

# CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT

## PART I

SCHOOL YEAR 2021-2022

VERMONT



The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) is the required annual reporting tool for each State, the Bureau of Indian Education, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico as authorized under Section 8303 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA).

### **Paperwork Burden Statement**

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0724. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 36.50 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain a benefit under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4537. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

## 1.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

This section collects data on State's Annual State Report Card required under Section 1111(h)(1)(A) of the ESEA.

### 1.1.1 State Report Cards

State Report Card URL
<a href="https://schoolsnapshot.vermont.gov/">https://schoolsnapshot.vermont.gov/</a>

Data Quality Comment

## **1.2 STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS**

This section collects data on student academic achievement and participation in the State assessments.

Note: States are not required to report these data by the racial/ethnic groups shown in the table below; instead, they are required to report these data by the major racial and ethnic groups that align with their accountability system.

### **1.2.1 Academic Achievement and Participation in Mathematics Assessment**

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on the number of students enrolled during the State’s testing window, the number of students who participated in the mathematics assessment, the number of students who took the mathematics assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who participated in the assessment and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group “children with disabilities (IDEA)” includes children with disabilities, as defined under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The student group “English learners” should include recently arrived students who are identified as English Learners (ELs) and who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months. Do not include former ELs (i.e., those who exited EL status prior to the testing window within the past four years).

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS175/DG583 and FS185/DG588.

## Grade 03

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5516	98.0	5516	S	43.6
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	12	>=50	12	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	106	>=95	106	S	49
Asian	S	104	S	104	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	2	S	2	S	S
Black or African American	S	137	>=95	137	S	24
Hispanic or Latino	S	184	>=95	184	S	42
White	S	4879	98.0	4879	S	44.1
Two or more races	S	198	>=95	198	S	44
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	989	96	989	S	16
English learners	S	166	>=95	166	S	31
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2207	97	2207	S	27
Children in foster care	S	50	>=90	50	S	22
Children who are homeless	S	106	95	106	S	13
Migratory students	S	7	>=50	7	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2890	98	2890	S	45
Female	S	2626	98	2626	S	42

## Grade 04

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5573	98.0	5573	S	38.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	11	>=50	11	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	119	>=95	119	S	46
Asian	S	114	S	114	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	5	S	5	S	S
Black or African American	S	136	>=95	136	S	18
Hispanic or Latino	S	167	>=95	167	S	31
White	S	4924	98.1	4924	S	39.2
Two or more races	S	216	>=95	216	S	38
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1082	96	1082	S	13
English learners	S	179	>=95	179	S	26
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2235	97	2235	S	22
Children in foster care	S	40	85	40	S	13
Children who are homeless	S	81	>=95	81	S	15
Migratory students	S	5	S	5	S	S
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2830	98	2830	S	42
Female	S	2743	98	2743	S	35

## Grade 05

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5578	97.8	5578	S	32.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	9	>=50	9	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	115	>=95	115	S	46
Asian	S	110	S	110	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	5	S	5	S	S
Black or African American	S	123	>=95	123	S	12
Hispanic or Latino	S	153	>=95	153	S	32
White	S	4966	97.9	4966	S	33.1
Two or more races	S	212	>=95	212	S	29
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1074	96	1074	S	6
English learners	S	179	>=95	179	S	22
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2157	97	2157	S	19
Children in foster care	S	31	>=90	31	S	<=10
Children who are homeless	S	68	91	68	S	10
Migratory students	S	7	>=50	7	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2900	98	2900	S	34
Female	S	2678	98	2678	S	31

## Grade 06

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5621	97.4	5621	S	30.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	18	>=80	18	S	<=20
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	133	>=95	133	S	38
Asian	S	128	S	128	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	5	S	5	S	S
Black or African American	S	132	>=95	132	S	9
Hispanic or Latino	S	166	>=95	166	S	25
White	S	4957	97.5	4957	S	30.7
Two or more races	S	215	>=95	215	S	32
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1123	95	1123	S	6
English learners	S	143	>=95	143	S	7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2180	96	2180	S	17
Children in foster care	S	38	79	38	S	<=10
Children who are homeless	S	80	92	80	S	13
Migratory students	S	6	>=50	6	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2891	97	2891	S	31
Female	S	2730	98	2730	S	29

## Grade 07

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5452	96.6	5452	S	32.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	15	>=50	15	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	118	>=95	118	S	41
Asian	S	108	>=95	108	S	41
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	10	>=50	10	S	<50
Black or African American	S	148	>=95	148	S	10
Hispanic or Latino	S	137	>=95	137	S	25
White	S	4823	96.6	4823	S	33.0
Two or more races	S	211	>=95	211	S	30
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1074	94	1074	S	7
English learners	S	113	>=95	113	S	8
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2137	95	2137	S	17
Children in foster care	S	48	89	48	S	15
Children who are homeless	S	73	85	73	S	7
Migratory students	S	6	>=50	6	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2846	96	2846	S	33
Female	S	2606	97	2606	S	31



## Grade 08

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5725	95.6	5725	S	28.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	7	>=50	7	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	98	>=95	98	S	33
Asian	S	89	>=95	89	S	33
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	9	>=50	9	S	<50
Black or African American	S	168	>=95	168	S	18
Hispanic or Latino	S	157	94	157	S	26
White	S	5114	95.6	5114	S	28.8
Two or more races	S	181	>=95	181	S	30
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1105	93	1105	S	3
English learners	S	119	>=95	119	S	<=5
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2191	94	2191	S	15
Children in foster care	S	41	73	41	S	<=10
Children who are homeless	S	65	77	65	S	<=5
Migratory students	S	3	S	3	S	S
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2909	95	2909	S	28
Female	S	2816	96	2816	S	29

## High School

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5621	90.3	5621	S	26.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	14	>=50	14	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	133	>=95	133	S	34
Asian	S	127	>=95	127	S	34
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	6	>=50	6	S	<50
Black or African American	S	135	86	135	S	9
Hispanic or Latino	S	161	89	161	S	21
White	S	4984	90.5	4984	S	26.7
Two or more races	S	194	88	194	S	21
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	944	82	944	S	4
English learners	S	92	84	92	S	7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	1767	85	1767	S	14
Children in foster care	S	34	57	34	S	<=10
Children who are homeless	S	55	68	55	S	<=10
Migratory students	S	3	S	3	S	S
Military connected students		.		0	S	S
Male	S	2928	90	2928	S	26
Female	S	2693	90	2693	S	26

## 1.2.2 Academic Achievement and Participation in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the reading/language arts assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on the number of students enrolled during the State’s testing window, the number of students who participated in the reading/language arts assessment, the number of students who took the reading/language arts assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

The student group “children with disabilities (IDEA)” includes children who participated in the regular assessments with or without accommodations and alternate assessments. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

The student group “English learners” does not include recently arrived students who have attended schools in the United States for fewer than 12 months and who took an assessment of English language proficiency (ELP) in lieu of the State’s reading/language arts assessment. Do not include former ELs.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS178/DG584 and FS188/DG589.

## Grade 03

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5517	98.2	5517	S	41.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	12	>=50	12	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	103	>=95	103	S	44
Asian	S	101	S	101	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	2	S	2	S	S
Black or African American	S	137	>=95	137	S	29
Hispanic or Latino	S	182	>=95	182	S	47
White	S	4886	98.3	4886	S	41.3
Two or more races	S	197	>=95	197	S	44
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	991	96	991	S	11
English learners	S	156	>=95	156	S	27
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2210	98	2210	S	26
Children in foster care	S	52	>=90	52	S	25
Children who are homeless	S	105	94	105	S	13
Migratory students	S	7	>=50	7	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2892	98	2892	S	37
Female	S	2625	99	2625	S	46

## Grade 04

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5577	98.2	5577	S	44.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	11	>=50	11	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	117	>=95	117	S	55
Asian	S	112	S	112	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	5	S	5	S	S
Black or African American	S	136	>=95	136	S	27
Hispanic or Latino	S	167	>=95	167	S	38
White	S	4929	98.2	4929	S	44.7
Two or more races	S	217	>=95	217	S	42
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1079	96	1079	S	14
English learners	S	176	>=95	176	S	36
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2242	98	2242	S	26
Children in foster care	S	40	87	40	S	25
Children who are homeless	S	80	>=95	80	S	16
Migratory students	S	5	S	5	S	S
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2829	98	2829	S	42
Female	S	2748	99	2748	S	47

## Grade 05

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5573	97.9	5573	S	46.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	9	>=50	9	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	112	>=95	112	S	54
Asian	S	107	S	107	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	5	S	5	S	S
Black or African American	S	120	95	120	S	26
Hispanic or Latino	S	154	>=95	154	S	51
White	S	4964	98.0	4964	S	46.6
Two or more races	S	214	>=95	214	S	47
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1080	96	1080	S	13
English learners	S	169	>=95	169	S	33
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2149	97	2149	S	31
Children in foster care	S	31	>=90	31	S	<=10
Children who are homeless	S	70	95	70	S	19
Migratory students	S	7	>=50	7	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2895	98	2895	S	42
Female	S	2678	98	2678	S	51

## Grade 06

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5624	97.6	5624	S	43.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	18	>=80	18	S	44
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	132	>=95	132	S	50
Asian	S	127	S	127	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	5	S	5	S	S
Black or African American	S	132	>=95	132	S	21
Hispanic or Latino	S	165	>=95	165	S	44
White	S	4963	97.7	4963	S	44.2
Two or more races	S	214	>=95	214	S	42
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1122	95	1122	S	9
English learners	S	139	>=95	139	S	14
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2185	96	2185	S	27
Children in foster care	S	39	81	39	S	13
Children who are homeless	S	79	92	79	S	19
Migratory students	S	5	S	5	S	S
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2887	97	2887	S	40
Female	S	2737	98	2737	S	47

## Grade 07

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5458	96.8	5458	S	45.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	15	>=50	15	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	116	>=95	116	S	47
Asian	S	106	>=95	106	S	49
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	10	>=50	10	S	<50
Black or African American	S	150	>=95	150	S	25
Hispanic or Latino	S	134	94	134	S	39
White	S	4833	96.8	4833	S	46.6
Two or more races	S	210	>=95	210	S	50
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1075	94	1075	S	12
English learners	S	111	>=95	111	S	10
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2138	95	2138	S	29
Children in foster care	S	47	87	47	S	28
Children who are homeless	S	75	87	75	S	17
Migratory students	S	6	>=50	6	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2855	97	2855	S	40
Female	S	2603	97	2603	S	52



## Grade 08

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5716	95.6	5716	S	43.0
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	8	>=50	8	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	97	>=95	97	S	42
Asian	S	88	>=95	88	S	43
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	9	>=50	9	S	<50
Black or African American	S	170	>=95	170	S	27
Hispanic or Latino	S	154	95	154	S	45
White	S	5107	95.5	5107	S	43.5
Two or more races	S	180	>=95	180	S	43
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1101	93	1101	S	9
English learners	S	111	>=95	111	S	11
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2178	94	2178	S	27
Children in foster care	S	41	73	41	S	<=10
Children who are homeless	S	66	79	66	S	15
Migratory students	S	2	S	2	S	S
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2900	95	2900	S	37
Female	S	2816	96	2816	S	49

## High School

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5650	91.0	5650	S	46.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	14	>=50	14	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	130	>=95	130	S	59
Asian	S	124	>=95	124	S	58
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	6	>=50	6	S	>=50
Black or African American	S	134	87	134	S	26
Hispanic or Latino	S	165	93	165	S	39
White	S	5009	91.0	5009	S	46.9
Two or more races	S	198	91	198	S	44
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	953	83	953	S	12
English learners	S	90	93	90	S	11
Economically disadvantaged students	S	1779	86	1779	S	30
Children in foster care	S	36	60	36	S	14
Children who are homeless	S	57	71	57	S	21
Migratory students	S	3	S	3	S	S
Military connected students		.		0	S	S
Male	S	2938	91	2938	S	40
Female	S	2712	91	2712	S	53

### **1.2.3 Academic Achievement and Participation in Science Assessment**

In the table below, provide information on the achievement and participation of students on the science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by reporting on the number of students enrolled during the State's testing window, the number of students who participated in the science assessment, the number of students who took the science assessment and received a valid score, and the number of students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who were tested and the percentage of students scoring at or above proficient will be calculated automatically.

This table is repeated for each of grades three through eight and once for high school.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS179/DG585 and FS189/DG590.

Grade 03

Grade 04

## Grade 05

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5569	98.3	5570	S	35.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	9	>=50	9	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	116	>=95	116	S	42
Asian	S	111	S	111	S	S
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	5	S	5	S	S
Black or African American	S	123	>=95	123	S	10
Hispanic or Latino	S	154	>=95	154	S	35
White	S	4954	98.4	4955	S	35.7
Two or more races	S	213	>=95	213	S	34
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1073	97	1073	S	10
English learners	S	179	>=95	179	S	20
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2156	98	2156	S	21
Children in foster care	S	30	>=80	30	S	<=20
Children who are homeless	S	67	93	67	S	8
Migratory students	S	7	>=50	7	S	<50
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2898	98	2898	S	36
Female	S	2671	99	2672	S	34

Grade 06

Grade 07



## Grade 08

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	5703	96.1	5703	S	30.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	8	>=50	8	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	99	>=95	99	S	32
Asian	S	90	>=95	90	S	31
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	9	>=50	9	S	<50
Black or African American	S	170	>=95	170	S	15
Hispanic or Latino	S	155	>=95	155	S	30
White	S	5091	96.1	5091	S	31.2
Two or more races	S	180	>=95	180	S	35
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	1092	93	1092	S	7
English learners	S	121	>=95	121	S	6
Economically disadvantaged students	S	2186	95	2186	S	18
Children in foster care	S	40	77	40	S	<=10
Children who are homeless	S	72	88	72	S	14
Migratory students	S	5	S	5	S	S
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2895	96	2895	S	32
Female	S	2808	97	2808	S	30

## High School

Student Group	# Students Enrolled	# Students Participating	Percent Participating	# Students Tested	# Students Proficient	Percent Proficient
All students	S	4979	89.7	4980	S	40.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	S	13	>=50	13	S	<50
Asian or Pacific Islander	S	131	92	131	S	51
Asian	S	123	92	123	S	50
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	S	8	>=50	8	S	>=50
Black or African American	S	111	84	111	S	20
Hispanic or Latino	S	127	89	127	S	30
White	S	4455	89.9	4456	S	40.9
Two or more races	S	142	90	142	S	40
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	S	684	82	685	S	12
English learners	S	72	89	72	S	7
Economically disadvantaged students	S	1366	86	1367	S	27
Children in foster care	S	19	50	19	S	<=20
Children who are homeless	S	42	70	42	S	26
Migratory students	S	0	S	0	S	S
Military connected students	S	.	S	0	S	S
Male	S	2602	90	2603	S	39
Female	S	2377	89	2377	S	41

## 1.2.4 Assessment Participation by Assessment Type for Children with Disabilities

This section collects information on children with disabilities' participation in the mathematics, reading/language arts, and science assessments.

### 1.2.4.1 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Mathematics Assessment

In the table below, provide the number of children with disabilities (IDEA) participating during the State's testing window in mathematics assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA by the type of assessment. The percentage of children with disabilities (IDEA) who participated in the mathematics assessment for each assessment option will be calculated automatically. The total number of children with disabilities (IDEA) participating will also be calculated automatically.

The data provided below should include mathematics participation data from all children with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the IDEA. Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS185/DG588.

Assessment Type	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	383	5.2
Regular Assessment With Accommodations	3082	41.7
Regular Assessment Without Accommodations	3926	53.1
Total	7391	.

### 1.2.4.2 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Mathematics

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS185/DG588.

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
383	39086	1

### 1.2.4.2.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards

Question	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in mathematics in SY2021-22?	No

### 1.2.4.3 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Reading/Language Arts Assessment

The data provided should include reading/language arts participation data from all children with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the IDEA. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Note: For this question only, report on children with disabilities (IDEA) who are also ELs in the U.S. less than 12 months who took the ELP assessment in lieu of the statewide reading/language arts assessment.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS188/DG589.

Assessment Type	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	387	5.2
Recently arrived ELs, Took ELP	5	0.1
Regular Assessment With Accommodations	2326	31.4
Regular Assessment Without Accommodations	4688	63.3
Total	7406	.

### 1.2.4.4 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Reading/Language Arts

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS188/DG589.

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
387	39179	1

#### 1.2.4.4.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

Question	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in reading/language arts in SY2021-22?	No

### 1.2.4.5 Participation of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) in Science Assessment

The data provided should include science participation results from all children with disabilities (IDEA) as defined under the IDEA. Do not include former children with disabilities (IDEA). Do not include students only covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS189/DG590.

Assessment Type	# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating	Percentage of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Participating, Who Took the Specified Assessment
Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	141	4.9
Regular Assessment With Accommodations	457	16
Regular Assessment Without Accommodations	2251	79
Total	2849	.

## 1.2.4.6 Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards in Science

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS189/DG590.

# Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards	# Students Participating	Percentage of Students Assessed Using the Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Achievement Standards
141	16251	0.9

### 1.2.4.6.1 Waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards

Question	Yes or No
Did your State receive a waiver under 34 CFR 200.6(c) for the 1% cap on the percentage of students assessed using the alternate assessment based on alternate achievement standards in science in SY2021-22?	No

Data Quality Comment

## 1.2.5 Assessments for ELs

This section collects information on EL assessment results.

### 1.2.5.1 EL Provisions for Content Assessments

This sub-section collects information on provisions provided to ELs.

#### 1.2.5.1.1 Recently arrived ELs taking ELP assessments in lieu of reading/language arts assessments

In the table below, provide the number of recently arrived ELs included in the participation counts in 1.2 who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment, as permitted under Section 1111(b)(3)(A)(i) of the ESEA.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS188/DG589.

Recently Arrived ELs	#
Recently arrived ELs who took an assessment of ELP in lieu of the State's reading/language arts assessment	64

### 1.2.5.1.2 Native language assessments offered

In the table below, indicate whether native language assessments were offered in mathematics, reading/languages arts, and science. Write “Yes” if the specified assessment is used for accountability purposes. List languages, other than English, in which content tests were offered.

Native Language Testing	Yes	If yes, are the tests considered trans-adapted assessments?	Language(s)
	No		
State offers the State mathematics content tests in the students’ native language(s).	Yes	No	Spanish
State offers the State reading/language arts content tests in the students’ native language(s).	No		
State offers the State science content tests in the students’ native language(s).	Yes	No	Spanish

Data Quality Comment

### 1.2.5.2 Performance of ELs on State ELP Assessment

In the table below, please provide, for all ELs, the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS137/DG674 and FS139/DG676.

Student Group	# Tested	# Not Tested	# Making Progress	Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
All ELs	1564	197	S	24	S	7

### 1.2.5.3 Performance of ELs in Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) Receiving Title III Funds on State ELP

In the table below, please provide for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, and for ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, the number tested and not tested on the ELP assessment, the number and percentage making progress towards achieving ELP, and the number and percentage attaining proficiency on the annual State ELP assessment.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS050/DG151 and FS138/DG675.

Student Group	# Tested	# Not Tested	# Making Progress	Percent Making Progress	# Attained Proficiency	Percent Attained Proficiency
ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	1158	135	S	35	S	7
ELs identified as children with disabilities in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds	187	34	S	21	S	<=5

### 1.2.5.3.1 ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited Language Instruction Educational Program (LIEP) based on attaining ELP

In the table below, please provide, for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, the number and percentage who have exited an LIEP based on attaining ELP.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS211/DG865.

# ELs Exited	Percent ELs Exited
78	19.8

### 1.2.5.3.2 ELs in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have not attained proficiency in 5 years

In the table below, please provide, for ELs in LEAs receiving Title III, Part A funds, the number and percentage who have not attained proficiency within five years.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS210/DG864.

# ELs Not Proficient	Percent ELs Not Proficient
315	80.2

### 1.2.5.4 Students in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds who have Exited EL Status

This section collects data on the performance of students who have exited EL status as required by Sections 3121(a)(5) and 3122(a) of the ESEA.

#### 1.2.5.4.1 Students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status by year

In the table below, report the count of students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status for each of the four years after such students have exited EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

First year	Second year	Third year	Fourth year	Total
114	102	85	87	388



### 1.2.5.4.2 Results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status in mathematics

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual mathematics assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of language instruction educational programs (LIEPs) and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

Year Monitored	Former ELs Number Tested	Former ELs Percent Proficient	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Number Tested	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Percent Proficient
First year	102	34	9	<50
Second year	93	59	5	S
Third year	76	49	4	S
Fourth year	82	48	2	S

### 1.2.5.4.3 Results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status in reading/language arts

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual reading/language arts assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

Year Monitored	Former ELs Number Tested	Former ELs Percent Proficient	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Number Tested	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Percent Proficient
First year	103	55	9	<50
Second year	93	62	5	S
Third year	77	70	4	S
Fourth year	82	67	2	S

### 1.2.5.4.4 Results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status in science

In the table below, report results for students in LEAs receiving Title III funds who have exited EL status and for students who are identified as children with disabilities who have exited EL status on the annual science assessment. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned out of LIEPs and who were no longer receiving language services in the current reporting year. Please report the performance of these students for each of the four years after they exit EL status.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS126/DG668.

Year Monitored	Former ELs Number Tested	Former ELs Percent Proficient	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Number Tested	Former ELs Identified as Children with Disabilities Percent Proficient
First year	47	51	3	S
Second year	15	>=50		S
Third year	40	48	2	S
Fourth year	47	53	3	S

### 1.2.6 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities

#### 1.2.6.1 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities

This section requests information on your State's use of funds under Section 1201 of ESEA.

##### 1.2.6.1.1 Percentages of funds used for standards and assessment development and other purposes

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the ESEA (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2021-22, estimate what percentage of the funds your State used for the following (round to the nearest 10 percent).

Purpose	Percentage (Rounded to the Nearest 10 Percent)
To pay the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA	30
To administer assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA or to carry out other activities described in Section 1201 of the ESEA	70

### 1.2.6.1.2 Use of funds for purposes other than standards and assessment development

For funds your State had available under Section 1201 of the ESEA (Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities) during SY2021-22 that were used for purposes other than the costs of the development of the State assessments and standards required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA, for what purposes did your State use the funds? (Enter “Yes” for all that apply and “No” for all that do not apply).

Purpose	Used for Purpose (Yes No)
Administering assessments required by Section 1111(b) of the ESEA	Yes
Ensuring the provision of appropriate accommodations available to ELs and children with disabilities to improve the rates of inclusion in regular assessments of such children, including professional development activities to improve the implementation of such accommodations in instructional practice.	Yes
Developing challenging State academic standards and aligned assessments in academic subjects for which standards and assessments are not required under Section 1111(b) of the ESEA.	Yes
Developing or improving assessments of ELs, including assessments of ELP as required under Section 1111(b)(2)(G) of the ESEA and academic assessments in languages other than English to meet the State’s obligations under Section 1111(b)(2)(F) of the ESEA.	Yes
Ensuring the continued validity and reliability of State assessments.	Yes
Refining State assessments to ensure their continued alignment with challenging academic standards and to improve the alignment of curricula and instructional materials.	Yes
Developing or improving balanced assessment systems that include summative, interim, and formative assessments, including supporting local educational agencies in developing and improving such assessments.	Yes
At the discretion of the State, refining science assessments required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA in order to integrate engineering design skills and practices into such assessments.	Yes
Developing or improving models to measure and assess student progress or student growth on State assessments under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA and other assessments not required under Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA.	Yes
Developing or improving assessments for children with disabilities, including alternate assessments aligned to alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities described in Section 1111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA, and using the principles of universal design for learning.	Yes
Allowing for collaboration with institutions of higher education, other research institutions, or other organizations to improve the quality, validity, and reliability of State academic assessments beyond the requirements for such assessments described in Section 1111(b)(2) of the ESEA.	No
Measuring student academic achievement using multiple measures of student academic achievement from multiple sources.	Yes
Evaluating student academic achievement through the development of comprehensive academic assessment instruments (such as performance and technology based academic assessments, computer adaptive assessments, projects, or extended performance task assessments) that emphasize the mastery of standards and aligned competencies in a competency-based education model.	Yes
Designing report cards and reports under Section 1111(h) of the ESEA in an easily accessible, user-friendly manner that cross-tabulates student information by any category the State determines appropriate, as long as such cross-tabulations (i) does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student, and (ii) is derived from existing State and local reporting requirements.	Yes
Other	Yes

Data Quality Comment

## 1.3 TEACHERS

In the tables below, provide the number of teachers for each of the school types listed and the number of teachers who are inexperienced, emergency or provisional credentialed, or out of field. The percentages used for high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine those percentages are reported in the second table. Below the tables are frequently asked questions (FAQs) about these data.

### 1.3.1 Inexperienced Teachers

In the table below, provide information on the experience of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS103/DG699 and FS203/DG839.

School Poverty Level	Total Teachers	Inexperienced Teachers	Percent Inexperienced Teachers
Total Schools	6826	2603.5	38.1

### 1.3.2 Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials

In the table below, provide information on the credentials of teachers by poverty quartile and level.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS103/DG699 and FS203/DG839.

School Poverty Level	Total Teachers	Teachers Teaching with an Emergency or Provisional Credential	Percent Teachers Teaching with an Emergency or Provisional Credential
Total Schools	6826	399.98	5.9

### 1.3.3 Teachers Who Are Not Teaching in the Subject or Field for Which They Are Certified or Licensed

In the table below, provide information on teachers not teaching in the subject or field for which they are certified or licensed by poverty quartile and level.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS103/DG699 and FS203/DG839.

School Poverty Level	Total Teachers	Teachers Not Teaching in Field	Percent Teachers Not Teaching in Field
Total Schools	6826	3277.32	48

### 1.3.4 Poverty Quartile Breaks (Optional)

In the table below, provide the poverty quartiles breaks used in determining high- and low-poverty schools and the poverty metric used to determine the poverty quartiles.

High-Poverty Schools (More than what %)	Low-Poverty Schools (Less than what %)	Poverty Metric Used

#### FAQ:How are the poverty quartiles determined?

Rank order all schools from highest to lowest on your percentage poverty measure. Divide the list into four equal groups. Schools in the first (highest group) are high-poverty schools. Schools in the last group (lowest group) are the low-poverty schools. Generally, States use the percentage of students who qualify for the free or reduced-price lunch program for this calculation.

Data Quality Comment

## 1.4 TITLE III AND LANGUAGE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS

This section collects annual performance and accountability data on the implementation of Title III programs.

### 1.4.1 EL Enrollment Data

#### 1.4.1.1 Number of All ELs Enrolled in the State

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of all ELs in the State who meet the EL definition under Section 8101(21) of the ESEA.

-Include newly enrolled (recent arrivals to the U.S.) and continually enrolled ELs, whether or not they receive services in a Title III LIEP.

-Do not include students previously identified as ELs (as described in Section 1111(b)(3)(B) of the ESEA) or students who exit LIEPs based on attainment of ELP (as described in Section 3121(a)(4) of the ESEA) in the all EL student count in this table.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS141/DG678.

Total ELs	#
Total English Learners in State	1711
English Learners with Disabilities	282

#### 1.4.1.2 Number of ELs in LEAs Receiving Title III Funds

In the table below, provide the October 1 count of ELs in the State served by LIEPs in LEAs receiving Title III funds.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS116/DG648.

ELs Receiving Services	#
English Learners Served by LIEP	1242

### 1.4.2 LIEPs

Note: Section 3201(7) The term 'LIEP' means an instruction course – (A) in which an EL is placed for the purpose of developing and attaining English proficiency while meeting challenging State academic standards and (B) that may make instructional use of both English and a child's native language to enable the child to develop and attain English proficiency and may include the participation of English proficient children if such course is designed to enable all participating children to become proficient in English and a second language.

In the table below, for each type of LIEP implemented in the State, as defined under Section 3201(7), as required by Sections 3121(a)(1) and 3122(b)(1) of the ESEA, please provide a comma-separated list of the language(s) of instruction used.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS116/DG849.

LIEP	#	Language of Instruction
Content Classes with integrated ESL support	.	English
Dual Language or Two-way Immersion	.	No
ESL or ELD	.	English
Newcomer programs	.	English
Other	.	No
Transitional Bilingual Education or Early-Exit Bilingual Education	.	No

Explain in the comment box below if the State has any additional LIEPs that are not captured in the table above.

Comments

Data Quality Comment
All Title III grantees employ LIEPs that represent the following types: (1) ESL/ELD; (2) Content Classes with integrated ESL support; (3) Newcomer Programs. The language of instruction in these programs is mostly English, but there are some LEAs that are able to provide native language support by employing multilingual liaisons in the most commonly spoken languages of ELs. There is a two-way immersion program in Vermont, but this program is not run by an LEA receiving Title III funds.

### 1.4.3 Most Common Languages Spoken in the State

In the table below, provide the five most commonly spoken languages, other than English, in the State (for all ELs, not just ELs enrolled in LEAs that received Title III services). The top five languages should be determined by the highest number of ELs speaking each of the languages listed.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS141/DG678.

Language	# ELs
Nepali	347
Spanish; Castilian	208
Swahili	159
Cushitic (Other)	117
Somali	96



## 1.4.4 Teacher Information and Professional Development

This section collects information about teachers as required under Section 3122 (b)(5) of the ESEA.

In the table below, report the unduplicated headcount of teachers who are working in LIEPs as defined under Section 3302(7) of the ESEA and reported in CSPR Section 1.4.2( LIEPs) even if they are not paid with Title III funds.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS067/DG422.

Number of teachers serving in LIEPs in LEAs receiving Title III funds	Number of certified, licensed or endorsed teachers serving in LIEP in LEAs receiving Title III funds	How many ESL bilingual education endorsed teachers will be needed for the succeeding 5 fiscal years*
45	35	60

\*This number should be the total additional teachers needed for the next 5 years, not the number needed for each year. Do not include the number of teachers currently working in Title III English language instruction educational programs.

Data Quality Comment
There is an extreme shortage of EL qualified teachers in Vermont. Many of our EL services are being provided under emergency or temporary licenses and in some more rural areas, there are no staff available to fulfill EL roles. Particularly in low incidence areas, we are in need of dually endorsed content teachers and special educators.

## 1.4.5 Activities of Subgrantees Related to the Teaching and Learning of ELs

This section aggregates data on LEA-level activities that support the education of ELs with Title III funds.

In the tables below, provide information about allowable activities conducted under Section 3115 of the ESEA.

<b>Activities of LEAs</b>	<b>Number of LEAs</b>
Supporting the development and implementation of LIEPs	6
Enhancing existing LIEPs and programs for restructuring and reforming schools with ELs	6
Supporting implementation of school wide programs	0
Professional development to teachers and other personnel serving ELs	6
Parent and community engagement activities	6
Supporting the development and implementation of pre-school programs	1
Improving LIEPs by upgrading curricula, instructional materials, software and assessment procedures	6
Improving instruction of ELs with disabilities	1
Providing tutorials, career and technical education	0
Offering programs to help ELs achieve success in post-secondary education	6
Other	0

If State reports a nonzero count of LEAs under 'Other' please describe the activities that were conducted during SY2021-22 in the comment box below.

<b>Comment</b>

<b>Data Quality Comment</b>
Only 6 of 53 LEAs in Vermont have large enough EL populations to qualify for Title III funding. However, approximately half of our LEAs now have at least one EL student.

## 1.4.6 Assistance Provided by SEAs under Section 3111(b)(2)(D) of the ESEA

Provide information on technical assistance and other forms of assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that are receiving Title III subgrants.

Activities	Check all that apply
Identifying and implementing effective LIEPs and curricula for teaching English learners	X
Helping ELs meet the same challenging State academic standards that all children are expected to meet	X
Identifying or developing, and implementing, measures of English proficiency	X
Strengthening and increasing parent, family, and community engagement in programs that serve ELs	X
Providing recognition, which may include providing financial awards, to recipients of subgrants under section 3115 that have significantly improved the achievement and progress of ELs	
Other	

If 'Other' is checked, please provide information in the comment box below on the types of technical assistance provided by the SEA to LEAs that aren't captured by the first five types of activities in the table.

Comments

Data Quality Comment

## 1.4.7 Education Programs and Activities for Immigrant Students

In the table below, report the unduplicated number of immigrant students enrolled in schools in the State and the number of immigrant students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA.

Note: Not all immigrant students are ELs.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS045/DG519.

# Immigrant Students Enrolled	# Immigrant Students in LEAs Receiving Funds under Section 3114(d)	Number of 3114(d)(1) Subgrants
393	0	0

If State reports zero (0) students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d) or the ESEA or zero (0) subgrants, explain in comment box below.

Comments
We are in the process of identifying the LEAs with the most significant changes in immigrant student numbers to qualify for the immigrant grant award. Over the last year there has been turnover in staff which has significantly delayed the awarding of the immigrant subgrants. In addition, there have been very recent influxes of both Afghan and Ukrainian refugees, particularly into some of our most rural LEAs, and we are trying to track where many of those have ended up in order to determine how best to apportion these funds.

Data Quality Comment

**Table 1.4.7 Definitions:**

- 1. Immigrant Students Enrolled:** Number of students who meet the definition of immigrant children and youth under Section 3201(5) of the ESEA and enrolled in the elementary or secondary schools in the State.
- 2. Immigrant Students in LEAs receiving funds under Section 3114(d):** Number of immigrant students enrolled in LEAs receiving funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA. This number should not include immigrant students who are enrolled in an LEA that receives Title III funds under Section 3114(a) of the ESEA but not Section 3114(d) of the ESEA.
- 3. 3114(d) Subgrants:** Number of subgrants made in the State under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA, with funds reserved for immigrant children and youth. Do not include in the count LEAs that receive Title III English language acquisition subgrants made under Section 3114(a) of the ESEA, but that do not receive subgrants under Section 3114(d) of the ESEA, even if immigrant students are enrolled in those LEAs.

**1.4.8 State Subgrant Activities**

This section collects data on State subgrant activities.

**1.4.8.1 State Subgrant Process**

In the table below, report the time between when the State receives the Title III allocation from the U.S. Department of Education (ED), normally on July 1 of each year for the upcoming school year, and the time when the State distributes these funds to subgrantees for the intended school year. Dates must be submitted using the MM/DD/YY format.

Example: State received SY2021-22 funds July 1, 2021, and then made these funds available to subgrantees on August 1, 2021, for SY 2021-22 programs. Then the '# of days/\$\$ Distribution' is 30 days.

<b>Date State Received Allocation</b>	<b>Date Funds Available to Subgrantees</b>	<b># Days \$\$ Distribution</b>
7/1/2021	7/1/2021	0

<b>Data Quality Comment</b>
The Title III grant application is part of a larger Consolidated Federal Program (CFP) online grant application process, which opens in the spring. Districts are strongly encouraged to submit their applications as early as possible, because subgrants are not awarded by the state until all Titles in the CFP have been approved. LEAs that submit applications by June 30th receive substantial approval on July 1.

**Table 1.4.8.1 Definitions:**

- 1. Date State Received Allocation:** Date the State receives the Title III allocation from ED.
- 2. Date Funds Available to Subgrantees:** Date that Title III funds are available to approved subgrantees.
- 3. # of Days/\$\$ Distribution:** Average number of days for States receiving Title III funds to make subgrants to subgrantees beginning from July 1 of each year, except under conditions where funds are being withheld.

### 1.4.8.2 Steps to Shorten the Distribution of Title III Funds to Subgrantees

In the comment box below, describe how your State can shorten the process of distributing Title III funds to subgrantees.

#### Comments

As LEAs have access to the funds as soon as they receive substantial approval on July 1st, there is no lag time in the distribution of funds on the SEA's part. If they submit their applications after the June 30 deadline, then any delays in receiving SEA approval are their responsibility.

### 1.4.9 Title III Subgrantees

This section collects data on the performance of Title III subgrantees.

#### 1.4.9.1 Termination of Title III Language Instruction Educational Programs

This section collects data on the termination of Title III programs or activities as required by Section 3122(b)(7) of the ESEA.

**Were any Title III language instruction educational programs or activities terminated for failure to reach program goals?**

No

#### Data Quality Comment

3 of our 6 LEAs receiving Title III funds were monitored. Two did receive corrective action and the needed actions were resolved. There were no programs or activities that failed to reach their program goals.

## 1.5 PERSISTENTLY DANGEROUS SCHOOLS

In the table below, provide the number of schools identified as persistently dangerous, as determined by the State, by the start of the school year. For further guidance on persistently dangerous schools, refer to Section B “Identifying Persistently Dangerous Schools” in the Unsafe School Choice Option Non-Regulatory Guidance, available at <http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/unsafeschoolchoice.pdf>.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS130/DG36; Note a table will only appear if the State reported at least one school as persistently dangerous.

## 1.6 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTHS PROGRAM

This section collects data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento grant program.

In the table below, provide the following information about the number of LEAs in the State who reported data on homeless children and youth and the McKinney-Vento program. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Populated with LEA-LEVEL FS170/DG754.

LEAs	#	# LEAs Reporting Data
LEAs with subgrants	7	7
LEAs without subgrants	177	177

Data Quality Comment
Corrected data has been resubmitted.

### 1.6.1 All LEAs (with and without McKinney-Vento Subgrants)

The following questions collect data on homeless children and youth in the State.

#### 1.6.1.1 Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by grade level enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS118/DG655.



<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Number of Homeless Enrolled Students</b>
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	81
Kindergarten	102
Grade 1	101
Grade 2	113
Grade 3	118
Grade 4	96
Grade 5	83
Grade 6	101
Grade 7	96
Grade 8	91
Grade 9	89
Grade 10	79
Grade 11	81
Grade 12	81
Total	1312

### 1.6.1.2 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth

In the table below, provide the number of homeless children and youth by primary nighttime residence enrolled in public school at any time during the regular school year. The primary nighttime residence should be the student's nighttime residence when s/he was identified as homeless. The totals will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS118/DG655.

<b>Primary Nighttime Residence Type</b>	<b>Number of Homeless Enrolled Students Who Are Unaccompanied Homeless Youth</b>	<b>Number of Homeless Enrolled Students</b>
Shelters and transitional housing	6	132
Doubled-up (e.g., living with another family)	94	728
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks, campgrounds, temporary trailer, or abandoned buildings)	1	80
Hotels/Motels	7	372
Total	108	1312

### 1.6.1.3 Subgroups of Homeless Students Enrolled

In the table below, please provide the following information about the homeless students enrolled during the regular school year.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS118/DG655.

Subgroup	Number of Homeless Enrolled Students
Children with one or more disabilities (IDEA)	378
English learners	18
Unaccompanied youth	108
Migratory students	12

### 1.6.2 Young Homeless Children Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants

In the table below, provide the number of young homeless children who were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants during the regular school year. The total will be automatically calculated.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS194/DG818.

Age Level	Number of Young Homeless Children Served
Age Birth through 2	13
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	17

### 1.6.3 ARP-Homeless I Subgrants

Question	Number of LEAs
How many LEAs received subgrants with ARP-Homeless I funds including those participating in consortia?	6

Data Quality Comment

## 1.6.4 ARP-Homeless II Subgrants

Question	Number of LEAs
How many LEAs received subgrants with ARP-Homeless II funds including those participating in consortia?	26

Data Quality Comment
Corrected data has been resubmitted

## 1.6.5 Subgrantees Awarded Funds through ARP-Homeless I and II

Please list the NCES LEA ID and name of each LEA that received ARP-Homeless funds and the amount each received. For consortia, list all the LEAs participating in them and assign the total ARP Homeless I or II award amount to the lead LEA. This information will be collected from SEAs outside the CSPR collection tool.

Data are published outside the report.

Data Quality Comment

## 1.7 EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (MEP) (Title I, Part C) for the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migratory children
- Academic data of eligible migratory students
- Data of migratory children served during the performance period
- School data
- Project data
- Personnel data

Report a child in the age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of their time while residing in the State during the performance period.

There are two exceptions to this rule:

1. A child who turns 3 during the performance period is reported as 'Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)' only if the child's residency in the state was verified after the child turned three.
2. A child who turns 22 years of age during the performance is reported at the appropriate age/grade category for the performance period.

### 1.7.1 Migratory Child Counts

This section collects the Title I, Part C, MEP child counts which States are required to provide and may be used to determine the annual State allocations under Title I, Part C. The child counts should reflect the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. This section also collects a report on the procedures used by States to produce true, reliable, and valid child counts.

To provide the child counts, each State Education Agency (SEA) should have implemented sufficient procedures and internal controls to ensure that it is counting only those children who are eligible for the MEP. Such procedures are important to protecting the integrity of the State's MEP because they permit the early discovery and correction of eligibility problems and thus help to ensure that only eligible migratory children are counted for funding purposes and are served. If an SEA has reservations about the accuracy of its child counts, it must disclose known data limitations to the Department, and explain how and when it will resolve data quality issues through corrective actions in the box below, which precedes Section 1.7.1.1 Category 1 Child Count.

Note: In submitting this information, the Authorizing State Official must certify that, to the best of his/her knowledge, the State has taken action to ensure that the child counts and information contained in the report are true, reliable, and valid and that any false statement provided is subject to fine or imprisonment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1001.

**FAQs on Child Count:**

- a. How is 'out-of-school' defined? Out-of-school means children up through age 21 who are entitled to a free public education in the State but are not currently enrolled in a K-12 institution. This term could include students who have dropped out of school, youth who are working on a high school equivalency diploma (HSED) outside of a K-12 institution, and youth who are 'here-to-work' only. It would not include children in preschool, nor does it include temporary absences (e.g., summer/intersession, suspension or illness). Enrollment in school is not a condition affecting eligibility for the MEP. Therefore, out-of-school youth who meet the definition of a 'migratory child' are eligible for the MEP.
- b. How is 'ungraded' defined? Ungraded means the children are served in an educational unit that has no separate grades. For example, some schools have primary grade groupings that are not traditionally graded or ungraded groupings for children with learning disabilities (IDEA). In some cases, ungraded students may also include special education children (IDEA), transitional bilingual students, students working on a HSED through a K-12 institution, or those in a correctional setting. (Do not count students working on a HSED outside of a K-12 institution as ungraded; these students are counted as out-of-school youth.)
- c. How is reporting 'a child in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State' defined? A State must report a child in only one age/grade category in which the child spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State. For example, a migratory child resided in State A for three months and in State B for nine months in SY2021-22. While in State A, the child enrolled in ninth grade for two months and in tenth grade for one month. Therefore, State A will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State A. In State B, the child enrolled in eighth grade for one month and in ninth grade for eight months. Therefore, State B will report the child in the age/grade category of ninth grade, because the child spent the majority of his/her time in ninth grade in State B.

In the space below, discuss any concerns about the accuracy of the reported child counts or the underlying eligibility determinations on which the counts are based and how and when these concerns will be resolved.

Comments
VT MEP does not have any concerns about the accuracy of submitted child count at this time.

### 1.7.1.1 Category 1 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children)

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number by age/grade of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. This figure includes all eligible migratory children who may or may not have received MEP services. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include children age birth through 2 years.

Populated with data from MSIX.

<b>Age Grade</b>	<b>Eligible Migratory Children</b>
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	18
Kindergarten	8
Grade 1	6
Grade 2	5
Grade 3	8
Grade 4	8
Grade 5	10
Grade 6	8
Grade 7	9
Grade 8	8
Grade 9	6
Grade 10	7
Grade 11	1
Grade 12	2
Out of School	171
Total	275

### 1.7.1.2 Category 1 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 1 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
Category 1 Child Count for the 2020-2021 SY was 303. The Category 1 Child Count for the 2021-2022 SY was 274. This decrease in child count is not greater than 10 percent.

### 1.7.1.3 Birth through Two Child Count

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from birth through age 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, resided in your State for one or more days during the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022.

Populated with data from MSIX.

Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children
Age Birth through 2	14

## 1.7.2 Category 2 Child Count (Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer/ Intersession Term)

In the table below, enter by age/grade the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children age 3 through 21 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. Count a child who moved from one age/grade level to another during the performance period only once in the age/grade category in which s/he spent the majority of his/her time while residing in the State, during the performance period. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once. The unduplicated statewide total count is calculated automatically.

Do not include:

- Children age birth through 2 years
- Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Populated with data from MSIX.

Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	15
Kindergarten	8
Grade 1	5
Grade 2	4
Grade 3	6
Grade 4	6
Grade 5	9
Grade 6	8
Grade 7	7
Grade 8	7
Grade 9	5
Grade 10	5
Grade 11	1
Out of School	104
Total	190



### 1.7.2.1 Category 2 Child Count Increases/Decreases

In the space below, explain any increases or decreases from last year in the number of students reported for Category 2 greater than 10 percent.

Comments
This year we saw an increase of 14% in Category 2 Child Count from the previous year due to filling of vacancies. The previous year we had vacancies in our Regional Coordinator positions during the summer session, and the increase in staffing this year allowed us to serve more students.

### 1.7.2.2 Birth through Two Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, enter the unduplicated statewide number of eligible migratory children from age birth through 2 who, within 3 years of making a qualifying move, were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods that occurred within the performance period of September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022. Count a child who moved to different schools within the State and who was served in both traditional summer and year-round school intersession programs only once.

Do not include:

-Children who received only referred services (non-MEP funded).

Populated with data from MSIX.

Age Grade	Eligible Migratory Children Served by the MEP During the Summer Intersession Term
Age Birth through 2	11

## 1.7.3 Child Count Calculation and Validation Procedures

The following questions request information on the State's MEP child count calculation and validation procedures.

### 1.7.3.1 Methods Used to Count Children

In the space below, please describe the procedures and processes at the State level used to ensure all eligible children, ages 3-21 are reported. In particular, describe how the State includes and counts only:

- The unduplicated count of eligible migratory children, ages 3-21. Only include children two years of age whose residency in the state has been verified after turning three.
- Children who met the program eligibility criteria (e.g., were within 3 years of a qualifying move, engaged or had parents engage in migratory agricultural or fishing work, and were entitled to a free public education through grade 12 in the State, or preschool children below the age and grade level at which the agency provides free public education). Children who were resident in your State for at least 1 day during the performance period (September 1 through August 31).
- Children who graduated from high school or attained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) during the performance period and ensures that these children are not counted in the subsequent performance period's child count.
- Children who in the case of Category 2 were served for one or more days in a MEP-funded project conducted during either the summer term or during intersession periods.
- Children once per age/grade level for each child count category.
- Children who had an SEA approved Certificate of Eligibility (COE) and were entered in the State's migratory student database.

**Comments**

Two sets of data are collected and inputted into the database: information from the Certificate of Eligibility (COE) and information from the performance reports from the funded project - both regular and summer terms. Vermont uses the mandated national COE form and collects the data on that form as well as the minimum data requirements of MSIX - both are now done electronically through our updated MIS2000 system. After a family with potentially eligible migrant students is identified, trained recruiters visit the family to determine their eligibility. An electronic COE is completed in MIS2000 and sent to the State Identification and Recruitment Coordinator for initial verification. The COEs are then given to the trained Data Specialist who compares the information to any past information on that family or student, including comparing student State ID number, student name, and parent(s) names and information in MSIX. Data such as birth dates and place of birth are doubly checked to ensure that migrant students that have changed names are not counted twice. The final verification is done by the State Director of Migrant Education who reviews and signs every COE. This is all done in the MIS2000 system and once entered and approved, each student is given a state identification number. Information on the COEs is updated continually through the year as teachers and recruiters complete Change forms as needed. If needed, updated COEs are completed. In addition, local recruiters can produce up-to-date lists of eligible students through their MIS2000 web application. They are expected to check the lists for accuracy and then notify the Data Specialist of any changes. Each year in November/December, the MEP does residency verification by crosschecking the MEP database with the State's student demographic database. For every non-match (a student has left, switched schools, preschool students, and OSY not in the database, etc.), a recruiter does a face-to-face visit and completes an updated COE. The only exception is if we have already updated the COE within the preceding 30 days. Every child counted has been seen in person by either a recruiter or teacher during the reporting period. Only those children that we have proof that they resided in Vermont at least one day during the reporting period are counted. The data for this report was collected from 09/01/21 through November 2022, and covers the period 09/01/21 through 08/31/22. COEs submitted electronically on an ongoing basis throughout the year and performance reports are collected at the end of the regular term (June) and again at the end of the summer term (September). Procedures for Category 1 and 2 counts are collected and maintained exactly the same. For Category 2 counts, children are only counted if they received a MEP funded service during the summer period - July 1 through August 31st. Their date of beginning service is their enrollment date for summer child count. Only those children that are at least 3 years of age and are not yet 22 and have not graduated from high school during the summer session are counted. Vermont's Data Specialist has worked with the MIS2000 programmer to ensure that only eligible children are counted. Children must be at least 3 years of age at least 1 day of the reporting period and must not have reached their 22nd birthday before the start of the reporting period. The database is set to calculate only those children within 36 months of their QAD. This is crosschecked by reports done quarterly that generate end of eligibility notices which gives the Data Specialist information to work out any inconsistencies with the database. Once a child has either aged-out or graduated (or received a GED), the database is set to end eligibility for that child and they are not counted in the next year's child count. All COEs are done electronically by trained State recruiters and are only entered once approved by the Data Specialist at the SEA level. This allows for maximum quality control.

Does the State ensure that the system that transmits migrant data to the Department accurately accounts for all the migratory children in every ED Facts data file? Please respond in the table below.

**The State deployed a process that ensured that it transmits accurate migrant data to the Department in every required ED Facts data file.**

Yes

**Does the State use data in the Migrant Student Information Exchange (MSIX) to verify the quality of migrant data?**

Yes

If MSIX is utilized, please explain how.

Comments
MSIX is utilized in data reconciliation by our Data Specialist to ensure that MSIX and MIS2000 data counts match, and to help detect errors in data and data transmission.

Data Quality Comments

### 1.7.3.2 Quality Control Processes

In the space below, describe the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA during the performance period to test the accuracy of the State's MEP eligibility determinations.

Results	#
The number of eligibility determinations sampled.	55
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed.	55
The number of eligibility determinations sampled for which a re-interview was completed and the child was found eligible.	55

**What was the most recent year that the MEP conducted independent prospective re-interviews (i.e., interviewers were neither SEA or LEA staff members responsible for administering or operating the MEP, nor any other persons who worked on the initial eligibility determinations being tested)? If independent prospective re-interviews were not administered in any of the three performance periods, please provide an explanation in the Comment row at the end of this table.**

2020-21

**Comments**

**FAQ on independent prospective re-interviews:**

a. What are independent prospective re-interviews? Independent prospective re-interviews allow confirmation of your State's eligibility determinations and the accuracy of the numbers of migratory children in your State reports. Independent prospective interviews should be conducted at least once every three years by an independent interviewer, performed on the current year's identified migratory children.

Obtaining Data from Families	Response
How were the re-interviews conducted	Phone interviews
Was there a protocol for verifying all information used in making the original eligibility determination?	Yes
Were re-interviewers independent from the original interviewers?	Yes

If you did conduct independent re-interviews in this reporting period, describe how you ensured that the process was independent. Only enter a response if your State completed independent re-interviews in SY2021-22.

Comments

In the space below, refer to the results of any re-interview processes used by the SEA, and if any of the migratory children were found ineligible, describe those corrective actions or improvements that will be made by the SEA to improve the accuracy of its MEP eligibility determinations.

Comments
During our most recent eligibility re-interviews, there were no migratory children found ineligible.

In the space below, please respond to the following question:

Does the state collect all the required data elements and data sections on the National Certificate of Eligibility (COE)?
Yes

Data Quality Comments



## 1.7.4 Eligible Migratory Children

### 1.7.4.1 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having 'Priority for Services'. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	Priority for Services During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	0
Kindergarten	1
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	1
Grade 3	2
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	2
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	2
Grade 9	1
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Out of School	102
Ungraded	0
Total	113

#### FAQ on priority for services:

Who is classified as having 'priority for service'? Migratory children who have made a qualifying move within the previous 1-year period and who 1) are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet challenging State academic standards, or 2) have dropped out of school.

### 1.7.4.2 English Learners (ELs)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also ELs. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	ELs During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	7
Kindergarten	5
Grade 1	1
Grade 2	2
Grade 3	4
Grade 4	1
Grade 5	3
Grade 6	3
Grade 7	3
Grade 8	1
Grade 9	3
Grade 10	4
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Out of School	161
Ungraded	0
Total	198

### 1.7.4.3 Children with Disabilities (IDEA)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who are also children with disabilities (IDEA) under Part B or Part C of the IDEA. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	Children with Disabilities (IDEA) During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
Kindergarten	4
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	0
Grade 3	0
Grade 4	2
Grade 5	3
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	5
Grade 9	2
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Out of School	0
Ungraded	0
Total	19

### 1.7.4.4 Qualifying Arrival Date (QAD)

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children whose QAD occurred within 12 months from the last day of the performance period, August 31, 2022 (i.e., QAD during the performance period). The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS121/DG634.

Age Grade	QAD During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	8
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	4
Kindergarten	3
Grade 1	3
Grade 2	2
Grade 3	2
Grade 4	1
Grade 5	2
Grade 6	3
Grade 7	4
Grade 8	4
Grade 9	1
Grade 10	2
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Out of School	102
Ungraded	0
Total	141

## 1.7.5 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of eligible migratory students.

### 1.7.5.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS032/DG326.

Grade	Dropouts During the Performance Period
Grade 7	.
Grade 8	.
Grade 9	.
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	.
Grade 12	.
Total	1

#### FAQ on Dropouts:

How is 'dropouts' defined? The term used for students, who, (1) were enrolled in a school for at least one day during the 2021-22 performance period, (2) were not enrolled at the beginning of the current (2021-22) performance period, (3) who have not graduated from high school or completed a State- or district-approved educational program, and (4) who do not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: (a) transfer to another school district, private school or State- or district-approved educational program (including correctional or health facility programs), (b) temporary absence due to suspension or school-excused illness or (c) death. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2021-22 performance period should not be reported in this item.

### 1.7.5.2 HSED (High School Equivalency Diploma)

In the table below, provide the total unduplicated number of eligible migratory students who obtained a High School Equivalency Diploma (HSED) by passing a high school equivalency test that your state accepts (e.g. GED, HiSET, TASC).

Obtained a HSED in your State During the Performance Period
2

Data Quality Comments

## 1.7.6 MEP Services - During the Performance Period

The following questions collect data about MEP services provided to migratory children during the performance period.

### FAQ on Services:

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. 'Services' are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migratory child; (2) address a need of a migratory child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets/annual measurable objectives. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are not considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migratory families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migratory children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the performance period. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102.

<b>Age Grade</b>	<b>Served During the Performance Period</b>
Age Birth through 2	14
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	19
Kindergarten	8
Grade 1	5
Grade 2	5
Grade 3	8
Grade 4	8
Grade 5	10
Grade 6	8
Grade 7	9
Grade 8	7
Grade 9	6
Grade 10	7
Grade 11	1
Grade 12	2
Ungraded	0
Out of School	161
Total	278

### 1.7.6.1 Priority for Services During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who have been classified as having 'priority for services' and who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102.

Age Grade	Priority for Services During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarte	0
Kindergarten	1
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	1
Grade 3	2
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	2
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	2
Grade 9	1
Grade 10	1
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Ungraded	0
Out of School	97
Total	108



### 1.7.6.2 Continuation of Services During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of migratory children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the performance period under the continuation of services authority Section 1304(e)(2-3). Do not include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS054/DG102.

Age Grade	Continuation of Services During the Performance Period
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	0
Grade 3	0
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	0
Grade 7	0
Grade 8	0
Grade 9	0
Grade 10	0
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Ungraded	0
Out of School	0
Total	0

#### FAQ on Continuation of Services:

What is Continuation of Services? The 'continuation of services' provision found in Section 1304(e) of the ESEA provides that: (1) a child who ceases to be a migratory child during a school term shall be eligible for services until the end of such term; (2) a child who is no longer a migratory child may continue to receive services for one additional school year, but only if comparable services are not available through other programs; and (3) secondary school students who were eligible for services in secondary school may continue to be served through credit accrual programs until graduation.

### 1.7.6.3 Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the performance period. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684.

Age Grade	Instructional Service During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	10
Kindergarten	5
Grade 1	1
Grade 2	3
Grade 3	1
Grade 4	1
Grade 5	3
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	4
Grade 8	1
Grade 9	1
Grade 10	4
Grade 11	0
Grade 12	0
Ungraded	0
Out of School	92
Total	127

### 1.7.6.4 Type of Instructional Service – During the Performance Period

In the table below, provide the number of eligible migratory children reported in the table above who received MEP-funded reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the performance period. Include children who received such instructional services provided by a teacher only. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684.

Age Grade	Reading Instruction During the Performance Period	Mathematics Instruction During the Performance Period	High School Credit Accrual During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	0	0	.
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0	0	.
Kindergarten	0	0	.
Grade 1	1	0	.
Grade 2	1	2	.
Grade 3	1	1	.
Grade 4	1	1	.
Grade 5	1	2	.
Grade 6	0	0	.
Grade 7	3	3	.
Grade 8	0	1	0
Grade 9	1	1	0
Grade 10	1	3	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded	0	0	0
Out of School	0	1	0
Total	10	15	0

#### FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:

What is 'high school credit accrual'? MEP-funded instruction, funded in whole or in part by MEP funds, in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a teacher for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. High school credit accrual includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher. High school credit accrual may include the age/grade categories of Grade 8 through Grade 12. NOTE: Children receiving a MEP-funded high school credit accrual service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

### 1.7.6.5 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Services – During the Performance Period

In the table below, in the column titled Support Services, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received any MEP-funded support service during the performance period. In the column titled Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period, provide the unduplicated number of eligible migratory children who received a counseling service during the performance period. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Populated with SEA-LEVEL FS145/DG684.

Age Grade	Support Services During the Performance Period	Breakout of Counseling Services During the Performance Period
Age Birth through 2	14	14
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	19	19
Kindergarten	8	8
Grade 1	5	5
Grade 2	5	5
Grade 3	8	8
Grade 4	8	8
Grade 5	10	10
Grade 6	8	8
Grade 7	9	9
Grade 8	7	7
Grade 9	6	6
Grade 10	7	7
Grade 11	1	1
Grade 12	2	2
Ungraded	0	0
Out of School	150	143
Total	267	260

#### FAQs on Support Services:

a. What are support services? These MEP-funded educationally-related services are provided to students. These services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migratory children; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. Activities related to identification and recruitment, parental involvement, professional development, program evaluation, and the one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, or between students and students in MEP peer-to-peer counseling activities, or between students and MEP-funded staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy. NOTE: Children who receive a MEP-funded counseling service should be reported only once, regardless of frequency.

### **1.7.7 School Data during the Regular School Year**

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migratory children in schools during the regular school year.

#### **1.7.7.1 Schools and Enrollment – During the Regular School Year**

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled eligible migratory children at any time during the regular school year. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110.

<b>Number of schools that enrolled eligible migratory children</b>	<b>Number of eligible migratory children enrolled in those schools</b>
48	81

#### **1.7.7.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in SWPs – During the Regular School Year**

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of eligible migratory children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the regular school year. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migratory child at some time during the regular school year, the number of children may include duplicates.

Populated with SCH-LEVEL FS165/DG110 and FS165/DG514.

## STATE CERTIFICATION

**Did the State certify  
this report?**

YES

**Date the State  
certified**

4/4/2023 7:23:20 AM