have exited EL status as required by Sections 3121(a)(5) and 3122(a) of the ESEA.

1.2.5.4.1 Students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status by year

In the table below, report the count of students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status for each of the four years after such students have exited EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

First year	Second year	Third year	Fourth year	Total
2684	3426	2768	1716	10594

1.2.5.4.2 Results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status in mathematics

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual mathematics assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of language instruction educational programs (LIEPs) and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

Year Monitored	Former ELs Number Tested	Former ELs Percent Proficient	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Number Tested	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Percent Proficient
First year	2425	49	77	46
Second year	3166	46.5	96	43
Third year	2632	46	48	31
Fourth year	1397	49	16	25

1.2.5.4.3 Results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status in reading/language arts

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual reading/language arts assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

Year Monitored	Former ELs Number Tested	Former ELs Percent Proficient	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Number Tested	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Percent Proficient
First year	2430	55	77	51
Second year	3168	55.1	97	43
Third year	2632	59	48	48
Fourth year	1399	65	16	38

1.2.5.4.4 Results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status in science

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual science assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

Year Monitored	Former ELs Number Tested	Former ELs Percent Proficient	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Number Tested	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Percent Proficient
First year	1148	36	47	23
Second year	1588	49	47	47
Third year	504	69	4	S
Fourth year	454	47	9	<50

1.2.6 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities

1.2.6.1 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities

This section requests information on your State's use of funds under Section 1201 of ESEA.

1.2.6.1.1 Percentages of funds used for standards and assessment development and other purposes

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the ESEA (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2021-22, estimate what percentage of the funds your State used for the following (round to the nearest 10 percent).

Purpose	Percentage (Rounded to the Nearest 10 Percent)
To pay the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA	50
To administer assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA or to carry out other activities described in Section 1201 of the ESEA	50

1.2.6.1.2 Use of funds for purposes other than standards and assessment development

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the ESEA (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2021-22 that were used for purposes other than the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA, for what purposes did your State use the funds? (Enter "Yes" for all that apply and "No" for all that do not apply).

Purpose	Used for Purpose (Yes No)
Administering assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA	Yes
Ensuring the provision of appropriate accommodations available to ELs and children with disabilities to improve the rates of inclusion in regular assessments of such children, including professional development activities to improve the implementation of such accommodations in instructional practice.	Yes
Developing challenging State academic standards and aligned assessments in academic subjects for which standards and assessments are not required under Section 1111(b) of the ESEA.	Yes
Developing or improving assessments of ELs, including assessments of ELP as required under Section 1111(b)(2)(G) of the ESEA and academic assessments in languages other than English to meet the State's obligations under Section 1111(b)(2)(F) of the ESEA.	Yes
Ensuring the continued validity and reliability of State assessments.	Yes
Refining State assessments to ensure their continued alignment with challenging academic standards and to improve the alignment of curricula and instructional materials.	Yes
Developing or improving balanced assessment systems that include summative, interim, and formative assessments, including supporting local educational agencies in developing and improving such assessments.	Yes
At the discretion of the State, refining science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA in order to integrate engineering design skills and practices into such assessments.	Yes
Developing or improving models to measure and assess student progress or student growth on State assessments under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA and other assessments not required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA.	Yes
Developing or improving assessments for children with disabilities, including alternate assessments aligned to alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities described in Section 1111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA, and using the principles of universal design for learning.	Yes
Allowing for collaboration with institutions of higher education, other research institutions, or other organizations to improve the quality, validity, and reliability of State academic assessments beyond the requirements for such assessments described in Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA.	Yes
Measuring student academic achievement using multiple measures of student academic achievement from multiple sources.	Yes
Evaluating student academic achievement through the development of comprehensive academic assessment instruments (such as performance and technology based academic assessments, computer adaptive assessments, projects, or extended performance task assessments) that emphasize the mastery of standards and aligned competencies in a competency-based education model.	Yes
Designing report cards and reports under Section 1111(h) of the ESEA in an easily accessible, user-friendly manner that cross-tabulates student information by any category the State determines appropriate, as long as such cross-tabulations (i) does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student, and (ii) is derived from existing State and local reporting requirements.	Yes
Other	No

Data Quality Comment

1.3 TEACHERS

In the tables below, provide the number of teachers for each of the school types listed and the number of teachers who are inexperienced, emergency or provisional credentialed, or out of field. The percentages used for high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine those percentages are reported in the second table. Below the tables are frequently asked questions (FAQs) about these data.

1.3.1 Inexperienced Teachers

In the table below, provide information on the experience of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS103/DG699 and FS203/DG839.

School Poverty Level	Total Teachers	Inexperienced Teachers	Percent Inexperienced Teachers
Total Schools	62580.05	7481.19	12

1.3.2 Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials

In the table below, provide information on the credentials of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS103/DG699 and FS203/DG839.

School Poverty Level	Total Teachers	Teachers Teaching with an Emergency or Provisional Credential	Percent Teachers Teaching with an Emergency or Provisional Credential
Total Schools	62580.05	3976.6	6.4

1.3.3 Teachers Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for Which They Are **Certified or Licensed**

In the table below, provide information on teachers not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed by poverty quartile and level.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS103/DG699 and FS203/DG839.

School Poverty Level	Total Teachers	Teachers Not Teaching in Field	Percent Teachers Not Teaching in Field
Total Schools	62580.05	3976.6	6.4

1.3.4 Poverty Quartile Breaks (Optional)

In the table below, provide the poverty quartiles breaks used in determining high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine the poverty quartiles.

High-Poverty Schools (More than what %)	Low-Poverty Schools (Less than what %)	Poverty Metric Used
59	31	School Free/Reduced Lunch Eligibility Percent from our October Enrollment count is the metric

FAQ: How are the poverty quartiles determined?

Rank order all schools from highest to lowest on your percentage poverty measure. Divide the list into four equal groups. Schools in the first (highest group) are high-poverty schools. Schools in the last group (lowest group) are the low-poverty schools. Generally, States use the percentage of students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program for this calculation.



1.4 TITLE III AND LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS

This section collects annual performance and accountability data on the implementation of Title III programs.

1.4.1 EL Enrollment Data

1.4.1.1 Number of All ELs Enrolled in the State

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of all ELs in the State who meet the EL definition under Section 8101(21) of the ESEA.

- -Include newly enrolled (recent arrivals to the U.S.) and continually enrolled ELs, whether or not they receive services in a Title III LIEP.
- -Do not include students previously identified as ELs (as described in Section 1111(b)(3)(B) of the ESEA) or students who exit LIEPs based on attainment of ELP (as described in Section 3121(a)(4) of the ESEA) in the all EL student count in this table.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS141/DG678.

Total ELs	#
Total English Learners in State	72250
English Learners with Disabilities	10604

1.4.1.2 Number of ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ELs in the State served by LIEPs in LEAs receiving Title III funds.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS116/DG648.

ELs Receiving Services	#
English Learners Served by LIEP	70944

1.4.2 LIEPs

Note: Section 3201(7) The term 'LIEP' means an instruction course – (A) in which an EL is placed for the purpose of developing and attaining English proficiency while meeting challenging State academic standards and (B) that may make instructional use of both English and a child's native language to enable the child to develop and attain English proficiency and may include the participation of English proficient children if such course is designed to enable all participating children to become proficient in English and a second language.

In the table below, for each type of LIEP implemented in the State, as defined under Section 3201(7), as required by Sections 3121(a)(1) and 3122(b)(1) of the ESEA, please provide a comma-separated list of the language(s) of instruction used.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS116/DG849.

LIEP	#	Language of Instruction
Content Classes with integrated ESL support	18151	English
Dual Language or Two-way Immersion	1894	English, Mandarin, Spanish, French
ESL or ELD	50889	English
Newcomer programs		
Other	4	English
Transitional Bilingual Education or Early-Exit Bilingual Education	6	English

Explain in the comment box below if the State has any additional LIEPs that are not captured in the table above.



Data Quality Comment

1.4.3 Most Common Languages Spoken in the State

In the table below, provide the five most commonly spoken languages, other than English, in the State (for all ELs, not just ELs enrolled in LEAs that received Title III services). The top five languages should be determined by the highest number of ELs speaking each of the languages listed.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS141/DG678.

Language	# ELs
Spanish; Castilian	51498
Sino-Tibetan (Other)	3088
Burmese	2227
Arabic	1548
German	1183

1.4.4 Teacher Information and Professional Development

This section collects information about teachers as required under Section 3122 (b)(5) of the ESEA.

In the table below, report the unduplicated headcount of teachers who are working in LIEPs as defined under Section 3302(7) of the ESEA and reported in CSPR Section 1.4.2(LIEPs) even if they are not paid with Title III funds.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS067/DG422.

Number of teachers serving in LIEPs in LEAs receiving Title III funds	Number of certified, licensed or endorsed teachers serving in LIEP in LEAs receiving Title III funds	How many ESL bilingual education endorsed teachers will be needed for the succeeding 5 fiscal years*
9439	1794	910

^{*}This number should be the total additional teachers needed for the next 5 years, not the number needed for each year. Do not include the number of teachers currently working in Title III English language instruction educational programs.

Data Quality Comment

IDOE has recommended a 30:1 licensed ESL teacher to EL student ratio. This allows the EL teacher to truly serve their students and address their language acquisition needs. The needed number of teachers over the next 5 years takes into account: 2290 teachers in Indiana holding an active EL license at the end of the '21-'22 school year (which includes Title III and non-Title III LEAs; and some licensed who are not serving as EL teachers) and 72,250 total EL students reported last year (which includes both Title III and non-Title III EL counts). With the average year-to-year growth of our EL population over the past five years being ~5000, it is reasonable to expect reaching ~97,000 EL students within 5 years. With 97,000 EL students needing ~3200 EL teachers to meet our recommended 30:1 ratio, Indiana will need approximately 910 endorsed teachers within the next 5 years.

1.4.5 Activities of Subgrantees Related to the Teaching and Learning of ELs

This section aggregates data on LEA-level activities that support the education of ELs with Title III funds.

In the tables below, provide information about allowable activities conducted under Section 3115 of the ESEA.

Activities of LEAs	Number of LEAs
Supporting the development and implementation of LIEPs	198
Enhancing existing LIEPs and programs for restructuring and reforming schools with ELs	111
Supporting implementation of school wide programs	123
Professional development to teachers and other personnel serving ELs	272
Parent and community engagement activities	229
Supporting the development and implementation of pre-school programs	9
Improving LIEPs by upgrading curricula, instructional materials, software and assessment procedures	156
Improving instruction of ELs with disabilities	65
Providing tutorials, career and technical education	32
Offering programs to help ELs achieve success in post-secondary education	43
Other	0

If State reports a nonzero count of LEAs under 'Other' please describe the activities that were conducted during SY2021-22 in the comment box below.

Comment	
N/A	

Data Quality Comment

1.4.6 Assistance Provided by SEAs under Section 3111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA

Provide information on technical assistance and other forms of assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that are receiving Title III subgrants.

Activities	Check all that apply
Identifying and implementing effective LIEPs and curricula for teaching English learners	X
Helping ELs meet the same challenging State academic standards that all children are expected to meet	X
Identifying or developing, and implementing, measures of English proficiency	X
Strengthening and increasing parent, family, and community engagement in programs that serve ELs	X
Providing recognition, which may include providing financial awards, to recipients of subgrants under section 3115 that have significantly improved the achievement and progress of ELs	
Other	

If 'Other' is checked, please provide information in the comment box below on the types of technical assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that aren't captured by the first five types of activities in the table.

Comments

Data Quality Comment

We had previously established a "Title III Distinguished District" award to recognize outstanding EL programs; we were unable to fulfill this last year due to a variety of factors. We will be revisiting this distinction in future years.

1.4.7 Education Programs and Activities for Immigrant Students

In the table below, report the unduplicated number of immigrant students enrolled in schools in the State and the number of immigrant students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA.

Note: Not all immigrant students are ELs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS045/DG519.

# Immigrant Students Enrolled	# Immigrant Students in LEAs Receiving Funds under Section 3114(d)	Number of 3114(d)(1) Subgrants
10510	681	7

If State reports zero (0) students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) or the ESEA or zero (0) subgrants, explain in comment box below.



Data Quality Comment	

Table 1.4.7 Definitions:

- 1. Immigrant Students Enrolled: Number of students who meet the definition of immigrant children and youth under Section 3201(5) of the ESEA and enrolled in the elementary or secondary schools in the State.
- 2. Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d): Number of immigrant students enrolled in LEAs receiving funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA. This number should not include immigrant students who are enrolled in an LEA that receives Title III funds under Section 3114(a) of the ESEA but not Section 3114(d) of the ESEA.
- 3. 3114(d) Subgrants: Number of subgrants made in the State under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA, with funds reserved for immigrant children and youth. Do not include in the count LEAs that receive Title III English language acquisition subgrants made under Section 3114(a) of the ESEA, but that do not receive subgrants under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA, even if immigrant students are enrolled in those LEAs.

1.4.8 State Subgrant Activities

This section collects data on State subgrant activities.

1.4.8.1 State Subgrant Process

In the table below, report the time between when the State receives the Title III allocation from the U.S. Department of Education (ED), normally on July 1 of each year for the upcoming school year, and the time when the State distributes these funds to subgrantees for the intended school year. Dates must be submitted using the MM/DD/YY format.

Example: State received SY2021-22 funds July 1, 2021, and then made these funds available to subgrantees on August 1, 2021, for SY 2021-22 programs. Then the '# of days/\$\$ Distribution' is 30 days.

Date State Received Allocation	Date Funds Available to Subgrantees	# Days \$\$ Distribution
7/1/2021	7/1/2021	0

Data Quality Comment

LEAs submit pre-applications for federal funds, which include their Lau-required English learner programming plans. They also submit a specific Title III funding application. IDOE reviews these pre-application and funding applications for allowability through summer and into fall. Approved LEAs have funding reimbursement eligibility dates set as the day their EL Plans (as part of a federal grants "pre-application") were submitted in approvable form, which for most is July 1.

1.4.8.2 Steps to Shorten the Distribution of Title III Funds to Subgrantees

In the comment box below, describe how your State can shorten the process of distributing Title III funds to subgrantees.

Comments

IDOE can shorten the process for allocations and application release, review of applications, and ultimate approval of awarding funds by moving our timelines up earlier in the summer. We would utilize preliminary allocations released by USED. This would allow for LEAs to submit applications and have the review process well before July 1. The coming implementation of a new grants management system is set to improve our efficiency in grant administration as well.

1.4.9 Title III Subgrantees

This section collects data on the performance of Title III subgrantees.

1.4.9.1 Termination of Title III Language Instruction Educational Programs

This section collects data on the termination of Title III programs or activities as required by Section 3122(b)(7) of the ESEA.

Were any Title III language instruction educational programs or activities terminated for failure to reach program goals?

No

Data Quality Comment

1.5 PERSISTENTLY DANGEROUS SCHOOLS

In the table below, provide the number of schools identified as persistently dangerous, as determined by the State, by the start of the school year. For further guidance on persistently dangerous schools, refer to Section B "Identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools" in the Unsafe School Choice Option Non-Regulatory Guidance, available at http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/unsafeschoolchoice.pdf.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS130/DG36; Note a table will only appear if the State reported at least one school as persistently dangerous.

1.6 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM

This section collects data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento grant program.

In the table below, provide the following information about the number of LEAs in the State who reported data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento program. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS170/DG754.

LEAs	#	# LEAs Reporting Data
LEAs with subgrants	26	26
LEAs without subgrants	414	414



1.6.1 All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento Subgrants)

The following questions collect data on homeless children and youth in the State.

1.6.1.1 Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS118/DG655.

Grade Level	Number of Homeless Enrolled Students
Ungraded	22
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	224
Kindergarten	1351
Grade 1	1358
Grade 2	1430
Grade 3	1419
Grade 4	1323
Grade 5	1292
Grade 6	1293
Grade 7	1195
Grade 8	1189
Grade 9	1201
Grade 10	983
Grade 11	942
Grade 12	1116
Total	16334

1.6.1.2 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by primary nighttime residence enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The primary nighttime residence should be the student's nighttime residence when s/he was identified as homeless. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS118/DG655.

Primary Nighttime Residence Type	Number of Homeless Enrolled Students Who Are Unaccompanied Homeless Youth	Number of Homeless Enrolled Students
Shelters and transitional housing	43	1724
Doubled-up (e.g., living with another family)	709	12436
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks, campgrounds, temporary trailer, or abandoned buildings)	16	288
Hotels/Motels	9	1994
Total	777	16442

1.6.1.3 Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students enrolled during the regular school year.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS118/DG655.

Subgroup	Number of Homeless Enrolled Students	
Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	3645	
English learners	1339	
Unaccompanied youth	777	
Migratory students	109	

1.6.2 Young Homeless Children Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants

In the table below, provide the number of young homeless children who were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants during the regular school year. The total will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS194/DG818.

Age Level	Number of Young Homeless Children Served	
Age Birth through 2	1	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	114	

1.6.3 ARP-Homeless I Subgrants

Question	Number of LEAs
How many LEAs received subgrants with ARP-Homeless I funds including those participating in consortia?	31



1.6.4 ARP-Homeless II Subgrants

	Number of LEAs
How many LEAs received subgrants with ARP-Homeless II funds including those participating in consortia?	158



1.6.5 Subgrantees Awarded Funds through ARP-Homeless I and II

Please list the NCES LEA ID and name of each LEA that received ARP-Homeless funds and the amount each received. For consortia, list all the LEAs participating in them and assign the total ARP Homeless I or II award amount to the lead LEA. This information will be collected from SEAs outside the CSPR collection tool.

Data are published outside the report.



1.7 EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (MEP) (Title I, Part C) for the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- -Population data of eligible migratory children
- -Academic data of eligible migratory students
- -Data of migratory children served during the performance period
- -School data
- -Project data
- -Personnel data

Report a child in the age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of their time while residing in the State during the performance period.

There are two exceptions to this rule:

- 1. A child who turns 3 during the performance period is reported as 'Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)' only if the child's residency in the state was verified after the child turned three.
- 2. A child who turns 22 years of age during the performance is reported at the appropriate age/grade category for the performance period.

1.7.1 Migratory Child Counts

This section collects the Title I, Part C, MEP child counts which States are required to provide and may be used to determine the annual State allocations under Title I, Part C. The child counts should reflect the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. This section also collects a report on the procedures used by States to produce true, reliable, and valid child counts.

To provide the child counts, each State Education Agency (SEA) should have implemented sufficient procedures and internal controls to ensure that it is counting only those children who are eligible for the MEP. Such procedures are important to protecting the integrity of the State's MEP because they permit the early discovery and correction of eligibility problems and thus help to ensure that only eligible migratory children are counted for funding purposes and are served. If an SEA has reservations about the accuracy of its child counts, it must disclose known data limitations to the Department, and explain how and when it will resolve data quality issues through corrective actions in the box below, which precedes Section 1.7.1.1 Category 1 Child Count.

Note: In submitting this information, the Authorizing State Official must certify that, to the best of his/her knowledge, the State has taken action to ensure that the child counts and information contained in the report are true, reliable, and valid and that any false statement provided is subject to fine or imprisonment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1001.

FAOs on Child Count:

- a. How is 'out-of-school' defined? Out-of-school means children up through age 21 who are entitled to a free public education in the State but are not currently enrolled in a K-12 institution. This term could include students who have dropped out of school, youth who are working on a high school equivalency diploma (HSED) outside of a K-12 institution, and youth who are 'here-to-work' only. It would not include children in preschool, nor does it include temporary absences (e.g., summer/intersession, suspension or illness). Enrollment in school is not a condition affecting eligibility for the MEP. Therefore, out-of-school youth who meet the definition of a 'migratory child' are eligible for the MEP.
- b. How is 'ungraded' defined? Ungraded means the children are served in an educational unit that has no separate grades. For example, some schools have primary grade groupings that are not traditionally graded or ungraded groupings for children with learning disabilities (IDEA). In some cases, ungraded students may also include special education children (IDEA), transitional bilingual students, students working on a HSED through a K-12 institution, or those in a correctional setting. (Do not count students working on a HSED outside of a K-12 institution as ungraded; these students are counted as out-of-school vouth.)
- c. How is reporting 'a child in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State' defined? A State must report a child in only one age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State. For example, a migratory child resided in State A for three months and in State B for nine months in SY2021-22. While in State A, the child enrolled in ninth grade for two months and in tenth grade for one month. Therefore, State A will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State A. In State B, the child enrolled in eighth grade for one month and in ninth grade for eight months. Therefore, State B will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State B.

In the space below, discuss any concerns about the accuracy of the reported child counts or the underlying eligibility determinations on which the counts are based and how and when these concerns will be resolved.

Comments

Indiana began using MIS2000 on September 1, 2019. We are confident that the reports generated within this system accurately reflect our child

1.7.1.1 Category 1 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children)

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number by age/grade of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. This figure includes all eligible migratory children who may or may not have received MEP services. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include children age birth through 2 years.

Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	206
Kindergarten	83
Grade 1	86
Grade 2	131
Grade 3	117
Grade 4	95
Grade 5	88
Grade 6	102
Grade 7	92
Grade 8	89
Grade 9	100
Grade 10	90
Grade 11	71
Grade 12	35
Out of School	364
Total	1749

1.7.1.2 Category 1 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 1 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
Indiana did not experience any increases or decreases greater than 10 percent from last year in our Category 1 count.

1.7.1.3 Birth through Two Child Count

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from birth through age 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022.

Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children
Age Birth through 2	79

1.7.2 Category 2 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer/Intersession Term)

In the table below, enter by age/grade the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include:

- -Children age birth through 2 years
- -Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	164
Kindergarten	73
Grade 1	62
Grade 2	102
Grade 3	96
Grade 4	65
Grade 5	64
Grade 6	80
Grade 7	72
Grade 8	73
Grade 9	76
Grade 10	72
Grade 11	53
Grade 12	15
Out of School	237
Total	1304

1.7.2.1 Category 2 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 2 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
Indiana did not experience any increases or decreases greater than 10 percent from last year in our Category 2 count.

1.7.2.2 Birth through Two Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the **Summer/Intersession Term**

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from age birth through 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once.

Do not include:

-Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
Age Birth through 2	65

1.7.3 Child Count Calculation and Validation Procedures

The following questions request information on the State's MEP child count calculation and validation procedures.

1.7.3.1 Methods Used to Count Children

In the space below, please describe the procedures and processes at the State level used to ensure all eligible children, ages 3-21 are reported. In particular, describe how the State includes and counts only:

- -The unduplicated count of eligible migratory children, ages 3-21. Only include children two years of age whose residency in the state has been verified after turning three.
- -Children who met the program eligibility criteria (e.g., were within 3 years of a qualifying move, engaged or had parents engage in migratory agricultural or fishing work, and were entitled to a free public education through grade 12 in the State, or preschool children below the age and grade level at which the agency provides free public education). Children who were resident in your State for at least 1 day during the performance period (September 1 through August 31).
- -Children who graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the performance period and ensures that these children are not counted in the subsequent performance period's child
- -Children who in the case of Category 2 were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods.
- -Children once per age/grade level for each child count category.
- -Children who had an SEA approved Certificate of Eligibility (COE) and were entered in the State's migratory student database.

Comments

SEA staff in data collections and migrant education departments work collaboratively to check and double check data quality for CSPR submission, in addition to quality checks and reviews conducted by multiple staff at each of our three regional centers in the state. To ensure our counts are unduplicated as required, Indiana uses a unique student identifier (Student Test Number, or STN) to identify each student receiving educational services in our state, and STNs are used for our eligible migratory students, as well. The STN is created or verified upon COE completion to ensure accuracy from the moment of eligibility determination. Upon entry in the migrant database, a local and state check are conducted to see if the student has a pre-existing Indiana STN. If not, a new STN is created for the student and reflected both in the general state database and the state migrant database. When our SEA team submits CSPR data for migrant program areas and other data submission categories, checking STNs ensures we avoid duplication of counts. Both migrant program staff and SEA data collections staff conduct this check. This unique STN also ensures that all of our EdFacts files for all programs requiring information about migratory students address the same unduplicated group of eligible students identified through our rigorous internal data quality checks. To ensure accuracy of age, Indiana carefully checks Date of Birth prior to submission to ensure only students who were 3 years old or older (or turned 3) during the performance period and during their residency are included. Additionally, Indiana filters by DOB to ensure no one 22 or older throughout the performance period is included in our state data submission. In addition to verifying age eligibility by DOB, we review Qualifying Arrival Dates for all eligible students to ensure we only include those falling with the allowable thirty-six months of eligibility for the performance period. For 2020-2021, only students with a QAD after September 1, 2017 and on or before August 31, 2021 with an approved Certificate of Eligibility on file were considered. Our team of six regional recruiters and state ID&R coordinator determine eligibility through the rigorous COE interview process. Each recruiter works with a team of two others who provide biweekly coaching and feedback (at a minimum), and have several virtual and in-person training sessions each year. Each recruiter's COEs are first reviewed and verified by another recruiter from a different regional center and then reviewed again and approved by a third recruiter or state ID&R coordinator. Additionally, the state team conducts ongoing re-interviews as outlined below in the data quality process. These re-interviews are conducted by recruiting staff who were not involved in the first three checks conducted upon initial interview, review, and approval. The recruiters ensure that all identified students meet Indiana requirements for entitlement to a free public education in addition to eligibility based on qualifying move date, qualifying work, and all other ESSA requirements for program eligibility. The migrant regional centers work with the recruiters and the IDOE staff to verify residency in the state within the performance period in order to ensure all counts are accurate, and conduct a detailed review of their regional data biweekly and upon completion of their performance period. SEA staff also compare state school enrollment data to ensure students aren't miscounted or missed in addition to reviewing records in the state migrant database. Children who graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the performance period are documented through our state database, ensuring that these children are not counted in the subsequent performance period's child count. Each migrant regional center annually reports the number of students who graduated from high school and/or attained their HSED during the performance period. SEA staff compare these data to state graduation records reported by Indiana schools and state accountability and testing data for verification. Graduate records are then updated in the state migrant database to ensure the student is not considered eligible in future performance Indiana subgrants to three migrant regional centers, who are responsible for serving all eligible students in their region during the performance period. These centers provide services directly and record the provision of services in the state migrant database. Services provided for eligible students aged 3-21 during the summer and/or intersession (for students in schools that do not operate on a traditional school calendar) are included in this count. Multiple staff at each regional center review and verify this data on a weekly basis during the busy summer period and IDOE staff review for accuracy to ensure no student is inaccurately included or excluded. who had an SEA approved Certificate of Eligibility (COE) and were entered in the State's migratory student database. As detailed above, recruiters and migrant regional centers are responsible for inputting data in the state migrant database upon determining eligibility/residency of a migratory student. In accordance with the detailed procedures outlined above, SEA migrant and data collections staff closely review these submissions for accuracy and compare with other state data sources from Indiana schools to crosscheck numbers for comparison prior to submitting and certifying the data each performance period.

Does the State ensure that the system that transmits migrant data to the Department accurately accounts for all the migratory children in every EDFacts data file? Please respond in the table below.

The State deployed a process that ensured that it transmits accurate migrant data to the Department in every required EDFacts data file.

Yes

Does the State use data in the Migrant **Student Information Exchange** (MSIX) to verify the quality of migrant data?

Yes

If MSIX is utilized, please explain how.

Comments

IDOE compares counts in the MSIX Child Count Reconciliation Report to identify and address discrepancies. This year our MSIX Child Count Reconciliation Report numbers are virtually identical to our CSPR reporting numbers, providing extra confidence in the quality of data that our state is uploading into the MSIX system and in the accuracy of our CSPR reporting.



1.7.3.2 Quality Control Processes

In the space below, describe the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA during the performance period to test the accuracy of the State's MEP eligibility determinations.

Results	#
The number of eligibility determinations sampled.	82
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed.	54
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed and the child was found eligible.	54

What was the most recent year that the MEP conducted independent prospective re-interviews

interviewers were neither SEA or LEA staff members responsible for administering or operating the MEP, nor any other persons who worked on the initial eligibility determinations being tested)? If independent prospective re-interviews were not administered in any of the three performance periods, please provide an explanation in the Comment row at the end of this table.

Comments

Our last independent re-interview process was conducted during the 2017-18 performance period. Since the change in regulations requiring that we complete independent re-interviews every 3 years, we have not had another round of independent re-interviews. However, the state team conducts ongoing re-interviews every year, which are conducted by recruiting staff who were not involved in the first three checks conducted upon initial interview, review, and approval. The re-interviewing recruiters ensure that all identified students meet Indiana requirements for entitlement to a free public education in addition to eligibility based on qualifying move date, qualifying work, and all other ESSA requirements for program eligibility. Our margin of error regarding student eligibility has consistently been at 0% since before, during, and after our last independent re-interviews, which is a testament to the excellent ongoing training provided to our ID&R staff on an annual basis.

FAQ on independent prospective re-interviews:

a. What are independent prospective re-interviews? Independent prospective re-interviews allow confirmation of your State's eligibility determinations and the accuracy of the numbers of migratory children in your State reports. Independent prospective interviews should be conducted at least once every three years by an independent interviewer, performed on the current year's identified migratory children.

Obtaining Data from Families	Response
How were the re-interviews conducted	Phone interviews
Was there a protocol for verifying all information used in making the original eligibility determination?	Yes
Were re-interviewers independent from the original interviewers?	Yes

If you did conduct independent re-interviews in this reporting period, describe how you ensured that the process was independent. Only enter a response if your State completed independent re-interviews in SY2021-22.



In the space below, refer to the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA, and if any of the migratory children were found ineligible, describe those corrective actions or improvements that will be made by the SEA to improve the accuracy of its MEP eligibility determinations.

Comments

No migratory children were found to be ineligible based on our re-interviews. Our state ID&R coordinator pulls a random sample of COEs on an ongoing basis each year to conduct re-interviews throughout each performance period.

In the space below, please respond to the following question:

Does the state collect all the required data elements and data sections on the National Certificate of Eligibility (COE)?

Yes

Data Quality Comments

All required data elements and data sections on the National Certificate of Eligibility are collected and properly maintained by our state within our state migrant database (MIS 2000).

1.7.4 Eligible Migratory Children

1.7.4.1 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having 'Priority for Services'. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	Priority for Services During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	119
Kindergarten	52
Grade 1	60
Grade 2	69
Grade 3	78
Grade 4	44
Grade 5	52
Grade 6	65
Grade 7	43
Grade 8	55
Grade 9	53
Grade 10	51
Grade 11	44
Grade 12	16
Out of School	328
Ungraded	
Total	1129

FAQ on priority for services:

Who is classified as having 'priority for service'? Migratory children who have made a qualifying move within the previous 1-year period and who 1) are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet challenging State academic standards, or 2) have dropped out of school.

1.7.4.2 English Learners (ELs)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also ELs. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	ELs During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	62
Kindergarten	57
Grade 1	69
Grade 2	105
Grade 3	97
Grade 4	74
Grade 5	66
Grade 6	82
Grade 7	59
Grade 8	69
Grade 9	69
Grade 10	59
Grade 11	48
Grade 12	26
Out of School	235
Ungraded	
Total	1177

1.7.4.3 Children with Disabilities (IDEA)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also children with disabilities (IDEA) under Part B or Part C of the IDEA. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	Children with Disabilities (IDEA) During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	3
Kindergarten	2
Grade 1	1
Grade 2	1
Grade 3	3
Grade 4	4
Grade 5	2
Grade 6	6
Grade 7	
Grade 8	
Grade 9	4
Grade 10	2
Grade 11	1
Grade 12	
Out of School	
Ungraded	
Total	29

1.7.4.4 Qualifying Arrival Date (QAD)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children whose QAD occurred within 12 months from the last day of the performance period, August 31, 2022 (i.e., QAD during the performance period). The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	QAD During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	50
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	75
Kindergarten	37
Grade 1	35
Grade 2	39
Grade 3	54
Grade 4	32
Grade 5	34
Grade 6	44
Grade 7	26
Grade 8	45
Grade 9	39
Grade 10	38
Grade 11	25
Grade 12	7
Out of School	226
Ungraded	
Total	806

1.7.5 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of eligible migratory students.

1.7.5.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS032/DG326.


Grade	Dropouts During the Performance Period
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	1
Grade 9	1
Grade 10	2
Grade 11	6
Grade 12	
Total	11

FAQ on Dropouts:

How is 'dropouts' defined? The term used for students, who, (1) were enrolled in a school for at least one day during the 2021-22 performance period, (2) were not enrolled at the beginning of the current (2021-22) performance period, (3) who have not graduated from high school or completed a State- or district-approved educational program, and (4) who do not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: (a) transfer to another school district, private school or State- or district-approved educational program (including correctional or health facility programs), (b) temporary absence due to suspension or school-excused illness or (c) death. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2021-22 performance period should not be reported in this item.

1.7.5.2 HSED (High School Equivalency Diploma)

In the table below, provide the total unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who obtained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) by passing a high school equivalency test that your state accepts (e.g. GED, HiSET, TASC).





1.7.6 MEP Services - During the Performance Period

The following questions collect data about MEP services provided to migratory children during the performance period.

FAQ on Services:

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. 'Services' are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migratory child; (2) address a need of a migratory child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets/annual measurable objectives. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are not considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migratory families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migratory children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the performance period. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102.

Age Grade	Served During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	83
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	203
Kindergarten	84
Grade 1	86
Grade 2	126
Grade 3	118
Grade 4	93
Grade 5	88
Grade 6	103
Grade 7	87
Grade 8	96
Grade 9	96
Grade 10	84
Grade 11	72
Grade 12	38
Ungraded	0
Out of School	360
Total	1817

1.7.6.1 Priority for Services During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having 'priority for services' and who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102.

Age Grade	Priority for Services During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	117
Kindergarten	50
Grade 1	58
Grade 2	67
Grade 3	77
Grade 4	44
Grade 5	51
Grade 6	65
Grade 7	42
Grade 8	54
Grade 9	53
Grade 10	50
Grade 11	43
Grade 12	16
Ungraded	0
Out of School	325
Total	1112

1.7.6.2 Continuation of Services During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period under the continuation of services authority Section 1304(e)(2-3). Do not include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102.

Age Grade	Continuation of Services During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	0
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	0
Grade 3	0
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	0
Grade 7	0
Grade 8	0
Grade 9	1
Grade 10	0
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Ungraded	0
Out of School	0
Total	1

FAQ on Continuation of Services:

What is Continuation of Services? The 'continuation of services' provision found in Section 1304(e) of the ESEA provides that: (1) a child who ceases to be a migratory child during a school term shall be eligible for services until the end of such term; (2) a child who is no longer a migratory child may continue to receive services for one additional school year, but only if comparable services are not available through other programs; and (3) secondary school students who were eligible for services in secondary school may continue to be served through credit accrual programs until graduation.

1.7.6.3 Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the performance period. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684.

Age Grade	Instructional Service During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	58
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	162
Kindergarten	66
Grade 1	67
Grade 2	99
Grade 3	89
Grade 4	76
Grade 5	54
Grade 6	75
Grade 7	69
Grade 8	72
Grade 9	74
Grade 10	69
Grade 11	52
Grade 12	26
Ungraded	
Out of School	306
Total	1414

1.7.6.4 Type of Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the number of eligible migratory children reported in the table above who received MEP-funded reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the performance period. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684.

Age Grade	Reading Instruction During the Performance Period	Mathematics Instruction During the Performance Period	High School Credit Accrual During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	10	10	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	84	74	
Kindergarten	47	44	
Grade 1	51	48	
Grade 2	73	71	
Grade 3	63	62	
Grade 4	58	53	
Grade 5	37	34	
Grade 6	58	55	
Grade 7	54	48	
Grade 8	45	40	
Grade 9	47	39	20
Grade 10	39	31	10
Grade 11	26	21	11
Grade 12	16	10	4
Ungraded			
Out of School	150	22	2
Total	858	662	47

FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:

What is 'high school credit accrual'? MEP-funded instruction, funded in whole or in part by MEP funds, in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a teacher for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. High school credit accrual includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher. High school credit accrual may include the age/grade categories of Grade 8 through Grade 12. NOTE: Children receiving a MEP-funded high school credit accrual service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

1.7.6.5 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Services – During the **Performance Period**

In the table below, in the column titled Support Services, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any MEP-funded support service during the performance period. In the column titled Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received a counseling service during the performance period. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684.

Age Grade	Support Services During the Performance Period	Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	82	3
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	196	19
Kindergarten	84	19
Grade 1	81	12
Grade 2	118	12
Grade 3	114	17
Grade 4	88	8
Grade 5	86	19
Grade 6	98	19
Grade 7	83	18
Grade 8	96	20
Grade 9	95	44
Grade 10	82	49
Grade 11	69	33
Grade 12	38	21
Ungraded		
Out of School	359	181
Total	1769	494

FAQs on Support Services:

a. What are support services? These MEP-funded educationally-related services are provided to students. These services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migratory children; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. Activities related to identification and recruitment, parental involvement, professional development, program evaluation, and the one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, or between students and students in MEP peer-to-peer counseling activities, or between students and MEP-funded staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy. NOTE: Children who receive a MEP-funded counseling service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

1.7.7 School Data during the Regular School Year

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migratory children in schools during the regular school year.

1.7.7.1 Schools and Enrollment – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled eligible migratory children at any time during the regular school year. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110.

Number of schools that enrolled eligible migratory children	Number of eligible migratory children enrolled in those schools
301	2873

1.7.7.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in SWPs – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the regular school year. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110 and FS165/DG514.

STATE CERTIFICATION

Did the State certify this report?

YES

Date the State certified

4/6/2023 9:10:21 AM